Oregon Handout as of August 4, 2011 (3)

August-04-11
4:35 PM

The Pure Virtual Braid Group is Quadratic[1]

Dror Bar–Natan and Peter Lee in Oregon, August 2011
http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/Talks/Oregon-1108/
foots & refs on PDF version, page 3

Abstract Generalities

Let K be a unital algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F}=0$, and let $I\subset K$ be an "augmentation ideal"; so $K/I \xrightarrow[\epsilon]{\sim} \mathbb{F}$.

Definition. Say that K is quadratic if its associated graded $\operatorname{gr}K=\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} I^p/I^{p+1}$ is a quadratic algebra. Alternatively, let $A=q(K)=\langle V=I/I^2\rangle/\langle R_2=\ker(\bar\mu_2:V\otimes V\to I^2/I^3)\rangle$ be the "quadratic approximation" to K (q is a lovely functor). Then K is quadratic iff the obvious $\mu:A\to\operatorname{gr}K$ is an isomorphism. If G is a group, we say it is quadratic if its group ring is, with its augmentation ideal.

The Overall Strategy. Consider the "singularity tower" of (K,I) (here ":" means $\otimes_K$ and μ is (always) multiplication):

$$\cdots\ I^{:p+1}\xrightarrow{\mu_{p+1}} I^{:p}\xrightarrow{\mu_p} I^{:p-1}\longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow K$$

We care as $\operatorname{im}(\mu^p=\mu_1\circ\cdots\circ\mu_p)=I^p$, so $I^p/I^{p+1}=\operatorname{im}\mu^p/\operatorname{im}\mu^{p+1}$. Hence we ask:

- What's $I^{:p}/\mu(I^{:p+1})$?
- How injective is this tower?

Lemma. $I^{:p}/\mu(I^{:p+1})\simeq(I/I^2)^{\otimes p}=V^{\otimes p}$; set $\pi:I^{:p}\to V^{\otimes p}$.

Flow Chart.



Proposition 1. The sequence

$$\mathfrak{R}_p:=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{p-1}\left(I^{:j-1}:\mathfrak{R}_2:I^{:p-j-1}\right)\xrightarrow{\partial} I^{:p}\xrightarrow{\mu_p} I^{:p-1}$$

is exact, where $\mathfrak{R}_2:=\ker\mu:I^{:2}\to I$; so (K,I) is "2-local".

The Free Case. If J is an augmentation ideal in $K=F=\langle x_i\rangle$, define $\psi:F\to F$ by $x_i\mapsto x_i+\epsilon(x_i)$. Then $J_0:=\psi(J)$ is $\{w\in F:\deg w>0\}$. For J_0 it is easy to check that $\mathfrak{R}_2=\mathfrak{R}_p=0$, and hence the same is true for every J.

The General Case. If $K=F/\langle M\rangle$ (where M is a vector space of "moves") and $I\subset K$, then $I=J/\langle M\rangle$ where $J\subset F$. Then $I^{:p}=J^{:p}/\sum J^{:j-1}:\langle M\rangle:J^{:p-j}$ and we have

$$\begin{CD} J^{:p} @>{\mu_F}>{\text{1-1}}> J^{:p-1}\\ @V{\text{onto}}V{\pi_p}V @V{\pi_{p-1}}V{\text{onto}}V\\ I^{:p}=J^{:p}/\sum J^{:}:\langle M\rangle:J^{:} @>{\mu}>> I^{:p-1}=J^{:p-1}/\sum J^{:}:\langle M\rangle:J^{:} \end{CD}$$

So $\ker(\mu)=\pi_p\left(\mu_F^{-1}(\ker\pi_{p-1})\right)=\pi_p\left(\sum\mu_F^{-1}\left(J^{:}:\langle M\rangle:J^{:}\right)\right)=\sum\pi_p\left(J^{:}:\mu_F^{-1}\langle M\rangle:J^{:}\right)=\sum I^{:}:\mathfrak{R}_2:I^{:}=:\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\mathfrak{R}_{p,j}$.

