

# Cheat Sheet $\beta$

The original  $\beta$ -calculus: With  $\epsilon := 1 + \alpha$ ,  $\langle \alpha \rangle := \sum_v \alpha_v$ , and  $\langle \gamma \rangle := \sum_{v \neq u} \gamma_v$ ,

$$\frac{\omega_1}{T_1} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} H_1 & \\ \hline A_1 & \end{array} \right| * \frac{\omega_2}{T_2} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} H_2 & \\ \hline A_2 & \end{array} \right| \beta = \frac{\omega_1 \omega_2}{T_1 T_2} \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} H_1 & H_2 & \\ \hline A_1 & 0 & A_2 \end{array} \right|$$

$$\frac{\omega}{u} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} H & \\ \hline \alpha & \end{array} \right| \xrightarrow{tm_{uv}^w} \frac{\omega}{T} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} H & \\ \hline (\alpha + \beta) & \end{array} \right| \left( \begin{array}{c} u,v \\ \rightarrow w \end{array} \right)$$

$$R_{ux}^\pm = \frac{1}{\beta} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} x & \\ \hline u & t_u^{\pm 1} - 1 \end{array} \right|$$

$$\frac{\omega}{T} \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} x & y & H \\ \hline \alpha & \beta & \gamma \end{array} \right| \xrightarrow{hm_{xy}^z} \frac{\omega}{T} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} z & H \\ \hline \alpha + \beta + \langle \alpha \rangle \beta & \gamma \end{array} \right|$$

$$\frac{\omega}{u} \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} x & y & H \\ \hline \alpha & \beta & \gamma \end{array} \right| \xrightarrow{su_{th}^w} \frac{\omega \epsilon}{T} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} x & H \\ \hline \alpha(1 + \langle \gamma \rangle / \epsilon) & \beta(1 + \langle \gamma \rangle / \epsilon) \end{array} \right|$$

Constraints. • Column sums are monomials minus 1.

$\beta$ -better calculus:

$$\frac{\omega_1}{T_1} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} H_1 & \\ \hline \sigma_1 & \end{array} \right| * \frac{\omega_2}{T_2} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} H_2 & \\ \hline \sigma_2 & \end{array} \right| \beta_b = \frac{\omega_1 \omega_2}{T_1 T_2} \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} H_1 & H_2 & \\ \hline \omega_2 A_1 & 0 & \omega_1 A_2 \end{array} \right|$$

$$\frac{\omega}{u} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} H & \\ \hline \sigma & \end{array} \right| \xrightarrow{tm_{uv}^w} \frac{\omega}{T} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} H & \\ \hline (\sigma + \beta) & \end{array} \right| \left( \begin{array}{c} u,v \\ \rightarrow w \end{array} \right)$$

$$R_{ux}^\pm = \frac{1}{\beta_b} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} x & \\ \hline u & t_u^{\pm 1} - 1 \end{array} \right|$$

$$\frac{\omega}{T} \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} x & y & H \\ \hline \sigma_x & \sigma_y & \sigma \end{array} \right| \xrightarrow{hm_{xy}^z} \frac{\omega}{T} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} z & H \\ \hline \alpha + \sigma_x \beta & \gamma \end{array} \right|$$

$$\frac{\omega}{u} \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} x & y & H \\ \hline \sigma_x & \sigma_y & \sigma \end{array} \right| \xrightarrow{su_{th}^w} \frac{\omega + \alpha}{T} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} x & H \\ \hline \sigma_x \alpha & \sigma_x \beta \end{array} \right|$$

$$\delta + \frac{\alpha \delta - \gamma \beta}{\omega} = \frac{(\omega + \alpha) \delta - \gamma \beta}{\omega}$$

Constraints. • Sum of col. x is  $(\sigma_x - 1)w$ . • Likely,  $w^{k-1} | \Lambda^k A$ . Note.  $\left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \gamma \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \beta \\ \delta \end{array} \right) = \frac{\omega + \alpha}{\omega} \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \gamma \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \beta \\ \delta \end{array} \right)$

From 2012-05/A Higher Minors Experiment:

$$\frac{1}{w^2} \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} (w+\alpha) \delta_{11} - \gamma_1 \beta_1 & (w+\alpha) \delta_{12} - \gamma_1 \beta_2 & \\ \hline (w+\alpha) \delta_{21} - \gamma_2 \beta_1 & (w+\alpha) \delta_{22} - \gamma_2 \beta_2 & \end{array} \right| =$$

