



Locally Euclidean Knotted Objects, Meta-Hopf Algebras, and Circuit Algebras

Thanks for inviting me to Hefei!

Abstract. Seeing that I have nothing to say about operads, I'll talk about other "generalized algebraic structures" that I like. Specifically, I will explain what are locally Euclidean knotted objects and how they form a "meta-Hopf algebra" (along the way explaining what is that latter notion). I will then describe the "circuit algebra" of linearized circuits and explain how to use it to construct a "Yang-Baxter meta-Hopf algebra" which generalizes the Alexander polynomial. I will have no time to explain, yet I'll sketch, how "solvable approximation of semi-simple Lie algebras" lead to more sophisticated Yang-Baxter meta-Hopf algebras which lead to very powerful poly-time computable knot polynomials.

What we care for in knot theory.



Knotted Candles by Dror Bar-Natan (2008)
based on data by Brian Gilbert
<http://drorbn.net/ap/2008-12/>

--	--

Pensieve header: Derived from

pensieve://Projects/SL2Invariant/Archive/nb/SL2Invariant-180811.pdf.

Program

Internal Utilities

Canonical Form:

```
CF[ $\mathcal{E}$ ] := ExpandDenominator@ExpandNumerator@Together[
  Expand[ $\mathcal{E}$ ] /. ex ey -> ex+y /. ex -> eCF[x]];
```

The Kronecker δ :

```
K $\delta$  /: K $\delta$ i,j := If[i == j, 1, 0];
```

Equality, multiplication, and degree-adjustment of perturbed Gaussians; $\mathbb{E}[L, Q, P]$ stands for $e^{L+Q} P$:

```
 $\mathbb{E}$  /:  $\mathbb{E}[L1_, Q1_, P1_] \equiv \mathbb{E}[L2_, Q2_, P2_] :=$ 
  CF[L1 == L2]  $\wedge$  CF[Q1 == Q2]  $\wedge$  CF[Normal[P1 - P2] == 0];
 $\mathbb{E}$  /:  $\mathbb{E}[L1_, Q1_, P1_] \mathbb{E}[L2_, Q2_, P2_] :=$ 
   $\mathbb{E}[L1 + L2, Q1 + Q2, P1 * P2]$ ;
```

Zip and Bind

Variables and their duals:

```
{t*, b*, y*, a*, x*, z*} = { $\tau$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\xi$ ,  $\zeta$ };
{ $\tau^*$ ,  $\beta^*$ ,  $\eta^*$ ,  $\alpha^*$ ,  $\xi^*$ ,  $\zeta^*$ } = {t, b, y, a, x, z};
(u-i)* := (u*)i;
```

Finite Zips:

```
collect[ $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\zeta$ ] := Collect[ $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\zeta$ ];
Zip[ $\{P\}$ ] := P; Zip[ $\{\mathcal{E}, \zeta\}$ ][ $P$ ] :=
  (collect[P // Zip[ $\{\mathcal{E}, \zeta\}$ ],  $\zeta$ ] /. f[\mathcal{E}*, d] ->  $\partial_{\{\mathcal{E}*, d\}} f$ ) /.  $\zeta^* \rightarrow 0$ 
```

QZip implements the “Q-level zips” on $\mathbb{E}(L, Q, P) = P e^{L+Q}$. Such zips regard the L variables as scalars.

```
QZip[ $\zeta$ s_List@ $\mathbb{E}[L_, Q_, P_] :=$ 
  Module[{ $\zeta$ , z, zs, c, ys,  $\eta$ s, qt, zrule, Q1, Q2},
    zs = Table[ $\zeta^*$ , { $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta$ s}];
    c = Q /. Alternatives@@ ( $\zeta$ s  $\cup$  zs)  $\rightarrow$  0;
    ys = Table[ $\partial_{\zeta}(Q /. Alternatives@@ zs \rightarrow 0)$ , { $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta$ s}];
     $\eta$ s = Table[ $\partial_z(Q /. Alternatives@@ \zeta$ s  $\rightarrow$  0), {z, zs}];
    qt = Inverse@Table[K $\delta$ z, $\zeta^*$  -  $\partial_{z,\zeta} Q$ , { $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta$ s}, {z, zs}];
    zrule = Thread[zs  $\rightarrow$  qt. (zs + ys)];
    Q2 = (Q1 = c +  $\eta$ s.zs /. zrule) /. Alternatives@@ zs  $\rightarrow$  0;
    CF /@  $\mathbb{E}[L, Q2, Det[qt] e^{-Q2} Zip_{\zeta$ s}[eQ1 (P /. zrule)]]];
```

