

1. If $C \triangleleft A$ and $D \triangleleft B$, then show that $(C \times D) \triangleleft (A \times B)$ and $(A/C) \times (B/D) \cong (A \times B)/(C \times D)$.

Let's show $(C \times D) \triangleleft (A \times B)$. Let (g, h) be an element of $C \times D$, and (a, b) be an element of $A \times B$. Then, our aim is to show that

$$(a, b)^{-1}(g, h)(a, b) \in C \times D$$

Observe that $(a, b)^{-1} = (a^{-1}, b^{-1})$, so $(a, b)^{-1}(g, h)(a, b) = (a^{-1}ga, b^{-1}hb)$. But because $C \triangleleft A$ and $D \triangleleft B$, so $a^{-1}ga \in C$, and $b^{-1}hb \in D$. So $(a, b)^{-1}(g, h)(a, b) \in C \times D$.

Since this is true for any $(g, h) \in C \times D$ and any $(a, b) \in A \times B$, we conclude $(C \times D) \triangleleft (A \times B)$.

Now, we show that $(A/C) \times (B/D) \cong (A \times B)/(C \times D)$.
Consider the map

$$L: ([a], [b]) \mapsto [(a, b)] \text{ where } [a], [b], [(a, b)] \text{ are equivalence classes}$$

We see that this map is a group homomorphism, because since C, D are normal subgroups of A, B respectively, it means that $[a_1] \cdot [a_2] = [a_1 a_2]$, and also that this is well-defined, in addition.

Let's show that it is injective and surjective. Note that the cosets in $(A \times B)/(C \times D)$ can be written as

$$(a, b)(C \times D) \text{ or } (aC, bD) \text{ to make things easier.}$$

▷ Because $a|b$ can be any two elements of G , we see that L is surjective, because all elements $(a|b)$ and their equivalence classes can be achieved in the image of L

▷ Let's show L is injective. Let $L([a_1], [b_1]) = L([a_2], [b_2])$.
Our goal is to show that $[a_1] = [a_2]$ and $[b_1] = [b_2]$.

▷ This means that $(a_1, b_1)(C \times D) = (a_2, b_2)(C \times D)$, and also that $(a_1 a_2^{-1}, b_1 b_2^{-1})(C \times D) = C \times D$. So that means $a_1 a_2^{-1} \in C$, $b_1 b_2^{-1} \in D$.

▷ Now this in turn means that $a_1 C = a_2 C$, $b_1 D = b_2 D$, so $[a_1] = [a_2]$, $[b_1] = [b_2]$. So we have shown that L is injective.
▷ so L is also inv.

▷ Since L is injective and surjective, it is a bijective homomorphism.

▷ let's quickly check L is a homomorphism:

$$L^{-1}([a|b]) \mapsto ([a], [b])$$

$$L([a], [b]) \cdot L([a'], [b']) = [(aa', bb')]$$

$$L([a], [b]) \cdot ([a'], [b']) = [(aa', bb')]$$

▷ so L is a bijective homomorphism, so L is an isomorphism and
▷ thus $(A/C) \times (B/D) \cong (A \times B)/(C \times D)$.

2. If $A \triangleleft G$, $B \triangleleft G$, and $G = AB$, prove that $G/(A \cap B) \cong (G/A) \times (G/B)$.

Note that by the 2nd Isomorphism Theorem,

$$AB/A \cong A/A \cap B$$

$$AB/B \cong B/A \cap B$$

so it is also true that $AB/A \times AB/B \cong A/A \cap B \times B/A \cap B$.

If $L_1: AB/A \rightarrow A/A \cap B$, $L_2: AB/B \rightarrow B/A \cap B$ are isomorphisms, then the map

$$L: (a(AB), b(AB)) \mapsto (L(a(AB)), L(b(AB)))$$

is again an isomorphism from $(AB/A) \times (AB/B)$ to $A/A \cap B \times B/A \cap B$.

If we can show that $AB/A \cap B \cong A/A \cap B \times B/A \cap B$, then based on our earlier discussion earlier $AB/A \cap B \cong A/A \cap B \times B/A \cap B$.

consider the map

$$R: (a(A \cap B), b(A \cap B)) \rightarrow ab(A \cap B)$$

Because $AB \triangleright A$, $AB \triangleright B$, G/A , G/B , $G/A \cap B$ are all groups because a, b are arbitrary elements of A, B , we see that R is a surjective map.

