

# DaNang-1905 Post Mortem

May 29, 2019 9:52 PM

1. Replace "Engine-Speedy" with a recovered "Engine-Compact".
2. Put  $\backslash$ Lambda into all qualifying objects.
3. "Small" means "belonging to some ideal"; only F \*or\* G need to be small!

Dror Bar-Natan: Talks: DaNang-1905: Thanks for inviting me to Da Nang! Continues Rozansky [Ro1, Ro2, Ro3] and Overbay [Ov], joint with van der Veen [BV].

## Everything around $sl_{2+}^{\epsilon}$ is DoPeGDO. So what?

**Abstract.** I'll explain what "everything around" means: classical and quantum  $m, \Delta, S, tr, R, C,$  and  $\theta,$  as well as  $P, \Phi, J, \mathcal{D},$  and more, and all of their compositions. What **DoPeGDO** means: the category of **Docile Perturbed Gaussian Differential Operators**. And what  $sl_{2+}^{\epsilon}$  means: a solvable approximation of the semi-simple Lie algebra  $sl_2$ .

**Conventions.** 1. For a set  $A,$  let  $z_A := \{z_i\}_{i \in A}$  and let  $\zeta_A := \{\zeta_i = \zeta_i\}_{i \in A}.$   $\dagger 1$  2. Everything converges!

**DoPeGDO** := The category with objects finite sets  $\dagger 2$  and  $\text{mor}(A \rightarrow B):$

$$\{\mathcal{F} = \omega \exp(Q + P)\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_A, z_B]$$

Where:  $\bullet$   $\omega$  is a scalar.  $\dagger 3$   $\bullet$   $Q$  is a "small" quadratic in  $\zeta_A \cup z_B.$   $\dagger 4$   $\bullet$   $P$  is a "docile perturbation":  $P = \sum_{k \geq 1} \epsilon^k P^{(k)},$  where  $\text{deg } P^{(k)} \leq 2k + 2.$   $\dagger 5$

$\bullet$  Compositions:  $\dagger 6$

$$\mathcal{F} \parallel \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{G}|_{\zeta_i \rightarrow \partial_i} \mathcal{F})_{z_i=0} = (\mathcal{F}|_{z_i \rightarrow \partial_i} \mathcal{G})_{\zeta_i=0}.$$

**Cool!**  $(V^*)^{\otimes \Sigma} \otimes V^{\otimes S}$  explodes; the ranks of quadratics and bounded-degree polynomials grow slowly!  $\dagger 7$

**Representation theory is over-rated!**

**Our Algebras.** Let  $sl_{2+}^{\epsilon} := L(y, b, a, x)$  subject to  $[a, x] = x, [b, y] = -\epsilon y, [a, b] = 0, [a, y] = -y, [b, x] = \epsilon x,$  and  $[x, y] = \epsilon a + b.$  So  $t := \epsilon a - b$  is central and if  $\exists \epsilon^{-1}, sl_{2+}^{\epsilon} / \langle t \rangle \cong sl_2.$

$U$  is either  $CU = \mathcal{U}(sl_{2+}^{\epsilon})$  or  $QU = \mathcal{U}_h(sl_{2+}^{\epsilon}) = A(y, b, a, x)$  with  $[a, x] = x, [b, y] = -\epsilon y, [a, b] = 0, [a, y] = -y, [b, x] = \epsilon x,$  and  $xy - qyx = (1 - AB)/\hbar,$  where  $q = e^{\hbar \epsilon}, A = e^{-\hbar \epsilon a},$  and  $B = e^{-\hbar b}.$  Set also  $T = A^{-1}B = e^{\hbar t}.$

**The Quantum Leap.** Also decree that in  $QU,$

$$\Delta(y, b, a, x) = (y_1 + B_1 y_2, b_1 + b_2, a_1 + a_2, x_1 + A_1 x_2),$$

$$S(y, b, a, x) = (-B^{-1}y, -b, -a, -A^{-1}x),$$

and  $R = \sum \hbar^{j+k} y^j b^k \otimes a^j x^k / j! k! q^j.$

**Mid-Talk Debts.**  $\bullet$  What is this good for in quantum algebra?

- $\bullet$  In knot theory?
- $\bullet$  How does the "inclusion"  $\mathcal{D}: \text{Hom}(U^{\otimes \Sigma} \rightarrow U^{\otimes S}) \rightsquigarrow \text{DoPeGDO}$  work?
- $\bullet$  Proofs that everything around  $sl_{2+}^{\epsilon}$  really is **DoPeGDO**.
- $\bullet$  Relations with prior art.
- $\bullet$  The rest of the "compositions" story.