Upper to lower and lower to Upper:

```
U21 = {Bip -> e-p h y bi, Bip -> e-p h y b, Tip -> ep h ti,
  Tip -> ep h t,  $\mathcal{A}$ ip -> ep y  $\alpha$ i,  $\mathcal{A}$ ip -> ep y  $\alpha$ };
12U = {ec- bi + d- -> Bi-c/(h y) ed, ec- b + d- -> B-c/(h y) ed,
  ec- ti + d- -> Tic/h ed, ec- t + d- -> Tc/h ed,
  ec-  $\alpha$ i + d- ->  $\mathcal{A}$ ic/y ed, ec-  $\alpha$  + d- ->  $\mathcal{A}$ c/y ed,
  e $\mathcal{E}$  -> eExpand@ $\mathcal{E}$ };
```

LZip implements the “L-level zips” on $\mathbb{E}(L, Q, P) = P e^{L+Q}$. Such zips regard all of $P e^Q$ as a single “P”. Here the z’s are b and α and the ζ ’s are β and a .

```
LZip[ $\zeta$ s_List@ $\mathbb{E}[L_, Q_, P_] :=$ 
  Module[{ $\zeta$ , z, zs, c, ys,  $\eta$ s, lt, zrule, L1, L2, Q1, Q2},
    zs = Table[ $\zeta^*$ , { $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta$ s}];
    c = L /. Alternatives@@ ( $\zeta$ s  $\cup$  zs)  $\rightarrow$  0;
    ys = Table[ $\partial_{\zeta}(L /. Alternatives@@ zs \rightarrow 0)$ , { $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta$ s}];
     $\eta$ s = Table[ $\partial_z(L /. Alternatives@@ \zeta$ s  $\rightarrow$  0), {z, zs}];
    lt = Inverse@Table[K $\delta$ z, $\zeta^*$  -  $\partial_{z,\zeta} L$ , { $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta$ s}, {z, zs}];
    zrule = Thread[zs  $\rightarrow$  lt. (zs + ys)];
    L2 = (L1 = c +  $\eta$ s.zs /. zrule) /. Alternatives@@ zs  $\rightarrow$  0;
    Q2 = (Q1 = Q /. U21 /. zrule) /. Alternatives@@ zs  $\rightarrow$  0;
    CF /@  $\mathbb{E}[L2, Q2, Det[lt] e^{-L2-Q2} Zip_{\zeta$ s}[eL1+Q1 (P /. U21 /. zrule)]] // 12U];
```

```
Bi[ $L_, R_$ ] := L R;
B{is}[ $L_{\mathcal{E}}, R_{\mathcal{E}}$ ] := Module[{n},
  Times[
    L /. Table[(v : b | B | t | T | a | x | y)i  $\rightarrow$  vnei,
      {i, {is}}],
    R /. Table[(v :  $\beta$  |  $\tau$  |  $\alpha$  |  $\mathcal{A}$  |  $\xi$  |  $\eta$ )i  $\rightarrow$  vnei, {i, {is}}]
  ] // LZipJoin@Table[{ $\beta$ nei,  $\tau$ nei,  $\alpha$ nei}, {i, {is}}] //
  QZipJoin@Table[{ $\xi$ nei,  $\eta$ nei}, {i, {is}}];
```

```
Bis[_][ $L_, R_$ ] := B{is}[L, R];
mi,j $\rightarrow$ k :=  $\mathbb{E}$ [ak  $\alpha$ i + ak  $\alpha$ j + bk  $\beta$ i + bk  $\beta$ j,
   $\frac{1}{h \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j}$  (h yk  $\mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j \eta_i + h y_k \mathcal{A}_j \eta_j + h x_k \mathcal{A}_i \xi_i + \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j \eta_j \xi_i -$ 
  Bk  $\mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j \eta_j \xi_i + h x_k \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j \xi_j)$ , 1];
```

```
Ri,j :=  $\mathbb{E}$ [h aj bi, h xj yi, 1];
 $\bar{R}$ i,j :=  $\mathbb{E}$ [-h aj bi, - $\frac{h x_j y_i}{B_i}$ , 1];
Si :=  $\mathbb{E}$ [-ai  $\alpha$ i - bi  $\beta$ i,
   $\frac{1}{h B_i}$  (-h yi  $\mathcal{A}_i \eta_i - h B_i x_i \mathcal{A}_i \xi_i + \mathcal{A}_i \eta_i \xi_i - B_i \mathcal{A}_i \eta_i \xi_i)$ , 1];
```

```
 $\Delta$ i $\rightarrow$ j,k :=  $\mathbb{E}$ [aj  $\alpha$ i + ak  $\alpha$ i + bj  $\beta$ i + bk  $\beta$ i,
  yj  $\eta_i$  + Bj yk  $\eta_i$  + xj  $\xi_i$  + xk  $\xi_i$ , 1];
Ci :=  $\mathbb{E}$ [0, 0, Bi1/2];
 $\bar{C}$ i :=  $\mathbb{E}$ [0, 0, Bi1/2];
Kinki := (R1,3 C2) ~ B1,2 ~ m1,2 $\rightarrow$ 1 ~ B1,3 ~ m1,3 $\rightarrow$ i;
 $\bar{K}$ inki := ( $\bar{R}$ 1,3 C2) ~ B1,2 ~ m1,2 $\rightarrow$ 1 ~ B1,3 ~ m1,3 $\rightarrow$ i;
```

