

The Strongest Genuinely Computable Knot Invariant ~~Since~~ In 2024

The First International On-line Knot Theory Congress

February 1-5, 2025

Dror Bar-Natan

Abstract. “Genuinely computable” means we have computed it for random knots with over 300 crossings. “Strongest” means it separates prime knots with up to 15 crossings better than the less-computable HOMFLY-PT and Khovanov homology taken together. And hey, it’s also meaningful and fun.

Continues Rozansky, Garoufalidis, Kricker, and Ohtsuki, joint with van der Veen.

These slides and all the code within are available at <http://drorbn.net/ktc25>
(not making your slides available **before** your talk is a sin).

(I'll post the video there too)

If you can, please turn your video on!

Acknowledgement.


This work was supported by NSERC grant RGPIN-2018-04350 and by the Chu Family Foundation (NYC).

Strongest? Genuinely Computable?


Strongest.

Testing $\Theta = (\Delta, \theta)$ on prime knots up to mirrors and reversals, counting the number of distinct values (with deficits in parenthesis): (ρ_1 : [Ro1, Ro2, Ro3, Ov, BV1])

	knots	(H, Kh)	(Δ, ρ_1)	$\Theta = (\Delta, \theta)$	(Δ, θ, ρ_2)	all together
reign		2005-22	2022-24	2024	2025-	
xing ≤ 10	249	248 (1)	249 (0)	249 (0)	249(0)	249 (0)
xing ≤ 11	801	771 (30)	787 (14)	798 (3)	798 (3)	798 (3)
xing ≤ 12	2,977	(214)	(95)	(19)	(10)	(10)
xing ≤ 13	12,965	(1,771)	(959)	(194)	(169)	(169)
xing ≤ 14	59,937	(10,788)	(6,253)	(1,118)	(982)	(981)
xing ≤ 15	313,230	(70,245)	(42,914)	(6,758)	(6,341)	(6,337)




Genuinely Computable. Here's Θ on a random 300 crossing knot (from [DHOEBL]). For almost every other knot invariant, that's science fiction.




Fun. There's so much more to see in 2D pictures than in 1D ones! Yet almost nothing of the patterns you see we know how to prove. We'll have fun with that over the next few years. Would you join?


Random knots (from [DHOEBL]) with 101–115 crossings:




The Rolfen Table:



The torus knots $T_{13/2}$, $T_{17/3}$, $T_{13/5}$, and $T_{7/6}$:





The torus knot $T_{22/7}$:

Meaningful.

θ gives a genus bound (unproven yet with confidence). We hope (with reason) it says something about ribbon knots.

Convention.

T , T_1 , and T_2 are indeterminates and $T_3 := T_1 T_2$.

Preparation. Draw an n -crossing knot K as a diagram D as on the right: all crossings face up, and the edges are marked with a running index $k \in \{1, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ and with rotation numbers φ_k .






image credits:
diamondtraffic.com








$$p = 1 - T^s$$



image credits:
Dall-E

Model T Traffic Rules. Cars always drive forward. When a car crosses over a sign- s bridge it goes through with (algebraic) probability $T^s \sim 1$, but falls off with probability $1 - T^s \sim 0$. At the very end, cars fall off and disappear. On various edges traffic counters are placed. See also [Jo, LTW].






Definition. The traffic function $G = (g_{\alpha\beta})$ (also, the Green function or the two-point function) is the reading of a traffic counter at β , if car traffic is injected at α (if $\alpha = \beta$, the counter is after the injection point). There are also model- T_ν traffic functions $G_\nu = (g_{\nu\alpha\beta})$ for $\nu = 1, 2, 3$.

Example.

