

Main Handout

April-03-09
4:49 PM

center

rewrite without duality

expansion

an expansion

(u, v, and w knots) x (topology, combinatorics, low algebra, and high algebra)

Dror Bar-Natan, Kansas State April 7 2009, <http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/Talks/KSU-090407>

"God created the knots, all else in topology is the work of mortals." Leopold Kronecker (modified)

	1 u-knots	2 v-knots	3 w-knots
topology	<p>u-knots are usual knots:</p> <p>R1, R2, R3</p> <p>=PA, R123</p> <p>"Knots in \mathbb{R}^3"</p>	<p>v-knots are virtual knots:</p> <p>R123, VR1, VR123, M</p> <p>=PA, -CA, R123</p> <p>= Knots on surfaces, modulo stabilization:</p>	<p>w is for welded, weakly v, and warmup:</p> <p>{w-knots} = {v-knots} / (OC)</p> <p>where OC is Overcrossings Commute:</p> <p>OC, UC</p> <p>Related to "movies of flying rings" to knotted tubes in 4-space, and to "basis conjugating automorphisms of free groups".</p>
combinatorics	<p>Extend any $V : \{\text{u-knots}\} \rightarrow A$ to "singular u-knots" using $V(\times) := V(\times) - V(\times)$, and think "differentiation".</p> <p>Declare "V is of type m" iff $V^{(m+1)} \equiv 0$. Think "polynomial of degree m".</p> <p>$W = V^{(m)}$ roughly determines V; $W \in \mathcal{A}_m$ with</p> <p>$\mathcal{A}_m := \{\text{diagram}\} / \sim$</p> <p>Need a "universal" $Z : \{\text{u-knots}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$</p>	<p>All the same, except</p> <p>$\mathcal{A}^v := V(\times) - V(\times)$</p> <p>$\mathcal{A}^w := \{\text{"arrow diagrams"}\} / 6T$</p> <p>Need a $Z : \{\text{v-knots}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^v$.</p> <p>The 6T Relation (and a hidden 4T):</p>	<p>All the same, except</p> <p>$\mathcal{A}^w := \mathcal{A}^v / TC$</p> <p>Need a $Z : \{\text{w-knots}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^w$.</p> <p>"Tails Commute (TC)":</p>
low algebra	<p>Similar with metrized Lie algebras replacing arbitrary Lie algebras</p> <p>Fermion, Cvitanovic, Vogel</p>	<p>Similar with Lie bi-algebras replacing arbitrary Lie algebras</p> <p>Havr, Leung</p>	<p>Theorem. $\mathcal{A}^w \cong \mathcal{A}^{wf} :=$</p> <p>&TC</p> <p>This screams, if you speak the language. LIE ALGEBRAS</p> <p>And indeed we have</p> <p>Theorem. Given a finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}, there is $T : \mathcal{A}^w \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}_{ab})$.</p>
high algebra	<p>Knots are the wrong objects to study in knot theory! They are not finitely generated and they carry no interesting operations.</p> <p>Knotted Trivalent Graphs</p> <p>Theorem (\sim). A homomorphic Z is the same as a "Drinfel'd Associator".</p>	<p>Z is a Quantum Group?</p> <p>More precisely, a homomorphic Z ought to be equivalent to the Etingof-Kazhdan theory of deformation quantization of Lie bialgebras.</p> <p>Dror's Dream: Straighten and fatten this column.</p> <p>An Idle Question. Is there physics in this column?</p>	<p>Switch to w-knotted trivalent tangles.</p> <p>wKTT := $CA(\times, \times, Y)$.</p> <p>Theorem (\sim). A homomorphic Z is equivalent to proving the Kashiwara-Vergne statement.</p> <p>Statement (\sim, KV, 1978) (proven Alekseev-Meinrenken, 2006). Convolutions of invariant functions on a group match with convolutions of invariant functions on its Lie algebra: for any finite dim. Lie group G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g},</p> <p>$(\text{Fun}(G)^{\text{Ad } G}, \star) \cong (\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{Ad } G}, \star)$.</p> <p>(Closely related to the "orbit method" of representation theory).</p>