

$$\gamma_{gr} : \text{Ass} \otimes \text{Ass} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$$

Recall:  $\tilde{u} \in \text{tder}(\text{Lie}(z_1, \dots, z_n))$

$$\tilde{u} \in \text{krV}_n \iff \tilde{u} \circ \gamma_{gr} = \gamma_{gr} \circ \tilde{u} \quad \& \quad \tilde{u} \circ \delta_{gr} = \delta_{gr} \circ \tilde{u}$$

$$\text{krV}_n^0 := \left\{ \tilde{u} \in \text{krV}_n \mid \text{div}(\tilde{u}) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{K} |z_i| \right\}$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{Thm (AKKN)} \\ \tilde{u} \in \text{krV}_n^0 \iff \tilde{u} \circ \gamma_{gr} = \gamma_{gr} \circ \tilde{u} \quad \& \quad \tilde{u} \circ (\mu_r)_{gr} = (\mu_r)_{gr} \circ \tilde{u} \end{array} \right]$$

$\nearrow$   
 can be replaced with  $(\mu_0)_{gr}$   
 $\mu_0 = \mathbb{R}$

Symmetric KV (Alekseev-Torossian § 8)

$$\text{krV}_2^{\text{sym}} := \left\{ (a(x, y), b(x, y)) \in \text{krV}_2 \mid a(x, y) = b(y, x) \right\}$$

- The AT map  $\nu : \text{gnt}_1 \rightarrow \text{krV}_2$  takes values in  $\text{krV}_2^{\text{sym}}$ .
- No non-symmetric elements in  $\text{krV}_2$  are known

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{Thm 1} \text{ Let } \varphi \in \text{Lie}(x, y) \text{ such that } \deg \varphi \geq 3 \ \& \\ (\varphi(y, x), \varphi(x, y)) \in \text{krV}_2^{\text{sym}}. \text{ Then, } \varphi \in \text{SolEM Pent.} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left( \rightsquigarrow \text{ surjectivity of } \text{gnt}_1^{\text{EM}} \longrightarrow \text{krV}_2^{\text{sym}} \right)$$

$\uparrow$  if properly defined

$$\text{gnt}_1^{\text{EM}} \longrightarrow \text{krV}_2$$

R



Some preliminary considerations about  $\mu_0 = (\mu_0)_{gr}$

Prop 2 Let  $\tilde{u} \in \text{sder}(\text{Lie}(z_1, \dots, z_n))$ . Then,  $\mu_0 \circ \tilde{u} - \tilde{u} \circ \mu_0$  is a derivation on  $\text{Ass}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Namely, for  $\forall a, \forall b \in \text{Ass}$

$$(\mu_0 \circ \tilde{u} - \tilde{u} \circ \mu_0)(ab) = (\mu_0(\tilde{u}(a)) - \tilde{u}(\mu_0(a)))b + a(\mu_0(\tilde{u}(b)) - \tilde{u}(\mu_0(b)))$$

proof

$$\mu_0(\tilde{u}(ab)) = \mu_0(\tilde{u}(a)b + a\tilde{u}(b)) = \dots + \mu_0(\tilde{u}(a))b + \tilde{u}(a)\mu_0(b) + \eta(\tilde{u}(a), b) + \dots$$

$$\tilde{u}(\mu_0(ab)) = \tilde{u}(\mu_0(a)b + a\mu_0(b) + \eta(a, b)) = \dots$$

Use the relation  $\tilde{u}(\eta(a, b)) = \eta(\tilde{u}(a), b) + \eta(a, \tilde{u}(b))$ , which follows from the assumption  $\tilde{u} \in \text{sder}$ . //

Since  $\mu_0$  vanishes on degree 1 elements, we obtain

Cor 3  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \text{Ass}$  degree 1

$$(\mu_0 \circ \tilde{u} - \tilde{u} \circ \mu_0)(a_1 \dots a_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m (a_1 \dots a_{i-1}) \mu_0(\tilde{u}(a_i))(a_{i+1} \dots a_m)$$

~~$-\tilde{u}(\mu_0(a_1))$~~

cf. Prop 1 in the notes "20240520...", which is about  $\mu_r$ .

Proof is a bit simplified.

Consider the case where  $\tilde{u} = (\varphi(y, x), \varphi(x, y)) \in \text{sder}_2$   
 for some  $\varphi \in \text{Lie}(x, y)$ . Then, (recall  $\mu_0 = -2R$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(\tilde{u}(y)) &= \mu_0([\varphi, \varphi]) = [\varphi, \mu_0(\varphi)] + \varphi \underbrace{\overline{\partial_y \varphi}}_{=} - (\partial_y \varphi) \varphi \\ &= [\varphi, \underbrace{\mu_0(\varphi) + \partial_y \varphi}_{\because f(x, y)}] \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mu_0(\tilde{u}(x)) = [x, \underbrace{\mu_0(\varphi)(y, x) + (\partial_y \varphi)(y, x)}_{\because p(x, y) = f(y, x)}]$

[Thm1 Let  $\varphi \in \text{Lie}(x, y)$  such that  $\deg \varphi \geq 3$  &  
 $(\varphi(y, x), \varphi(x, y)) \in \text{krv}_2^{\text{sym}}$ . Then,  $\varphi \in \text{SolEM Pent.}$ ]

proof ① Assume that  $\tilde{u} = (\varphi(y, x), \varphi(x, y)) \in \text{krv}_2^0 \cap \text{krv}_2^{\text{sym}}$ .