**Theorem** ([BG], conjectured [MM], elucidated [Ro1]). Let  $J_d(K)$  be the coloured Jones polynomial of  $K,$  in the  $d$ -dimensional representation of  $sl_2.$  Writing

$$\left. \frac{(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}) J_d(K)}{q^{d/2} - q^{-d/2}} \right|_{q=e^{\hbar}} = \sum_{j,m \geq 0} a_{jm}(K) d^j \hbar^m,$$

"below diagonal" coefficients vanish,  $a_{jm}(K) = 0$  if  $j > m,$  and "on diagonal" coefficients give the inverse of the Alexander polynomial:  $(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{mm}(K) \hbar^m) \cdot \omega(K)(e^{\hbar}) = 1.$

"Above diagonal" we have **Rozansky's Theorem** [Ro3], (1.2):

$$J_d(K)(q) = \frac{q^d - q^{-d}}{(q - q^{-1}) \omega(K)(q^d)} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q-1)^k \rho_k(K)(q^d)}{\omega^{2k}(K)(q^d)} \right).$$

Melvin, Morton, Garoufalidis

**Less Abstract**

**4D Metrized Lie Algebras**

**DoPeGDO** := The category with objects finite sets  $\dagger 2$  and  $\text{mor}(A \rightarrow B):$

$$\{\mathcal{F} = \omega \exp(Q + P)\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_A, z_B]$$

Where:  $\bullet$   $\omega$  is a scalar.  $\dagger 3$   $\bullet$   $Q$  is a "small" quadratic in  $\zeta_A \cup z_B.$   $\dagger 4$   $\bullet$   $P$  is a "docile perturbation":  $P = \sum_{k \geq 1} \epsilon^k P^{(k)},$  where  $\text{deg } P^{(k)} \leq 2k + 2.$   $\dagger 5$

$\bullet$  Compositions:  $\dagger 6$

$$\mathcal{F} \parallel \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{G}|_{\zeta_i \rightarrow \partial_i} \mathcal{F})_{z_i=0} = (\mathcal{F}|_{z_i \rightarrow \partial_i} \mathcal{G})_{\zeta_i=0}.$$

**Cool!**  $(V^*)^{\otimes \Sigma} \otimes V^{\otimes S}$  explodes; the ranks of quadratics and bounded-degree polynomials grow slowly!  $\dagger 7$

**Representation theory is over-rated!**

**Compositions (1).** In  $\text{mor}(A \rightarrow B), Q = \sum_{i \in A, j \in B} E_{ij} \zeta_i z_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in A} F_{ij} \zeta_i \zeta_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in B} G_{ij} z_i z_j$

greek

latin

Where  $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \det(I - F_2 G_1)^{-1}.$

- $\bullet$   $E = E_1(I - F_2 G_1)^{-1} E_2.$
- $\bullet$   $F = F_1 + E_1 F_2 (I - G_1 F_2)^{-1} E_1^T.$
- $\bullet$   $G = G_2 + E_2^T G_1 (I - F_2 G_1)^{-1} E_2.$
- $\bullet$   $P$  is computed using "connected Feynman diagrams" or as the solution of a messy PDE (yet we're still in algebra!).

**DoPeGDO Footnotes.**  $\dagger 1$ . Each variable has a "weight"  $\in \{0, 1, 2\},$  and always  $\text{wt } z_i + \text{wt } \zeta_i = 2.$

$\dagger 2$ . Really, "weight-graded finite sets"  $A = A_0 \sqcup A_1 \sqcup A_2.$

$\dagger 3$ . Really, a power series in the weight-0 variables  $\dagger 9.$

$\dagger 4$ . The weight of  $Q$  must be 2, so it decomposes as  $Q = Q_{20} + Q_{11}.$  The coefficients of  $Q_{20}$  are rational numbers while the coefficients of  $Q_{11}$  may be weight-0 power series  $\dagger 9.$

$\dagger 5$ . Setting  $\text{wt } \epsilon = -2,$  the weight of  $P$  is  $\leq 2$  (so the powers of the weight-0 variables are not constrained  $\dagger 9).$

$\dagger 6$ . There's also an obvious product  $\text{mor}(A_1 \rightarrow B_1) \times \text{mor}(A_2 \rightarrow B_2) \rightarrow \text{mor}(A_1 \sqcup A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \sqcup B_2).$

$\dagger 7$ . That is, if the weight-0 variables are ignored. Otherwise more care is needed yet the conclusion remains.

$\dagger 8$ .  $\text{Hom}(U^{\otimes \Sigma} \rightarrow U^{\otimes S}) \rightsquigarrow \text{mor}(\{\eta_i, \beta_i, \tau_i, \alpha_i, \xi_i\}_{i \in \Sigma} \rightarrow \{y_i, b_i, t_i, a_i, x_i\}_{i \in S}),$  where  $\text{wt}(\eta_i, \xi_i, y_i, x_i) = 1$  and  $\text{wt}(\beta_i, \tau_i, \alpha_i; b_i, t_i, a_i) = (2, 2, 0; 0, 0, 2).$

$\dagger 9$ . For tangle invariants the weight-0 power series are always rational functions in the exponentials of the weight-0 variables (for knots: just one variable).

DaNang-1905 Page 1