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} (1-T)^p = T^{-1}$$



$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & T^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Given crossings $c = (s, i, j)$, $c_0 = (s_0, i_0, j_0)$, and $c_1 = (s_1, i_1, j_1)$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(c) = & s [1/2 - g_{3ii} + T_2^s g_{1ii} g_{2ji} - T_2^s g_{3jj} g_{2ji} - (T_2^s - 1) g_{3ii} g_{2ji} \\
 & + (T_3^s - 1) g_{2ji} g_{3ji} - g_{1ii} g_{2jj} + 2g_{3ii} g_{2jj} + g_{1ii} g_{3jj} - g_{2ii} g_{3jj}] \\
 & + \frac{s}{T_2^s - 1} [(T_1^s - 1) T_2^s (g_{3jj} g_{1ji} - g_{2jj} g_{1ji} + T_2^s g_{1ji} g_{2ji}) \\
 & + (T_3^s - 1) (g_{3ji} - T_2^s g_{1ii} g_{3ji} + g_{2ij} g_{3ji} + (T_2^s - 2) g_{2jj} g_{3ji}) \\
 & - (T_1^s - 1) (T_2^s + 1) (T_3^s - 1) g_{1ji} g_{3ji}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$F_2(c_0, c_1) = \frac{s_1 (T_1^{s_0} - 1) (T_3^{s_1} - 1) g_{1j_1 i_0} g_{3j_0 i_1}}{T_2^{s_1} - 1} (T_2^{s_0} g_{2i_1 i_0} + g_{2j_1 j_0} - T_2^{s_0} g_{2j_1 i_0} - g_{2i_1 j_0})$$

$$F_3(\varphi_k, k) = \varphi_k (g_{3kk} - 1/2)$$




(Computers don't care!)

Main Theorem.

The following is a knot invariant:

(the Δ_ν are normalizations discussed later)


$$\theta(D) := \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \left(\sum_c F_1(c) + \sum_{c_0, c_1} F_2(c_0, c_1) + \sum_k F_3(\varphi_k, k) \right).$$



If these pictures remind you of Feynman diagrams, it's because they are Feynman diagrams [BN2].


Lemma 1.

The traffic function $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is a “relative invariant”:



(There is some small print for R1 and R2 which change the numbering of the edges and sometimes collapse a pair of edges into one)

Proof.




Lemma 2.

With $k^+ := k + 1$, the “g-rules” hold near a crossing $c = (s, i, j)$:

$$g_{j\beta} = g_{j^+\beta} + \delta_{j\beta} \quad g_{i\beta} = T^s g_{i^+\beta} + (1 - T^s) g_{j^+\beta} + \delta_{i\beta} \quad g_{2n^+, \beta} = \delta_{2n^+, \beta}$$

$$g_{\alpha i^+} = T^s g_{\alpha i} + \delta_{\alpha i^+} \quad g_{\alpha j^+} = g_{\alpha j} + (1 - T^s) g_{\alpha i} + \delta_{\alpha j^+} \quad g_{\alpha, 1} = \delta_{\alpha, 1}$$




Corollary 1.

G is easily computable, for $AG = I (= GA)$, with A the $(2n + 1) \times (2n + 1)$ identity matrix with additional contributions:

$$c = (s, i, j) \mapsto \begin{array}{c|cc} A & \text{col } i^+ & \text{col } j^+ \\ \hline \text{row } i & -T^s & T^s - 1 \\ \text{row } j & 0 & -1 \end{array}$$

For the trefoil example, we have:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -T & 0 & 0 & T-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -T & 0 & 0 & T-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T-1 & 0 & 1 & -T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



And so,

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T & 1 & T & 1 & T & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T^2}{T^2-T+1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T^2}{T^2-T+1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-T}{T^2-T+1} & -\frac{(T-1)T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note.

The Alexander polynomial Δ is given by

$$\Delta = T^{(-\varphi-w)/2} \det(A),$$


with

$$\varphi = \sum_k \varphi_k, \quad w = \sum_c s.$$

We also set $\Delta_\nu := \Delta(T_\nu)$ for $\nu = 1, 2, 3$. This defines and explain the normalization factors in the Main Theorem.

Corollary 2.

Proving invariance is easy:



Invariance under R3

This is Theta.nb of <http://drorbn.net/ktc25/ap>.

```
Once[<< KnotTheory` ; << Rot.m; << PolyPlot.m];
```

Loading KnotTheory` version of October 29, 2024, 10:29:52.1301.