We also show that R is injective, which would also entail that R is well-defined. Suppose that $a_1 b_1 (A \cap B) = a_2 b_2 (A \cap B)$.

Our goal is to show that $a_1 (A \cap B) = a_2 (A \cap B)$, $b_1 (A \cap B) = b_2 (A \cap B)$. This requires that $a_1 a_2^{-1} \in A \cap B$, $b_1 b_2^{-1} \in A \cap B$.

First, we observe that $a_1 b_1 (A \cap B) = a_2 b_2 (A \cap B)$ entails

▷ $a_1 b_1 (a_2 b_2)^{-1} \in A \cap B$, which in turn entails that $a_1 b_1 b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1} \in A \cap B$.

▷ From this, we want to show $a_1 a_2^{-1} \in A \cap B$. Notice that

▷
$$a_1 b_1 b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1} = a_1 a_2^{-1} a_2 b_1 a_2^{-1} a_2 b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1} = (a_1 a_2^{-1}) (a_2 b_1 a_2^{-1}) (a_2 b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1})$$

▷ Because $A \triangleleft AB$ and $B \triangleleft AB$, we see that $a_2 b_1 a_2^{-1} \in B$, $a_2 b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1} \in B$.

▷ So that means that because $(a_1 a_2^{-1}) (a_2 b_1 a_2^{-1}) (a_2 b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1}) \in B$,

▷ $a_1 a_2^{-1} \in B$ also. Because $a_1 a_2^{-1} \in A$ because $a_1, a_2 \in A$,

▷ $a_1 a_2^{-1} \in A \cap B$. So $a_1 (A \cap B) = a_2 (A \cap B)$.

▷ Then, we show that $b_1 b_2^{-1} \in A \cap B$ also. We see that because $b_1, b_2 \in B$, $b_1 b_2^{-1} \in B$ by definition. Then, we also see that because

▷ a_1, b_2

▷ $a_1 b_1 b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1} = a \in A$, because $a_1 b_1 b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1} \in A \cap B$. Then,

▷ $b_1 b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1} = a_1^{-1} a \in A$, and $b_1 b_2^{-1} = a_1^{-1} a a_2 \in A$, so $b_1 b_2^{-1} \in A$.

▷ Then, that means $b_1 b_2^{-1} \in A$, and $b_1 b_2^{-1} \in B$ so $b_1 b_2^{-1} \in A \cap B$.

▷ Now, $b_1 (A \cap B) = b_2 (A \cap B)$, as desired.

▷ We have shown that $a_1 b_1 (A \cap B) = a_2 b_2 (A \cap B)$ entails

▷ $a_1 (A \cap B) = a_2 (A \cap B)$, $b_1 (A \cap B) = b_2 (A \cap B)$, which means

▷ that R is injective. By the way R was defined, R is also well-defined.

▷ Because $A \triangleleft AB$, $B \triangleleft AB$, $A \cap B \triangleleft AB$ as well. So that means

▷ because $A \cap B$ is a normal subgroup, $[a]_{A \cap B} [b]_{A \cap B} = [ab]_{A \cap B}$

▷ is a well-defined operation. So by the way R is defined, R is also

▷ a homomorphism. So it is surjective, injective, and well-defined

▷ so R is an isomorphism.

▷ Now, $A/A \cap B \times B/A \cap B \cong AB/A \cap B$. Because $A/A \cap B \times B/A \cap B \cong$

▷ $A/A \cap B \times B/A \cap B$, we conclude that $AB/A \cap B \cong A/A \cap B \times B/A \cap B$.

3. Show that if A is abelian and simple, then $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ for some prime p .

Our goal is to show that A is cyclic with prime order, because $\mathbb{Z}/p$ is cyclic with prime order.

With this in mind, take some $x \in A$ with $x \neq e$. Consider the cyclic group $\langle x \rangle$. Because A is abelian, every subgroup is a normal subgroup, so $\langle x \rangle$ is a normal subgroup.

But A is simple, so it has no normal subgroups other than A and $\{e\}$. Because $x \neq e$, we conclude that $A = \langle x \rangle$.

We show that $|A| < \infty$ first. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $|A| = \infty$. That means that $x^m \neq x^n$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \neq n$. This means that we can take the normal subgroup $\langle x^2 \rangle$. Now, observe that $\langle x^2 \rangle \triangleleft \langle x \rangle$, because $\langle x \rangle$ contains elements of the form x^{2k+1} , where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which are not in $\langle x^2 \rangle$. But that means A has a proper normal subgroup, which is a contradiction.

