

# The Strongest Genuinely Computable Knot Invariant Since In 2024

The First International On-line Knot Theory Congress  
February 1-5, 2025

Dror Bar-Natan

**Abstract.** “Genuinely computable” means we have computed it for random knots with over 300 crossings. “Strongest” means it separates prime knots with up to 15 crossings better than the less-computable HOMFLY-PT and Khovanov homology taken together. And hey, it’s also meaningful and fun.

Continues Rozansky, Garoufalidis, Kricker, and Ohtsuki, joint with van der Veen.

These slides and the code within are online at  $\omega\epsilon\beta$ :=<http://drorbn.net/ktc25>

(I wish all speakers were making their slides available **before** / **for** their talks).

(I'll post the video there too)

A paper-in-progress is at  $\omega\epsilon\beta$ /Theta.

If you can, please turn your video on!

Happy birthday, dear Lou!



Lou Kauffman at MSRI, March 1991

## Acknowledgement.

This work was supported by NSERC grant RGPIN-2018-04350 and by the Chu Family Foundation (NYC).

# The Strongest Genuinely Computable Knot Invariant Since In 2024

Strongest? Genuinely Computable?

# Strongest.


Testing  $\Theta = (\Delta, \theta)$  on prime knots up to mirrors and reversals, counting the number of distinct values (with deficits in parenthesis): ( $\rho_1$ : [Ro1, Ro2, Ro3, Ov, BV1])



	knots	$(H, Kh)$	$(\Delta, \rho_1)$	$\Theta = (\Delta, \theta)$	$(\Delta, \theta, \rho_2)$	all together
reign		2005-22	2022-24	2024	2025-	
xing $\leq 10$	249	248 (1)	249 (0)	249 (0)	249(0)	249 (0)
xing $\leq 11$	801	771 (30)	787 (14)	798 (3)	798 (3)	798 (3)
xing $\leq 12$	2,977	(214)	(95)	(19)	(10)	(10)
xing $\leq 13$	12,965	(1,771)	(959)	(194)	(169)	(169)
xing $\leq 14$	59,937	(10,788)	(6,253)	(1,118)	(982)	(981)
xing $\leq 15$	313,230	(70,245)	(42,914)	(6,758)	(6,341)	(6,337)

**Genuinely Computable.** Here's  $\Theta$  on a random 300 crossing knot (from [DHOEBL]). For almost every other knot invariant, that's science fiction.

Gukov: Should take 300 years if Moore's law persists.


Us: A few hours on a laptop, 0 GPUs.






**Fun.** There's so much more to see in 2D pictures than in 1D ones! Yet almost nothing of the patterns you see we know how to prove. We'll have fun with that over the next few years. Would you join?


Random knots (from [DHOEBL]) with 101–115 crossings:




## The Rolfsen Table:



The torus knots  $TK_{13/2}$ ,  $TK_{17/3}$ ,  $TK_{13/5}$ , and  $TK_{7/6}$ :





The torus knot  $TK_{22/7}$ :

# Meaningful.

$\theta$  gives a genus bound (unproven yet with confidence). We hope (with reason) it says something about ribbon knots.

## Convention.

$T$ ,  $T_1$ , and  $T_2$  are indeterminates and  $T_3 := T_1 T_2$ .

**Preparation.** Draw an  $n$ -crossing knot  $K$  as a diagram  $D$  as on the right: all crossings face up, and the edges are marked with a running index  $k \in \{1, \dots, 2n + 1\}$  and with rotation numbers  $\varphi_k$ .






image credits:  
[diamondtraffic.com](http://diamondtraffic.com)








$$p = 1 - T^s$$



image credits:  
[Dall-E](#)

**Model  $T$  Traffic Rules.** Cars always drive forward. When a car crosses over a sign- $s$  bridge it goes through with (algebraic) probability  $T^s \sim 1$ , but falls off with probability  $1 - T^s \sim 0$ . At the very end, cars fall off and disappear. On various edges traffic counters are placed. See also [[Jo](#), [LTW](#)].