Read more at <http://katlas.org/wiki/KnotTheory>.

Loading Rot.m from <http://drorbn.net/ktc25/ap> to compute rotation numbers.

Loading PolyPlot.m from

<http://drorbn.net/ktc25/ap> to plot 2-variable polynomials.

```
T3 = T1 T2;
```

```
CF[ $\mathcal{E}_-$ ] := Expand@Collect[ $\mathcal{E}$ , g--, F] /. F → Factor;
```

$$F_1[\{s_-, i_-, j_-\}] =$$

$$\text{CF} [$$

$$s \left(1/2 - g_{3ii} + T_2^s g_{1ii} g_{2ji} - g_{1ii} g_{2jj} - (T_2^s - 1) g_{2ji} g_{3ii} + 2 g_{2jj} g_{3ii} - \right.$$

$$\left. (1 - T_3^s) g_{2ji} g_{3ji} - g_{2ii} g_{3jj} - T_2^s g_{2ji} g_{3jj} + g_{1ii} g_{3jj} + \right.$$

$$\left. \left((T_1^s - 1) g_{1ji} (T_2^{2s} g_{2ji} - T_2^s g_{2jj} + T_2^s g_{3jj}) + \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. (T_3^s - 1) g_{3ji} (1 - T_2^s g_{1ii} - (T_1^s - 1) (T_2^s + 1) g_{1ji} + (T_2^s - 2) g_{2jj} + g_{2ij}) \right) / \right.$$

$$\left. (T_2^s - 1) \right];$$

$$F_2[\{s\theta_-, i\theta_-, j\theta_-\}, \{s1_-, i1_-, j1_-\}] :=$$

$$\text{CF} [s1 (T_1^{s\theta} - 1) (T_2^{s1} - 1)^{-1} (T_3^{s1} - 1) g_{1,j1,i\theta} g_{3,j\theta,i1}$$

$$\left((T_2^{s\theta} g_{2,i1,i\theta} - g_{2,i1,j\theta}) - (T_2^{s\theta} g_{2,j1,i\theta} - g_{2,j1,j\theta}) \right)]$$

$$F_3[\varphi_-, k_-] = -\varphi / 2 + \varphi g_{3kk};$$


$\delta_{i_,j_} := \text{If}[i === j, 1, 0];$

$\mathbf{gR}_{s_,i_,j_} := \{$

$\mathbf{g}_{v_j\beta_} \Rightarrow \mathbf{g}_{vj^+\beta} + \delta_{j\beta}, \mathbf{g}_{v_i\beta_} \Rightarrow T_v^s \mathbf{g}_{vi^+\beta} + (1 - T_v^s) \mathbf{g}_{vj^+\beta} + \delta_{i\beta},$

$\mathbf{g}_{v_a_i^+} \Rightarrow T_v^s \mathbf{g}_{v\alpha i} + \delta_{\alpha i^+}, \mathbf{g}_{v_a_j^+} \Rightarrow \mathbf{g}_{v\alpha j} + (1 - T_v^s) \mathbf{g}_{v\alpha i} + \delta_{\alpha j^+}$

$\}$



```

DSum[Cs___] := Sum[F1[c], {c, {Cs}}] +
  Sum[F2[c0, c1], {c0, {Cs}}, {c1, {Cs}}]
lhs = DSum[{1, j, k}, {1, i, k+}, {1, i+, j+}, {s, m, n}] //.
  gR1,j,k U gR1,i,k+ U gR1,i+,j+;
rhs = DSum[{1, i, j}, {1, i+, k}, {1, j+, k+}, {s, m, n}] //.
  gR1,i,j U gR1,i+,k U gR1,j+,k+;
Simplify[lhs == rhs]
True