Testing

```
HL[ $\mathcal{E}$ ] := Style[ $\mathcal{E}$ , Background  $\rightarrow$  Yellow];
(co)-associativity
HL /@ {( $\Delta$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1,2 ~ B2 ~  $\Delta$ 2 $\rightarrow$ 2,3)  $\equiv$  ( $\Delta$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1,3 ~ B1 ~  $\Delta$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1,2),
  (m1,2 $\rightarrow$ 1 ~ B1 ~ m1,3 $\rightarrow$ 1)  $\equiv$  (m2,3 $\rightarrow$ 2 ~ B2 ~ m1,2 $\rightarrow$ 1) }
{True, True}
 $\Delta$  is an algebra morphism
HL [m1,2 $\rightarrow$ 1 ~ B1 ~  $\Delta$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1,2  $\equiv$  ( $\Delta$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1,3  $\Delta$ 2 $\rightarrow$ 2,4) ~ B1,2,3,4 ~ (m3,4 $\rightarrow$ 2 m1,2 $\rightarrow$ 1)]
True
S2 inverts R, but not S1:
{R1,2 ~ B1 ~ S1  $\equiv$   $\bar{R}$ 1,2, HL [R1,2 ~ B2 ~ S2  $\equiv$   $\bar{R}$ 1,2]}
{True, True}
S is convolution inverse of id
HL [#  $\equiv$   $\mathbb{E}$ [0, 0, 1]] & /@
{( $\Delta$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1,2 ~ B1 ~ S1) ~ B1,2 ~ m1,2 $\rightarrow$ 1, ( $\Delta$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 1,2 ~ B2 ~ S2) ~ B1,2 ~ m1,2 $\rightarrow$ 1}
{True, True}
```