So that means G has finite order. Let $|A| = n$, with $x^n = e$. We show that n is prime. Suppose n was not prime; then, let $m \mid n$, with $m \neq 1$, $m \neq n$, and $m < n$. Now I can take the normal subgroup $\langle x^m \rangle$. Notice that because $m > 1$, $x \notin \langle x^m \rangle$, so $\langle x^m \rangle \triangleleft \langle x \rangle$. But this contradicts the simplicity of A , as A has a proper normal subgroup. So that means n must be prime.

So $A = \{1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$. Construct the map $\phi: \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow A$ defined as

$\phi: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ (where $0 < a \leq n-1$ for convenience)

Here, we see that $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_a + \mathbb{Z}_b) = \mathbb{Z}_{a+b} = \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}_a + \mathbb{Z}_b} = \phi(\mathbb{Z}_a) \phi(\mathbb{Z}_b)$.

We also see that ϕ is injective, as $x^a \neq x^b \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_a \neq \mathbb{Z}_b$, and ϕ is surjective, as $\mathbb{Z}_n$ contains all elements of A . So ϕ is an isomorphism. $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/n$ for n prime.

4. A group G is called solvable, if there exists a sequence of groups $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n$ such that $G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \dots \triangleright G_n = \{e\}$ and G_k/G_{k+1} is abelian for all $1 \leq k < n$.

a) Show that subgroups and quotient groups of solvable groups are solvable.

Let S be a subgroup of G . Note that $S = G \cap S$. Consider the series of groups

$$S = G_0 \cap S \triangleright G_1 \cap S \triangleright \dots \triangleright G_n \cap S = \{e\}$$

$G_k \cap S \triangleright G_{k+1} \cap S$ is true because for any $h \in G_{k+1} \cap S$, $h \in G_{k+1}$. So that means if $g \in G_k \cap S$, then $g \in G_k$, so because $G_k \triangleright G_{k+1}$, $g^{-1}hg \in G_{k+1}$. But $g, h \in S$ also, so $g^{-1}hg \in S$. So $G_k \cap S \triangleright G_{k+1} \cap S$, so $G_k \cap S \triangleright G_{k+1} \cap S$.

We also have that $G_k \cap S / G_{k+1} \cap S$ is abelian. From the definition, recall that

$$G_k \cap S / G_{k+1} \cap S = \{a(G_{k+1} \cap S) : a \in G_k \cap S\}$$

Let $h, g \in G_k \cap S$ be group elements. I claim that $ab(G_{k+1} \cap S) = ba(G_{k+1} \cap S)$. Notice that this would be true if

$$aba^{-1}b^{-1}(G_{k+1} \cap S) = G_{k+1} \cap S$$

Because G_k/G_{k+1} is abelian, $aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in G_{k+1}$. But $aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in S$, because $a, b \in S$ as well. So $G_k \cap S / G_{k+1} \cap S$ is also abelian.

Hence, the composition we found satisfies the requirements to be solvable, so the subgroup S is solvable.

Let's now show that a quotient group of a solvable group is solvable. Suppose $G \triangleright N$; we show G/N is solvable.

Consider a decomposition of G , $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n$ defined so that $G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \dots \triangleright G_n = \{e\}$, with G_k/G_{k+1} abelian.

Consider the subgroups $H_0, H_1, \dots, H_n$ defined by $H_k = G_k N$. Now, we see that in general, if $A, B \triangleleft G$, then $AB \triangleleft G$ also. We see this because if $ab \in AB$, and $h \in G$, then

$$h^{-1}ab h = h^{-1}a h h^{-1}b h = (h^{-1}a h)(h b h^{-1}).$$

Now, because $A \triangleleft G$, $h^{-1}a h \in A$; in addition, $h b h^{-1} \in B$. So that means $h^{-1}(ab)h \in AB$, so because h was arbitrary, $AB \triangleleft G$.

We then conclude that all H_i , $0 \leq i \leq n$ are normal.

We also see that $H_i \triangleright H_{i+1}$. Because $G_i \triangleright G_{i+1}$, and $G \triangleright N$, we see that $G_i N \triangleright G_{i+1} N$, as $G_{i+1} N$ is a subgroup of $G_i N$, as all elements of $G_{i+1} N$ are contained in $G_i N$, and $G_{i+1} N$ is a group (as $G_i \triangleleft N_G(N) = G$). It is also a normal subgroup of $G_i N$, because for any $g \in G$, $g(G_{i+1} N) = (G_{i+1} N)g$. So $H_i \triangleright H_{i+1}$.