$\mathfrak{R}_2$ is simpler than may seem! It's an "augmentation bimodule" ($I\mathfrak{R}_2=0=\mathfrak{R}_2 I$ thus $xr=\epsilon(x)r=r\epsilon(x)=rx$ for $x\in K$ and $r\in\mathfrak{R}_2$), and hence $\mathfrak{R}_2=\pi_2(\mu_F^{-1}M)$.

$$\begin{CD} J^{:2} @>{\mu_F}>{\text{1-1}}> J\supset M\\ @V{\pi_2}VV @VV{\pi_1}V\\ I^{:2} @>{\mu}>> I=J/\langle M\rangle \end{CD}$$

$\mathfrak{R}_p$ is simpler than may seem! In $\mathfrak{R}_{p,j}=I^{:j-1}:\mathfrak{R}_2:I^{p-j-1}$ the I factors may be replaced by $V=I/I^2$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{R}_p\simeq\bigoplus_{j=1}^{p-1}V^{\oplus j-1}\otimes\pi_2(\mu_F^{-1}M)\otimes V^{\otimes p-j-1}.$$

Claim. $\pi(\mathfrak{R}p,j)=R_{p,j}$; namely,

$$\pi\left(I^{:j-1}:\mathfrak{R}_2:I^{:p-j-1}\right)=V^{\otimes j-1}\otimes R_2\otimes V^{\otimes p-j-1}.$$

Why Care? • In abstract generality, $\operatorname{gr}K$ is a simplified version of K and if it is quadratic it is as simple as it may be without being silly. • In some concrete (somewhat generalized) knot theoretic cases, A is a space of "universal Lie algebraic formulas" and the "primary approach" for proving (strong) quadraticity, constructing an appropriate homomorphism $Z:K\to\hat A$, becomes wonderful mathematics:

	u-Knots and Braids	v-Knots	w-Knots
K			
A	Metrized Lie algebras [BN1]	Lie bialgebras [Hav]	Finite dimensional Lie algebras [BN3]
Z	Associators [Dri, BND]	Etingof-Kazhdan quantization [EK, BN2]	Kashiwara-Vergne-Alekseev-Torossian [KV, AT]

2-Injectivity. A (one-sided infinite) sequence

$$\cdots\longrightarrow K_{p+1}\xrightarrow{\delta_{p+1}}K_p\xrightarrow{\delta_p}\cdots\longrightarrow K_0=K$$

is "injective" if for all $p>0$, $\ker\delta_p=0$. It is "2-injective" if its "1-reduction"

$$\cdots\longrightarrow\frac{K_{p+1}}{\ker\delta_{p+1}}\xrightarrow{\bar\delta_{p+1}}\frac{K_p}{\ker\delta_p}\xrightarrow{\bar\delta_p}\frac{K_{p-1}}{\ker\delta_{p-1}}\longrightarrow\cdots$$

is injective; i.e. if for all p, $\ker(\delta_p\circ\delta_{p+1})=\ker\delta_{p+1}$. A pair (K,I) is "2-injective" if its singularity tower is 2-injective.

Proposition 2. If (K,I) is 2-local and 2-injective, it is quadratic.

Proof. Staring at the 1-reduced sequence $\frac{I^{:p+1}}{\ker\mu_{p+1}}\xrightarrow{\mu_{p+1}}\frac{I^{:p}}{\ker\mu_p}\xrightarrow{\mu_p}\cdots\longrightarrow K$, get $\frac{I^p}{I^{p+1}}\simeq\frac{I^{:p}/\ker\mu_p}{\mu(I^{:p+1}/\ker\mu_{p+1})}\simeq\frac{I^{:p}}{\mu(I^{:p+1})+\ker\mu_p}$. But $\frac{I^{:p}}{\mu(I^{:p+1})}\simeq(I/I^2)^{\otimes p}$, so the above is $(I/I^2)^{\otimes p}/\sum\left(I^{:j-1}:\mathfrak{R}_2:I^{:p-j-1}\right)$. But that's the degree p piece of $q(K)$.

The X Lemma (inspired by [Hut]).



If the above diagram is Conway ($\asymp$) exact, then its two diagonals have the same "2-injectivity defect". That is, if $A_0\to B\to C_0$ and $A_1\to B\to C_1$ are exact, then $\ker(\beta_1\circ\alpha_0)/\ker\alpha_0\simeq\ker(\beta_0\circ\alpha_1)/\ker\alpha_1$.