S is a (co)-algebra anti-morphism

$$\text{HL } /@ \text{Expand } /@ \{ m_{1,2 \rightarrow 1} \sim B_1 \sim S_1 \equiv (S_1 S_2) \sim B_{1,2} \sim m_{2,1 \rightarrow 1}, \\ S_1 \sim B_1 \sim \Delta_{1 \rightarrow 1,2} \equiv \Delta_{1 \rightarrow 2,1} \sim B_{1,2} \sim (S_1 S_2) \}$$

{ True, True }

Quasi-triangular axiom 1:

$$\text{HL } [R_{1,2} \sim B_1 \sim \Delta_{1 \rightarrow 1,3} \equiv (R_{1,4} R_{3,2}) \sim B_{2,4} \sim m_{2,4 \rightarrow 2}]$$

True

Quasi-triangular axiom 2:

$$\text{HL } [((\Delta_{1 \rightarrow 1,2} R_{3,4}) \sim B_{1,2,3,4} \sim (m_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} m_{2,4 \rightarrow 2})) \equiv \\ ((\Delta_{1 \rightarrow 2,1} R_{3,4}) \sim B_{1,2,3,4} \sim (m_{3,1 \rightarrow 1} m_{4,2 \rightarrow 2}))]$$

True

$$\text{HL } /@ \{ (C_i \bar{C}_j) \sim B_{i,j} \sim m_{i,j \rightarrow i} \equiv \mathbb{E} [0, 0, 1],$$

$$(\bar{C}_i \bar{C}_j) \sim B_{i,j} \sim m_{i,j \rightarrow i} \equiv$$

$$((R_{1,2} \sim B_1 \sim S_1 \sim B_{1,2} \sim m_{2,1 \rightarrow 1}) \sim B_i \sim S_i)$$

$$(R_{1,2} \sim B_2 \sim S_2 \sim B_2 \sim S_2 \sim B_{1,2} \sim m_{2,1 \rightarrow j}) \sim B_{i,j} \sim m_{i,j \rightarrow i} \}$$

{ True, True }

Reidemeister 2:

$$\text{HL } [\# \equiv \mathbb{E} [0, 0, 1]] \& /@$$

$$\{ (\bar{R}_{1,2} R_{3,4}) \sim B_{1,2,3,4} \sim (m_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} m_{2,4 \rightarrow 2}),$$

$$(R_{1,2} \bar{R}_{3,4}) \sim B_{1,2,3,4} \sim (m_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} m_{2,4 \rightarrow 2}) \}$$

{ True, True }

Cyclic Reidemeister 2:

$$\text{HL } [(R_{1,4} \bar{R}_{5,2} \bar{C}_3) \sim B_{2,4} \sim m_{2,4 \rightarrow 2} \sim B_{1,3} \sim m_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} \sim B_{1,5} \sim m_{1,5 \rightarrow 1} \equiv \bar{C}_1]$$

True

Reidemeister 3:

$$\text{HL } [((R_{1,2} R_{4,3} R_{5,6}) \sim B_{1,4} \sim m_{1,4 \rightarrow 1} \sim B_{2,5} \sim m_{2,5 \rightarrow 2} \sim B_{3,6} \sim m_{3,6 \rightarrow 3}) \equiv$$

$$((R_{1,6} R_{2,3} R_{4,5}) \sim B_{1,4} \sim m_{1,4 \rightarrow 1} \sim B_{2,5} \sim m_{2,5 \rightarrow 2} \sim B_{3,6} \sim m_{3,6 \rightarrow 3})]$$

True

The Trefoil

$$Z = R_{1,5} R_{6,2} R_{3,7} \bar{C}_4 \overline{\text{kink}_8} \overline{\text{kink}_9} \overline{\text{kink}_{10}};$$

$$\text{Do } [Z = Z \sim B_{1,r} \sim m_{1,r \rightarrow 1}, \{ r, 2, 10 \}];$$

Simplify /@ Z

$$\mathbb{E} \left[0, 0, \frac{B_1}{1 - B_1 + B_1^2} \right]$$