Now we show that H_i/H_{i+1} is abelian. Let $a_1, a_2 \in G_i$ and $b_1, b_2 \in N$. We want to show that

$$(a_1 b_1)(a_2 b_2) H_{i+1} = (a_2 b_2)(a_1 b_1) H_{i+1} \text{ so that is equivalent to}$$

$$(a_2 b_2)(a_1 b_1)(b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1})(b_1^{-1} a_1^{-1}) \in H_{i+1}. \text{ Now, this is equivalent to}$$

$$(a_2 b_2)(a_1^{-1} b_1)(a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1})(a_2 a_1 b_2^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})(a_2 a_1 a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1})(a_1 b_1^{-1} a_1^{-1}) = (a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1})(a_2 a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})(a_2 a_1 b_2^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})(a_2 a_1 a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1})(a_1 b_1^{-1} a_1^{-1})$$

Now, notice that this is again equivalent to proving that

$$(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1})(a_2 a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})(a_2 a_1 b_2^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})(a_2 a_1 a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1})(a_1 b_1^{-1} a_1^{-1}) H_{i+1} = H_{i+1}$$

Because $a_2 a_1 a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1} \in G_{k+1}$ (because G_k/G_{k+1} is abelian) and $a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} \in N$, that means $(a_2 a_1 a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1})(a_1 b_1^{-1} a_1^{-1}) \in G_{i+1}N = H_{i+1}$. Then, this is again equivalent to

$$(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1})(a_2 a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})(a_2 a_1 b_2^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}) H_{i+1} = H_{i+1}$$

Now, $a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1} \in N$, $a_2 a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} = (a_2 a_1) b_1 (a_2 a_1)^{-1} \in N$, and $a_2 a_1 b_2^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} = (a_2 a_1) b_2^{-1} (a_2 a_1)^{-1} \in N$ also. So that means $(a_2 b_2)(a_1 b_1)(b_2^{-1} a_2^{-1})(b_1^{-1} a_1^{-1}) \in H_{i+1}$, so H_i/H_{i+1} is abelian.

Now let's return to the original decomposition:

$$G = H_0 \triangleright H_1 \triangleright \dots \triangleright H_n = \{e\}$$

By construction, H_i/H_{i+1} is abelian. Now, I claim that

$$G/N = H_0/N \triangleright H_1/N \triangleright \dots \triangleright H_n/N = \{e\}$$

is also abelian. Because $H_i \triangleright H_{i+1} \triangleright N$ for all i , and $H_i \triangleright N$,

$$H_i/N / H_{i+1}/N \cong H_i/H_{i+1}$$

by the 3rd Isomorphism Theorem. So $H_i/N / H_{i+1}/N$ is abelian, and

$G/N = H_0/N \triangleright H_1/N \triangleright \dots \triangleright H_n/N = \{e\}$ is the desired decomposition.

b) Show that if $N \trianglelefteq G$ and N and G/N are solvable, then so is G .

If G/N is solvable, then there exists a series of normal subgroups

$$G/N = H_0 \triangleright H_1 \triangleright \dots \triangleright H_n = \{e\}$$

with each H_i/H_{i+1} is abelian. The Lattice Isomorphism Theorem tells us that H_1 is of the form G_1/N , where $G \triangleright G_1$. Likewise, we see that H_2 is of the form G_2/N , where G_2 is a normal subgroup of G . We repeat this for all of the H_i s and get the decomposition

$$G/N = (G_0/N \triangleright G_1/N \triangleright \dots \triangleright G_n/N = \{e\}) \text{ where } G_i \triangleright G_{i+1} \text{ for all } i.$$

Here, we may take $G_n = N$, as $G_n/N = \{e\}$. Here, because normality is preserved, we see that $G_i \geq N$, and also that $G_i \triangleright G_{i+1}$, and $G_i \triangleright N$ (or else the quotient would no longer be a group). The Third Isomorphism Theorem tells us that

$$G_i/N / G_{i+1}/N \cong G_i/G_{i+1}$$

Because $H_i/H_{i+1} = G_i/N / G_{i+1}/N$ is abelian, and it is isomorphic to

G_i/G_{i+1} , we conclude that G_i/G_{i+1} is also abelian. Then, we have a chain of subgroups $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n$ so that

$$G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \dots \triangleright G_n = N \text{ where } G_i/G_{i+1} \text{ is abelian.}$$

But N is also solvable. So there exists another chain of subgroups $G_{n+1}, \dots, G_m$ so that $N = G_{n+1} \triangleright \dots \triangleright G_m = \{e\}$ where G_j/G_{j+1} is abelian. So

$G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \dots \triangleright G_n = N \triangleright G_{n+1} \triangleright \dots \triangleright G_m = \{e\}$ and G_i/G_{i+1} is abelian, so we're done.