**Definition.** The traffic function  $G = (g_{\alpha\beta})$  (also, the Green function or the two-point function) is the reading of a traffic counter at  $\beta$ , if car traffic is injected at  $\alpha$  (if  $\alpha = \beta$ , the counter is after the injection point). There are also model- $T_\nu$  traffic functions  $G_\nu = (g_{\nu\alpha\beta})$  for  $\nu = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Example.**

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} (1-T)^p = T^{-1}$$



$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & T^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Given crossings  $c = (s, i, j)$ ,  $c_0 = (s_0, i_0, j_0)$ , and  $c_1 = (s_1, i_1, j_1)$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(c) &= s [1/2 - g_{3ii} + T_2^s g_{1ii}g_{2ji} - T_2^s g_{3jj}g_{2ji} - (T_2^s - 1)g_{3ii}g_{2ji} \\ &\quad + (T_3^s - 1)g_{2ji}g_{3ji} - g_{1ii}g_{2jj} + 2g_{3ii}g_{2jj} + g_{1ii}g_{3jj} - g_{2ii}g_{3jj}] \\ &\quad + \frac{s}{T_2^s - 1} [(T_1^s - 1)T_2^s (g_{3jj}g_{1ji} - g_{2jj}g_{1ji} + T_2^s g_{1ji}g_{2ji}) \\ &\quad + (T_3^s - 1)(g_{3ji} - T_2^s g_{1ii}g_{3ji} + g_{2ij}g_{3ji} + (T_2^s - 2)g_{2jj}g_{3ji}) \\ &\quad - (T_1^s - 1)(T_2^s + 1)(T_3^s - 1)g_{1ji}g_{3ji}]] \end{aligned}$$

$$F_2(c_0, c_1) = \frac{s_1(T_1^{s_0} - 1)(T_3^{s_1} - 1)g_{1j_1i_0}g_{3j_0i_1}}{T_2^{s_1} - 1} (T_2^{s_0}g_{2i_1i_0} + g_{2j_1j_0} - T_2^{s_0}g_{2j_1i_0} - g_{2i_1j_0})$$

$$F_3(\varphi_k, k) = \varphi_k(g_{3kk} - 1/2)$$




(Computers don't care!)

# Main Theorem.

The following is a knot invariant:

(the  $\Delta_\nu$  are normalizations discussed later)


$$\theta(D) := \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \left( \sum_c F_1(c) + \sum_{c_0, c_1} F_2(c_0, c_1) + \sum_k F_3(\varphi_k, k) \right).$$



If these pictures remind you of Feynman diagrams, it's because they are Feynman diagrams [BN2].


## Lemma 1.

The traffic function  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  is a “relative invariant”:



(There is some small print for R1 and R2 which change the numbering of the edges and sometimes collapse a pair of edges into one)

# Proof.








## Lemma 2.

With  $k^+ := k + 1$ , the “ $g$ -rules” hold near a crossing  $c = (s, i, j)$ :

$$g_{j\beta} = g_{j^+\beta} + \delta_{j\beta} \quad g_{i\beta} = T^s g_{i^+\beta} + (1 - T^s)g_{j^+\beta} + \delta_{i\beta} \quad g_{2n^+, \beta} = \delta_{2n^+, \beta}$$

$$g_{\alpha i^+} = T^s g_{\alpha i} + \delta_{\alpha i^+} \quad g_{\alpha j^+} = g_{\alpha j} + (1 - T^s)g_{\alpha i} + \delta_{\alpha j^+} \quad g_{\alpha, 1} = \delta_{\alpha, 1}$$




## Corollary 1.

$G$  is easily computable, for  $AG = I$  ( $= GA$ ), with  $A$  the  $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$  identity matrix with additional contributions:

$$c = (s, i, j) \mapsto \begin{array}{c|cc} A & \text{col } i^+ & \text{col } j^+ \\ \hline \text{row } i & -T^s & T^s - 1 \\ \text{row } j & 0 & -1 \end{array}$$

For the trefoil example, we have:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -T & 0 & 0 & T-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -T & 0 & 0 & T-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T-1 & 0 & 1 & -T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



And so,

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T & 1 & T & 1 & T & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T^2}{T^2-T+1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T^2}{T^2-T+1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-T}{T^2-T+1} & -\frac{(T-1)T}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{1}{T^2-T+1} & \frac{T}{T^2-T+1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Note.