$\begin{matrix} & \alpha & \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \gamma_1 & \delta_{11} & \delta_{12} \\ \gamma_2 & \delta_{21} & \delta_{22} \end{matrix}$   
 starting A

$$\frac{1}{w^2} \left[ -\gamma_1 (w+\alpha) \left| \begin{array}{c|c} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \hline \delta_{21} & \delta_{22} \end{array} \right| - \gamma_2 (w+\alpha) \left| \begin{array}{c|c} \delta_{11} & \delta_{12} \\ \hline \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{array} \right| + (w+\alpha)^2 \left| \begin{array}{c|c} \delta_{11} & \delta_{12} \\ \hline \delta_{21} & \delta_{22} \end{array} \right| \right]$$

$$= \frac{w+\alpha}{w^2} \left[ w \left| \begin{array}{c|c} \delta_{11} & \delta_{12} \\ \hline \delta_{21} & \delta_{22} \end{array} \right| + \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} \alpha & \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \hline \gamma_1 & \delta_{11} & \delta_{12} \\ \gamma_2 & \delta_{21} & \delta_{22} \end{array} \right| \right]$$

This is the "generic" case

div by  $w$   
 div by  $w^2$   
 div by  $w^2$   
 div by  $w+\alpha$

$\frac{w+\alpha}{1 + (\sigma_x) A_{ux}}$

$\frac{1}{w} [(w+\alpha) \binom{\alpha \beta}{\gamma \delta} - (\alpha) \binom{\beta}{\delta} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma}]$

$\frac{1}{w} [(w+\alpha) A - C_{xx} A_{ux}]$

To do. • Consider a verification program. • Add  $dm$  formulas. • Add Burau calculus. • Add the conjugation relation. • Add the MVA formula

claim  $\forall k w^{k-1} | \Lambda^k A$  implies  $\forall k (w+\alpha)^{k-1} | \Lambda^k A_{ux}$

proof Using  $M_{u_1 \dots u_k, j_1 \dots j_k}$  to denote minors,  $\bar{u}_i := u_1, \dots, u_k$   
 $\bar{x}_i := x_1, \dots, x_k$

$$w^k | A_{u_1 \dots u_k, j_1 \dots j_k} = \left| \begin{array}{c|c} a_{u_1 \bar{x}_k} & (a_{u_1 x_j}) \\ \hline (a_{u_i \bar{x}_k}) & (a_{u_i x_j}) \end{array} \right| =: M_i \text{ and}$$

$$w^k | w \cdot A_{u_1 \dots u_k j} x_1 \dots x_k = w \cdot |(a_{u_i x_j})| =: M_2, \text{ so}$$

$$w^k | M_1 + M_2 = \begin{vmatrix} w+\alpha & (\beta_{x_j}) \\ (y_{u_i}) & (a_{u_i x_j}) \end{vmatrix} = \text{by row col. reduction}$$

$$= (w+\alpha) \left| a_{u_i x_j} - \frac{1}{w+\alpha} (y_{u_i})(\beta_{x_j}) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{(w+\alpha)^{k-1}} \cdot \left| (w+\alpha) a_{u_i x_j} - y_{u_i} \beta_{x_j} \right|$$

So  $\frac{1}{(w+\alpha)^{k-1}} \left| \frac{1}{w} (\dots) \right|$  is integral.

Now as  $C_x r_u$  is rank 1,

$$A_{u_1 \dots u_k j} x_1 \dots x_k = \frac{1}{w^k} \cdot [(w+\alpha)A - C_x r_u]_{u_1 \dots u_k j} x_1 \dots x_k$$

$$= \frac{1}{w^k} (w+\alpha)^k A_{\bar{u}; \bar{x}} - \dots$$

If  $B = C \alpha r^*$  is a rank 1 matrix, then

$$\Lambda(A - B) = \Lambda A - \text{irr} // \Lambda A // \text{lc} \\ C^{\wedge}(\Lambda A)(\text{irr}(\cdot))$$

$$\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{w}[(w+\alpha)A - C_x r_u]\right) = \Lambda\left(\frac{w+\alpha}{w}A\right) -$$

Perhaps I should first attempt the case

$$A = wB + \gamma p$$

claim  $\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta/\alpha \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} =$

$$= \det(I) + \dots = \alpha \det\left(I - \frac{YB}{\alpha}\right)$$