5. Show that if $n \geq 3$, then A_n contains a subgroup isomorphic to S_{n-2} .

Let $\tau: \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ be a bijection in S_{n-2} . I will construct one map $\phi: S_{n-2} \rightarrow A_n$ so that

$$\phi(\tau) = \begin{cases} \tau \cdot (n-1) & \text{if } \tau \notin A_n \\ \tau & \text{if } \tau \in A_n \end{cases}$$

I claim that ϕ is a homomorphism, which would mean that it is a subgroup of A_n . Then, we'll show ϕ is one-to-one.

Let's show that ϕ is a homomorphism, or for $\phi, \tau \in S_{n-2}$, $\phi(\phi)\phi(\tau) = \phi(\phi \cdot \tau)$. We have 4 main cases:

- $\phi \in A_{n-2}, \tau \in A_{n-2}$

In this case, recall that ϕ is even iff $\text{sign } \phi = 1$, where $\text{sign}: S_n \rightarrow S_n$ as defined as

$$\text{sign } \phi = \prod_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ i \neq j}} \text{sign} \left(\frac{\phi(i) - \phi(j)}{i - j} \right)$$

In class, we showed that sign is a homomorphism and that $A_n = \ker \phi$. So that means that because sign is a homomorphism, $\text{sign}(\phi \cdot \tau) = \text{sign}(\phi) \text{sign}(\tau)$. So $\text{sign}(\phi \cdot \tau)$ is positive, and hence $\phi \cdot \tau \in A_{n-2}$. Hence, $\phi(\phi \cdot \tau) = \phi \cdot \tau$. But this is the same as $\phi(\phi)\phi(\tau) = \phi \cdot \tau$, so ϕ is a hom.

- $\phi \in A_{n-2}, \tau \notin A_{n-2}$

Using similar reasoning, we see that since $\text{sign}(\varphi \cdot \tau) = \text{sign} \varphi \text{sign} \tau$, $\text{sign}(\varphi \cdot \tau) = -1$, so $\varphi \cdot \tau \notin A_{n-2}$. That means

$$\phi(\varphi \cdot \tau) = (\varphi \cdot \tau) \cdot (n \ n-1)$$

Now, $\phi(\varphi) = \varphi$, and $\phi(\tau) = \tau \cdot (n \ n-1)$ so now, we get that $\phi(\varphi)\phi(\tau) = \varphi \cdot \tau \cdot (n \ n-1)$

However, because the cycle decompositions of $(\varphi \cdot \tau)$ and $(n \ n-1)$ are disjoint, this becomes

$$(\varphi \cdot \tau) \cdot (n \ n-1)$$

so in this case $\phi(\varphi \cdot \tau) = \phi(\varphi)\phi(\tau)$.

$$- \varphi \notin A_{n-2}, \tau \in A_{n-2}$$

This is the same as the previous case, just with τ, φ reversed, so we omit the proof for brevity.

$$- \varphi \notin A_{n-2}, \tau \notin A_{n-2}$$

Here, $\text{sign}(\varphi \cdot \tau) = \text{sign}(\varphi)\text{sign}(\tau) = (-1) \cdot (-1) = 1$, so $\varphi \cdot \tau \in A_{n-2}$. Hence, $\phi(\varphi \cdot \tau) = \varphi \cdot \tau$.

We take $\phi(\varphi) = \varphi \cdot (n \ n-1)$, $\phi(\tau) = \tau \cdot (n \ n-1)$. Then,

$$\phi(\varphi)\phi(\tau) = (\varphi \cdot (n \ n-1)) \cdot (\tau \cdot (n \ n-1)). \text{ But because the cycle decompositions of } \tau \text{ and } (n \ n-1) \text{ are disjoint,}$$
$$\phi(\varphi)\phi(\tau) = (\varphi \cdot \tau \cdot (n \ n-1) \cdot (n \ n-1)) = \varphi \cdot \tau$$

so ϕ is a homomorphism.