The Alexander polynomial  $\Delta$  is given by

$$\Delta = T^{(-\varphi-w)/2} \det(A),$$


with

$$\varphi = \sum_k \varphi_k, \quad w = \sum_c s.$$

We also set  $\Delta_\nu := \Delta(T_\nu)$  for  $\nu = 1, 2, 3$ . This defines and explains the normalization factors in the Main Theorem.

## Corollary 2.

Proving invariance is easy:



## Invariance under R3

This is Theta.nb of <http://drorbn.net/ktc25/ap>.

```
Once[<< KnotTheory` ; << Rot.m; << PolyPlot.m];
```

Loading KnotTheory` version of October 29, 2024, 10:29:52.1301.

Read more at <http://katlas.org/wiki/KnotTheory>.

Loading Rot.m from <http://drorbn.net/ktc25/ap> to compute rotation numbers.

Loading PolyPlot.m from

<http://drorbn.net/ktc25/ap> to plot 2-variable polynomials.

```
T3 = T1 T2;
```

```
CF[ε_] := Expand@Collect[ε, g__, F] /. F → Factor;
```

$F_1[\{s_, i_, j_\}] =$ 
 $CF[$ 


$$\begin{aligned}
 & s \left( 1/2 - g_{3ii} + T_2^s g_{1ii} g_{2ji} - g_{1ii} g_{2jj} - (T_2^s - 1) g_{2ji} g_{3ii} + 2 g_{2jj} g_{3ii} - \right. \\
 & (1 - T_3^s) g_{2ji} g_{3ji} - g_{2ii} g_{3jj} - T_2^s g_{2ji} g_{3jj} + g_{1ii} g_{3jj} + \\
 & ((T_1^s - 1) g_{1ji} (T_2^{2s} g_{2ji} - T_2^s g_{2jj} + T_2^s g_{3jj})) + \\
 & \left. (T_3^s - 1) g_{3ji} (1 - T_2^s g_{1ii} - (T_1^s - 1) (T_2^s + 1) g_{1ji} + (T_2^s - 2) g_{2jj} + g_{2ij}) \right) / \\
 & (T_2^s - 1) ;
 \end{aligned}$$

 $F_2[\{s\theta_, i\theta_, j\theta_\}, \{s1_, i1_, j1_\}] :=$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
 & CF[s1 (T_1^{s\theta} - 1) (T_2^{s1} - 1)^{-1} (T_3^{s1} - 1) g_{1,j1,i\theta} g_{3,j\theta,i1} \\
 & \left( (T_2^{s\theta} g_{2,i1,i\theta} - g_{2,i1,j\theta}) - (T_2^{s\theta} g_{2,j1,i\theta} - g_{2,j1,j\theta}) \right) ]
 \end{aligned}$$

 $F_3[\varphi_, k_] = -\varphi/2 + \varphi g_{3kk};$

```
 $\delta_{i_-, j_-} := \text{If}[i == j, 1, 0];$ 
gRs_-, i_-, j_- := {
  gv-j\beta  $\Rightarrow$  gvj^+\beta +  $\delta_{j\beta}$ , gv-i\beta  $\Rightarrow$  Tvs gvi^+\beta + (1 - Tvs) gvj^+\beta +  $\delta_{i\beta}$ ,
  gv-\alpha_i^+  $\Rightarrow$  Tvs gv\alpha i +  $\delta_{\alpha i^+}$ , gv-\alpha_j^+  $\Rightarrow$  gv\alpha j + (1 - Tvs) gv\alpha i +  $\delta_{\alpha j^+}$ 
}
```



```

DSum[Cs___] := Sum[F1[c], {c, {Cs}}] +
  Sum[F2[c0, c1], {c0, {Cs}}, {c1, {Cs}}}]
lhs = DSum[{1, j, k}, {1, i, k+}, {1, i+, j+}, {s, m, n}] //.
  gR1, j, k  U gR1, i, k+ U gR1, i+, j+;
rhs = DSum[{1, i, j}, {1, i+, k}, {1, j+, k+}, {s, m, n}] //.
  gR1, i, j  U gR1, i+, k U gR1, j+, k+;
Simplify[lhs = rhs]