```

The Main Program

```

@ [K_] := Module [ {Cs,  $\varphi$ , n, A,  $\Delta$ , G, ev,  $\theta$ },
  {Cs,  $\varphi$ } = Rot [K]; n = Length [Cs];
  A = IdentityMatrix [2 n + 1];
  Cases [Cs, {s_, i_, j_}  $\Rightarrow$  (A[[{i, j}, {i + 1, j + 1}]] += (  $\begin{pmatrix} -T^s & T^s & -1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  ))];
   $\Delta$  = T(-Total[ $\varphi$ ]-Total[Cs[[All,1]])/2 Det [A];
  G = Inverse [A];
  ev [ $\mathcal{E}$ _] := Factor [ $\mathcal{E}$  /.  $\mathbf{g}_{\nu, \alpha, \beta}$   $\Rightarrow$  (G[[ $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ]] /. T  $\rightarrow$  T $\nu$ )];
   $\theta$  = ev [  $\sum_{k=1}^n F_1 [Cs[[k]]]$  ];
   $\theta$  += ev [  $\sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n F_2 [Cs[[k_1]], Cs[[k_2]]]$  ];

   $\theta$  += ev [  $\sum_{k=1}^{2n} F_3 [\varphi[[k]], k]$  ];
  Factor@ { $\Delta$ , ( $\Delta$  /. T  $\rightarrow$  T1) ( $\Delta$  /. T  $\rightarrow$  T2) ( $\Delta$  /. T  $\rightarrow$  T3)  $\theta$  }];


```

The Trefoil


`@[Knot[3, 1]] // Expand`

$$\left\{ -1 + \frac{1}{T} + T, -\frac{1}{T_1^2} - T_1^2 - \frac{1}{T_2^2} - \frac{1}{T_1^2 T_2^2} + \frac{1}{T_1 T_2^2} + \frac{1}{T_1^2 T_2} + \frac{T_1}{T_2} + \frac{T_2}{T_1} + T_1^2 T_2 - T_2^2 + T_1 T_2^2 - T_1^2 T_2^2 \right\}$$


`PolyPlot[@[Knot[3, 1]], ImageSize -> Tiny]`



The Conway and Kinoshita-Terasaka Knots




```
GraphicsRow[PolyPlot[Θ[Knot[#]], ImageSize → Tiny] & /@  
{"K11n34", "K11n42"}]
```



(Note that the genus of the Conway knot appears to be bigger than the genus of Kinoshita-Terasaka)

The Torus Knots $T_{13/2}$, $T_{17/3}$, $T_{13,5}$, and $T_{7,6}$

```
GraphicsRow[ImageCompose[  
  PolyPlot[#[TorusKnot @@ #], ImageSize -> 480],  
  TubePlot[TorusKnot @@ #, ImageSize -> 240],  
  {Right, Bottom}, {Right, Bottom}  
] & /@ {{13, 2}, {17, 3}, {13, 5}, {7, 6}}
```



Questions, Conjectures, Expectations, Dreams.

Question 1.

What's the relationship between Θ and the Garoufalidis-Kashaev invariants [GK, GL]?

Conjecture 2.

On classical (non-virtual) knots, θ always has hexagonal (D_6) symmetry.

Conjecture 3.

θ is the ϵ^1 contribution to the “solvable approximation” of the sl_3 universal invariant, obtained by running the quantization machinery on the double $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{b}, b, \epsilon\delta)$, where \mathfrak{b} is the Borel subalgebra of sl_3 , b is the bracket of \mathfrak{b} , and δ the cobracket. See [BV2, BN1, Sch]

Conjecture 4.

θ is equal to the “two-loop contribution to the Kontsevich Integral”, as studied by Garoufalidis, Rozansky, Kricker, and in great detail by Ohtsuki [GR, Ro1, Ro2, Ro3, Kr, Oh].

Fact 5. θ has a perturbed Gaussian integral formula, with integration carried out over over a space $6E$, consisting of 6 copies of the space of edges of a knot diagram D . See [BN2].

Conjecture 6. For any knot K , its genus $g(K)$ is bounded by the T_1 -degree of θ :
 $g(K) < \lceil \text{deg}_{T_1} \theta(K) \rceil$.