Note that by how we constructed ϕ , $\phi(\phi)$ is always an even permutation. So that means $\phi: S_{n-2} \rightarrow A_n$ is well defined.

This means $\text{im } \phi$ is a subgroup of A_n as

- $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$, so $\text{im } \phi$ is closed under the group operation
- $\phi(x)^{-1} = \phi(x^{-1})$, from the previous line by taking $y = x^{-1}$ identity transformation.
- $\text{im } \phi$ is associative

Note that $\phi: S_{n-2} \rightarrow \text{im } \phi \subset A_n$ is also one-to-one. If $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$, either $x \in A_{n-2}, y \in A_{n-2}$ or $x \notin A_{n-2}, y \notin A_{n-2}$. In the first case, $\phi(x) = x$ and $\phi(y) = y$, so $x = y$. If not, that means

$$x \cdot (n \ n-1) = y \cdot (n \ n-1)$$

But $(n \ n-1)$ is invertible, so we can cancel it to get $x = y$, as

$$x \cdot (n \ n-1) \cdot (n \ n-1) = y \cdot (n \ n-1) \cdot (n \ n-1) \Rightarrow x = y$$

Hence, $\phi: S_{n-2} \rightarrow \text{im } \phi \subset A_n$ is one-to-one and onto, so since $\text{im } \phi \subset A_n$, S_{n-2} is isomorphic to a subgroup of A_n .

6. Let G be a group such that

a) Let G be a group so that there is a infinite chain of simple groups $G_1 \leq G_2 \leq \dots$ such that $G = \bigcup G_i$. Show that G is simple.

Suppose that there exists a normal subgroup N of G , $N \neq G$. Our goal is to show that N must be the entire group G if N is nontrivial. (i.e. $N \neq \{e\}$).

Consider the quotient homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G/N$ which is nontrivial. Because N is nontrivial, there exist g_1, g_2 so that $g_1 \neq g_2$ and

$$\phi(g_1) = \phi(g_2).$$

Because the chain of groups is infinite, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $g_1 \in G_k$ for $k > k_0$; in addition, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $g_2 \in G_n$ for $n > n_0$. Choose m so that $m > \max(k_0, n_0)$.

Now, consider the restriction of ϕ on G_m , $\phi|_{G_m}: G_m \rightarrow G/N$.

Now, we see that $\phi|_{G_m}(g_1) = \phi|_{G_m}(g_2)$, so $\phi|_{G_m}$ has a nontrivial kernel, as $g_1 \neq g_2$, hence $\ker \phi|_{G_m}$ is a nontrivial normal subgroup.

But because G_m is simple, it must mean that $\ker \phi|_{G_m}$ is all of G_m . So that means that $G_m \cap N = G_m$, as we are only considering elements of N that are also in G_m .

Because our choice of m was an arbitrary integer $m > \max(k_0, n_0)$ so that G_m contains both g_1 and g_2 , $G_j \cap N = G_j$ for every $j > m$. But because $G_{m+1} \geq G_m \geq G_1$, $G_j \cap N = G_j$ when $j \leq m+1$ as well. So $G_j \cap N = N$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, so N contains

every element in G is contained in N .
 N contains all elements of G , as $G_j \cap N = G_j$ for all $j \in N$.
 But because N was a normal subgroup of G , $G \leq N$ so we
 conclude $N = G$, so the only possible non-trivial normal
 subgroup of G is G itself, so G is also simple.

b) Let A_∞ be the set of bijections $\tau: \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ that are
 equal to the identity beyond some point and that are
 even up to that point. Namely, for each τ there exists
 some n (that may depend on τ) so that if $k > n$,
 $\tau(k) = k$ and $\tau|_{\{1, \dots, n\}} \in A_n$. Prove that A_∞ is an infinite
 simple group.

Note that if $\tau \in A_\infty$, that means that τ is fixed
 after n , then we can treat τ as an element of A_n as τ is fixed
 after n . This is true for any $\tau \in A_\infty$, so A_∞ can be expressed as
 $A_\infty = \bigcup A_k$, where A_k is the symmetric group for
 k elements.

Since $A_1 \leq A_2 \leq A_3 \leq \dots$ and $A_\infty = \bigcup A_k$, that means
 that since each A_k is simple, so is A_∞ by part a).

(Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n \leq A_\infty$, so $A_\infty \geq \bigcup A_n$. But
 as discussed earlier, any element of A_∞ can be expressed
 as a element of A_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so