```

True

# The Main Program

```

Θ[K_] := Module[{Cs, φ, n, A, Δ, G, ev, θ},
  {Cs, φ} = Rot[K]; n = Length[Cs];
  A = IdentityMatrix[2 n + 1];
  Cases[Cs, {s_, i_, j_} :> (A[[{i, j}], {i + 1, j + 1}] += {{-T^s, T^s}, {0, -1}})];
  Δ = T^{(-Total[φ] - Total[Cs[[All, 1]]])/2} Det[A];
  G = Inverse[A];
  ev[ξ_] := Factor[ξ /. g_{ν_, α_, β_} :> (G[[α, β]] /. T → T_ν)];
  θ = ev[Sum[Cs[[k]], {k, 1, n}]];
  θ += ev[Sum[Sum[Cs[[k1]], Cs[[k2]]], {k1, 1, n}, {k2, 1, n}]];
  θ += ev[Sum[Cs[[k]], {k, 1, n}]];
  Factor@{Δ, (Δ /. T → T_1) (Δ /. T → T_2) (Δ /. T → T_3) θ}];


```

# The Trefoil Knot


```
θ[Knot[3, 1]] // Expand
```

$$\left\{ -1 + \frac{1}{T} + T, -\frac{1}{T_1^2} - T_1^2 - \frac{1}{T_2^2} - \frac{1}{T_1^2 T_2^2} + \frac{1}{T_1 T_2^2} + \frac{1}{T_1^2 T_2} + \frac{T_1}{T_2} + \frac{T_2}{T_1} + T_1^2 T_2 - T_2^2 + T_1 T_2^2 - T_1^2 T_2^2 \right\}$$


```
PolyPlot[θ[Knot[3, 1]], ImageSize → Tiny]
```



# The Conway and Kinoshita-Terasaka Knots



```
GraphicsRow[PolyPlot[Theta[Knot[#]], ImageSize -> Tiny] & /@  
 {"K11n34", "K11n42"}]
```



(Note that the genus of the Conway knot appears to be bigger than the genus of Kinoshita-Terasaka)

# The Torus Knots $TK_{13/2}$ , $TK_{17/3}$ , $TK_{13,5}$ , and $TK_{7,6}$


GraphicsRow[ImageCompose[

```
PolyPlot[θ[TorusKnot @@ #], ImageSize → 480],
```

```
TubePlot[TorusKnot @@ #, ImageSize → 240],
```

```
{Right, Bottom}, {Right, Bottom}]
```

```
] & /@ {{13, 2}, {17, 3}, {13, 5}, {7, 6}}]
```



**Questions, Conjectures, Expectations, Dreams.**

## Question 1.

What's the relationship between  $\Theta$  and the Garoufalidis-Kashaev invariants [GK, GL]?

## Conjecture 2.

On classical (non-virtual) knots,  $\theta$  always has hexagonal ( $D_6$ ) symmetry.

## Conjecture 3.

$\theta$  is the  $\epsilon^1$  contribution to the “solvable approximation” of the  $sl_3$  universal invariant, obtained by running the quantization machinery on the double  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{b}, b, \epsilon\delta)$ , where  $\mathfrak{b}$  is the Borel subalgebra of  $sl_3$ ,  $b$  is the bracket of  $\mathfrak{b}$ , and  $\delta$  the cobracket. See [BV2, BN1, Sch]

## Conjecture 4.

$\theta$  is equal to the “two-loop contribution to the Kontsevich Integral”, as studied by Garoufalidis, Rozansky, Kricker, and in great detail by Ohtsuki [GR, Ro1, Ro2, Ro3, Kr, Oh].

**Fact 5.**  $\theta$  has a perturbed Gaussian integral formula, with integration carried out over a space  $6E$ , consisting of 6 copies of the space of edges of a knot diagram  $D$ . See [BN2].

**Conjecture 6.** For any knot  $K$ , its genus  $g(K)$  is bounded by the  $T_1$ -degree of  $\theta$ :  
 $2g(K) \geq \deg_{T_1} \theta(K)$ .