Then,  $\tilde{u} \in \text{sder}_2$  and  $\mu_0 \circ \tilde{u} - \tilde{u} \circ \mu_0 = 0$ .

suffices to consider  $y$ !

For  $\forall m, \forall n$ , applying Cor 3 to  $x^m y^n \in \text{Ass}$ , we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^m x^{i-1} [x, p] x^{m-i} y^n + \sum_{j=1}^n x^m y^{j-1} [y, q] y^{n-j}$$

$$= [x^m, p] y^n + x^m [y^n, q]$$

$\overset{y}{\sim} [y, q] = 0$

$$x^m y^n = a_1 \cdots a_m \quad \sum_{i=1}^m (a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}) \mu_0(u(a_i)) (a_{i+1} \cdots a_m) = 0$$

not needed!

~~Lemma Let  $f = f(x, y)$  &  $p = p(y, x) \in \text{Ass}$  satisfy  
 $[x^m, p]y^n + x^m[y^n, f] = 0 \quad \dots \star$   
for any  $m, n > 0$ . Then,  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[[y]]$ .~~

proof May assume that  $f$  is homogeneous. Let  $m, n \gg \deg f = l$ .

Write  $f = f' + cy^l$  with  $f'$  a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of monomials  $\neq y^l$ .

$\star$  expands to

$$x^m \underbrace{p'}_y y^n - \underbrace{p'}_x x^m y^n + x^m y^n \underbrace{f'}_x - x^m f' y^n = 0$$

contains  $y$  contains  $x$

Taking terms spanned by monomials of the form  $x^m y^n$ ,

we obtain  $x^m p' y^n - x^m f' y^n = 0$  (and so  $p' = f'$ ).

Thus,  $-\underbrace{p'}_x x^m y^n + x^m y^n f' = 0$ , and so  $f' = 0$  //

$\leadsto f \in \mathbb{Q}[[y]]$



Applying Lem 4, we obtain  $\mathfrak{f} = \mu_0(\varphi) + \partial_y \varphi \in \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{y}]_{\geq 2}$

However, any monomial appearing in  $\mu_0(\varphi)$  contains

at least one  $x$ , and so  $\mu_0(\varphi)|_{\substack{x=0 \\ y=y}} = 0$ . Also,  $\partial_y \varphi|_{\substack{x=0 \\ y=y}} = 0$

since  $\varphi \in \text{Lie}(x, y)$  is of degree  $\geq 2$ . We conclude that

$$\mu_0(\varphi) + \partial_y \varphi = 0.$$

Since  $\mu_0 = -2R$ , this is almost equivalent to (P3). To complete the proof, we show that  $(\partial_y \varphi)(x, 0) = 0$ . But this follows

from  $(\partial_y \varphi)(x, 0) = -\mu_0(\varphi)|_{\substack{x=x \\ y=0}} = 0$ . So,

$$(P3) \quad \partial_y \varphi + \partial_y \varphi(y, 0) - \partial_y \varphi(x+y, 0) - 2R(\varphi) = 0.$$

(P1)  $(\varphi(y, 0) - \varphi(x+y, 0) = 0)$  is true since  $\deg \varphi \geq 2$ , and

(P2)  $(R(y, 0) - R(x+y, 0) = 0)$  is true since any monomial

in  $R(\varphi)$  contains at least one  $y$ . Hence  $\varphi \in \text{Sol EM Pent}$

① //

② Let  $\tilde{u} = (\varphi(y, x), \varphi(x, y)) \in k[V_2]^{\text{sym}}$  be homogeneous of  $\text{deg} = l \geq 3$ .

l: even It is known that (see e.g., Alekseev-Torossian Prop 4.5)  $\text{div}(\tilde{u}) = 0$ . Then  $\tilde{u} \in k[V_2]^0$  and  $\varphi \in \text{SolEMPent}$  by ①.

l: odd There is some  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\text{div}(\tilde{u}) = c |x^l + y^l - (x+y)^l|$ .

Let  $\sigma_l \in \text{gnt}_1$  be the Drinfeld generator. Then,  $V(\sigma_l) \in k[V_2]^{\text{sym}}$  and  $\text{div}(V(\sigma_l)) = |x^l + y^l - (x+y)^l|$ . Also,

writing  $V(\sigma_l) = (\psi_l(y, x), \psi_l(x, y))$ , we have  $\psi_l \in \text{SolEMPent}$ .

Therefore,  $\varphi = \underbrace{(\varphi - c \psi_l)}_{\in \text{SolEMPent by ①}} + c \psi_l \in \text{SolEMPent}$

//  
②

————//  
Thm 1.

Rem More direct proof for ②? One has to consider the condition  $\delta \circ \tilde{u} = \tilde{u} \circ \delta$ . Need a tr-version of Lem 4, and maybe more... For instance,

[ Q, Let  $c \in \text{Ass}(x, y)$  satisfy  
 $|x^m [c, y^n]| = 0$   
for  $\forall m, \forall n > 0$ . Then,  $c \in \mathbb{Q}[x] + \mathbb{Q}[y]$  ? ]

( according to a computer experiment, true up to deg 10 )