Proof. $\frac{\ker(\beta_1\circ\alpha_0)}{\ker\alpha_0}\xrightarrow[\alpha_0]{\sim}\ker\beta_1\cap\operatorname{im}\alpha_0$

$$=\ker\beta_0\cap\operatorname{im}\alpha_1\xleftarrow[\alpha_1]{\sim}\frac{\ker(\beta_0\circ\alpha_1)}{\ker\alpha_1}.$$

The Hutchings Criterion [Hut]. The singularity tower of (K,I) is 2-injective iff on the right, $\ker(\pi\circ\partial)=\ker(\partial)$. That is, iff every "diagrammatic syzygy" is also a "topological syzygy".



Conclusion. We need to know that (K,I) is "syzygy complete" — that every diagrammatic syzygy is also a topological syzygy, that $\ker(\pi\circ\partial)=\ker(\partial)$.

The Pure Virtual Braid Group is Quadratic, II

Examples and Interpretations

Dror Bar–Natan and Peter Lee in Oregon, August 2011
http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/Talks/Oregon-1108/

Example. (goes back to [Koh])

T. Kohno

$K = \langle$ braids $\rangle$ $\quad I = \langle$ double point $=$ positive crossing $-$ negative crossing $\rangle$

$(K/I^{p+1})^\star =$ (invariants of type p) $=: \mathcal{V}_p$

$(I^p/I^{p+1})^\star = \mathcal{V}_p/\mathcal{V}_{p-1} \quad V = \langle t^{ij} | t^{ij} = t^{ji} \rangle = \langle | \vdash\!\dashv \rangle$

$\ker \bar{\mu}_2 = \langle [t^{ij}, t^{kl}] = 0 = [t^{ij}, t^{ik} + t^{jk}] \rangle = \langle$4T relations$\rangle$

$A = q(K) = \begin{pmatrix}\text{horizontal chord dia-}\\ \text{grams mod 4T}\end{pmatrix} = \langle$ chord diagrams $\rangle / $4T

Z: universal finite type invariant, the Kontsevich integral.

PvB_n is the group

$\langle \sigma_{ij} : 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \rangle \Big/ \begin{matrix}\sigma_{ij}\sigma_{ik}\sigma_{jk} = \sigma_{jk}\sigma_{ik}\sigma_{ij} \\ \sigma_{ij}\sigma_{kl} = \sigma_{kl}\sigma_{ij}\end{matrix}$

L. Kauffman [Kau, KL]

of "pure virtual braids" ("braids when you look", "blunder braids"):

$\sigma_{24} =$ R3:



The Main Theorem [Lee]. PvB_n is quadratic.

$A_n = q(PvB_n)$.

[GPV]

Goussarov-Polyak-Viro

$I = \langle$ semi-virtual crossings $\rangle$ with $\tilde{\sigma}_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} - 1 =$ (crossing) $-$ (virtual crossing), the "semi-virtual crossing".

$V = I/I^2 = \left\langle \begin{matrix}\text{v-braids}\\ \text{with one semi-virtual crossing}\end{matrix} \right\rangle \Big/ (\text{R3-type relation})$ $\quad a_{24} =$ (arrow diagram)

$= \langle a_{ij} \rangle_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}$

$A_n = TV/\langle [a_{ij}, a_{ik}] + [a_{ij}, a_{jk}] + [a_{ik}, a_{jk}],\ c^{ij}_{kl} = [a_{ij}, a_{kl}] \rangle$,

$y_{ijk} =$ (sum of six arrow diagrams, $+ + - - -$)

$I^{:p}$.



James Gillespie's Sightline #2 (1984) is a syzygy, and (arguably) Toronto's largest sculpture. Find it next to University of Toronto's Hart House.

$\mathfrak{R}_2(PvB_n)$ is generated as a vector space by C^{ij}_{kl} and

$Y_{ijk} :=$



Syzygy Completeness, for PvB_n, means:

$$\mathfrak{R}_p = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{p-1} \mathfrak{R}_{p,j} \xrightarrow{\partial} I^{:p} \xrightarrow{\pi} V^{\otimes p}$$

$\{\tilde{\sigma}_{12} : \underline{Y_{345}} : \tilde{\sigma}_{67} : \ldots\} \longrightarrow$

$\{\tilde{\sigma}_{12} : Y_{345} : \tilde{\sigma}_{67} : \ldots\} \longrightarrow \{a_{12} y_{345} a_{67} \ldots\}$

Is every relation between the y_{ijk}'s and the c^{ij}_{kl}'s also a relation between the Y_{ijk}'s and the C^{ij}_{kl}'s?



Just for fun.