**Conjecture 7.**  $\theta(K)$  has another perturbed Gaussian integral formula, with integration carried out over the space  $6H_1$ , consisting of 6 copies of  $H_1(\Sigma)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a Seifert surface for  $K$ .

## Question 8.

Is there a direct quantum field theory derivation of  $\theta$ ? Perhaps using the  $\epsilon$ -expansion (at constant  $k!$ ) of Chern-Simons-Witten theory with gauge group  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\epsilon := \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{b}, b, \epsilon\delta)$  with some Seifert-surface-dependent gauge fixing?

## Expectation 9.

There are many further invariants like  $\theta$ , given by Green function formulas and/or Gaussian integration formulas. One or two of them may be stronger than  $\theta$  and as computable.

## Dream 10.

These invariants can be explained by something less foreign than semisimple Lie algebras.

## Dream 11.

$\theta$  will have something to say about ribbon knots.

Thank You!

## References.

- [BN1] D. Bar-Natan, Everything around  $sl_{2+}^\epsilon$  is DoPeGDO. So what?, talk in Da Nang, May 2019.  
Handout and video at [\omega\epsilon\beta/DPG](#).
- [BN2] —, Knot Invariants from Finite Dimensional Integration, talks in Beijing (July 2024,  
[\omega\epsilon\beta/icbs24](#)) and in Geneva (August 2024, [\omega\epsilon\beta/ge24](#)).
- [BV1] —, R. van der Veen, A Perturbed-Alexander Invariant, Quantum Topology **15** (2024)  
449–472, [\omega\epsilon\beta/APAI](#).
- [BV2] —, —, Perturbed Gaussian Generating Functions for Universal Knot Invariants, [arXiv:2109.02057](#).
- [DHOEBL] N. Dunfield, A. Hirani, M. Obeidin, A. Ehrenberg, S. Bhattacharyya, D. Lei, and  
others, Random Knots: A Preliminary Report, lecture notes at [\omega\epsilon\beta/DHOEBL](#). Also a data file  
at [\omega\epsilon\beta/DD](#).
- [GK] S. Garoufalidis, R. Kashaev, Multivariable Knot Polynomials from Braided Hopf Algebras  
with Automorphisms, [arXiv:2311.11528](#).
- [GL] —, S. Y. Li, Patterns of the  $V_2$ -polynomial of knots, [arXiv:2409.03557](#).
- [GR] —, L. Rozansky, The Loop Expansion of the Kontsevich Integral, the Null-Move, and  
S-Equivalence, [arXiv:math.GT/0003187](#).

[Jo] V. F. R. Jones, Hecke Algebra Representations of Braid Groups and Link Polynomials, Annals Math., **126** (1987) 335–388.

[Kr] A. Kricker, The Lines of the Kontsevich Integral and Rozansky's Rationality Conjecture, [arXiv:math/0005284](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0005284).

[LTW] X-S. Lin, F. Tian, Z. Wang, Burau Representation and Random Walk on String Links, Pac. J. Math., **182-2** (1998) 289–302, [arXiv:q-alg/9605023](https://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9605023).

[Oh] T. Ohtsuki, On the 2-loop Polynomial of Knots, Geom. Top. **11** (2007) 1357–1475.

[Ov] A. Overbay, Perturbative Expansion of the Colored Jones Polynomial, Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina, Aug. 2013,  $\omega\epsilon\beta/Ov$ .

[Ro1] L. Rozansky, A Contribution of the Trivial Flat Connection to the Jones Polynomial and Witten's Invariant of 3D Manifolds, I, Comm. Math. Phys. **175-2** (1996) 275–296, [arXiv:hep-th/9401061](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9401061).

[Ro2] —, The Universal  $R$ -Matrix, Burau Representation and the Melvin-Morton Expansion of the Colored Jones Polynomial, Adv. Math. **134-1** (1998) 1–31, [arXiv:q-alg/9604005](https://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9604005).

[Ro3] —, A Universal  $U(1)$ -RCC Invariant of Links and Rationality Conjecture, [arXiv:math/0201139](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0201139).

[Sch] S. Schaveling, Expansions of Quantum Group Invariants, Ph.D. thesis, Universiteit Leiden,  
September 2020,  $\omega\epsilon\beta/\text{Scha}$ .