Conjecture 7. $\theta(K)$ has another perturbed Gaussian integral formula, with integration carried out over over the space $6H_1$, consisting of 6 copies of $H_1(\Sigma)$, where Σ is a Seifert surface for K .

Expectation 8.

There are many further invariants like θ , given by Green function formulas and/or Gaussian integration formulas. One or two of them may be stronger than θ and as computable.

Dream 9.

These invariants can be explained by something less foreign than semisimple Lie algebras.

Dream 10.

θ will have something to say about ribbon knots.

Thank You!

References.

- [BN1] D. Bar-Natan, Everything around $s\mathcal{H}_{2+}^\epsilon$ is DoPeGDO. So what?, talk in Da Nang, May 2019. Handout and video at [\$\omega\epsilon\beta\$ /DPG](#).
- [BN2] —, Knot Invariants from Finite Dimensional Integration, talks in Beijing (July 2024, [\$\omega\epsilon\beta\$ /icbs24](#)) and in Geneva (August 2024, [\$\omega\epsilon\beta\$ /ge24](#)).
- [BV1] —, R. van der Veen, A Perturbed-Alexander Invariant, *Quantum Topology* **15** (2024) 449–472, [\$\omega\epsilon\beta\$ /APAI](#).
- [BV2] —, —, Perturbed Gaussian Generating Functions for Universal Knot Invariants, [arXiv:2109.02057](#).
- [DHOEBL] N. Dunfield, A. Hirani, M. Obeidin, A. Ehrenberg, S. Bhattacharyya, D. Lei, and others, Random Knots: A Preliminary Report, lecture notes at [\$\omega\epsilon\beta\$ /DHOEBL](#). Also a data file at [\$\omega\epsilon\beta\$ /DD](#).
- [GK] S. Garoufalidis, R. Kashaev, Multivariable Knot Polynomials from Braided Hopf Algebras with Automorphisms, [arXiv:2311.11528](#).
- [GL] —, S. Y. Li, Patterns of the V_2 -polynomial of knots, [arXiv:2409.03557](#).
- [GR] —, L. Rozansky, The Loop Expansion of the Kontsevich Integral, the Null-Move, and S-Equivalence, [arXiv:math.GT/0003187](#).

- [Jo] V. F. R. Jones, Hecke Algebra Representations of Braid Groups and Link Polynomials, *Annals Math.*, **126** (1987) 335–388.
- [Kr] A. Kricker, The Lines of the Kontsevich Integral and Rozansky's Rationality Conjecture, [arXiv:math/0005284](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0005284).
- [LTW] X-S. Lin, F. Tian, Z. Wang, Bureau Representation and Random Walk on String Links, *Pac. J. Math.*, **182-2** (1998) 289–302, [arXiv:q-alg/9605023](https://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9605023).
- [Oh] T. Ohtsuki, On the 2-loop Polynomial of Knots, *Geom. Top.* **11** (2007) 1357–1475.
- [Ov] A. Overbay, Perturbative Expansion of the Colored Jones Polynomial, Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina, Aug. 2013, [omega-beta/Ov](https://omega-beta.org/Ov).
- [Ro1] L. Rozansky, A Contribution of the Trivial Flat Connection to the Jones Polynomial and Witten's Invariant of 3D Manifolds, I, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **175-2** (1996) 275–296, [arXiv: hep-th/9401061](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9401061).
- [Ro2] —, The Universal R -Matrix, Bureau Representation and the Melvin-Morton Expansion of the Colored Jones Polynomial, *Adv. Math.* **134-1** (1998) 1–31, [arXiv:q-alg/9604005](https://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9604005).
- [Ro3] —, A Universal $U(1)$ -RCC Invariant of Links and Rationality Conjecture, [arXiv: math/0201139](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0201139).

[Sch] S. Schaveling, Expansions of Quantum Group Invariants, Ph.D. thesis, Universiteit Leiden, September 2020, [\$\omega\epsilon\beta\$ /Scha](#).