

## Lecture 4

January 30, 2008  
6:12 PM

### Amonging properties

$\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  a hom.,  $A \subset R$  subring,  $B \subset S$  ideal

$$1. \varphi(nr) = n\varphi(r)$$

$$\varphi(r^n) = \varphi(r)^n$$

2.  $\varphi(A)$  is a subring, and so is  $\varphi(R) = \text{im } \varphi$

3. If  $A$  is an ideal and  $\varphi$  is onto, then  $\varphi(A)$  is an ideal

4.  $\varphi^{-1}(B) = \{r \in R : \varphi(r) \in B\}$  is an ideal if  $B$  is an ideal. In particular,  $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \ker \varphi$  is an ideal.

Ex: Let  $f(x) = x^2$ .  $f^{-1}$  does not make sense on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$f^{-1}(\{4\}) = \{2, -2\}, f^{-1}(\{-4\}) = \emptyset$$

Proof: Assume  $a \in \varphi^{-1}(B)$ , i.e.  $\varphi(a) \in B$ . Assume  $r \in R$ . Does  $ra \in \varphi^{-1}(B)$ ?

$$\text{Indeed, } \varphi(ra) = \varphi(r)\varphi(a) \Rightarrow \varphi(ra) \in B$$

$\begin{matrix} \cap & \cap \\ r & B \end{matrix}$  } (B \text{ is an ideal})

$$\Rightarrow ra \in \varphi^{-1}(B)$$

5. If  $R$  is commutative,  $\varphi(R)$  is too.

6. Suppose  $R$  has a unity and  $\varphi$  is onto, then  $S$  has a unity,  $\varphi(1)$ .

7. If  $\ker \varphi = \{0\}$ , then  $\varphi$  is 1-1,

8. if also  $\text{im } \varphi = S$ , then  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

Proof: Assume  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$ . Then  $\varphi(a) - \varphi(b) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \varphi(a-b) = 0 \Rightarrow a-b = 0 \Rightarrow a=b \Rightarrow 1-1$

Thm: Every ideal  $A \subset R$  is the kernel of some homomorphism.

homomorphism.

Proof: Given  $A$ , consider  $\pi: R \rightarrow R/A$  defined by  $\pi(r) = [r]$ . (easy to check that this is a hom.)  
all  $r' \in R$  s.t.  $r' \sim r$  i.e.  $r' - r \in A$

$$\begin{aligned}\ker \pi &= \{r : [r] = [0]\} \\ &= \{r : r - 0 \in A\} = \{r : r \in A\} \\ &= A\end{aligned}$$

In general, if  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  is a hom., then

$$R/\ker \varphi \xrightarrow{\text{isom.}} \varphi(R) = \text{im } \varphi$$

Analogy 1: Rank-nullity theorem of vector spaces.

$$T: V \rightarrow W$$

$$\dim V = \underbrace{\text{nullity } T}_{\dim \ker T} + \underbrace{\text{rank } T}_{\dim \text{im } T}$$

$$\dim V - \dim \ker T = \dim \text{im } T$$

Proof: Let  $\psi: R/\ker \varphi \rightarrow \text{im } \varphi$  defined

$$\psi[r] \rightarrow \varphi(r)$$

1. well-defined  $[r] = [r'] \Rightarrow \psi(r) = \varphi(r)$

$$\uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ r - r' \in \ker \varphi \Leftrightarrow \varphi(r - r') = 0$$

2.  $\text{im } \psi = \text{im } \varphi$

3.  $\psi$  is 1-1 checked

3.  $\psi$  is  $1-1$  checked  
 4.  $\psi$  is a hom.

$$\psi([n]+[n']) = \psi([n+n']) = \psi(n+n')$$

$$\psi([n]) + \psi([n']) = \psi(n) + \psi(n')$$

but  $\psi(n+n') = \psi(n) + \psi(n')$  by the fact that  $\psi$  is a homomorphism.

Ex: if  $R$  has a unity  $1$ , then  $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$  by  $n \mapsto n \cdot 1$  is a hom. Therefore, if  $\text{char } R = n$ , ( $n \cdot 1 = 0$ ,  $k \cdot 1 \neq 0$  if  $k < n$ ) then  $\ker \psi = n\mathbb{Z}$  (indeed  $n \in \ker \psi$ , therefore  $n\mathbb{Z} \subset \ker \psi$ )  
 Suppose  $l \in \ker \psi$ . Then write  $l = ng + r$  with  $0 \leq r < n$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= l \cdot 1 = (ng + r) \cdot 1 = g \cdot n \cdot 1 + r \cdot 1 \\ &= 0 + r \cdot 1 \\ &= r \\ \Rightarrow r &= 0 \Rightarrow l = ng \text{ so } l \in n\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ker \psi \cong \text{im } \psi$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \text{im } \psi \text{ a subring of } R$$

Cor: Every ring of char  $n$  contains a subring which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

Cor: If  $\text{char } R = 0$ ,  $R$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Likewise for fields, if  $\text{char } F = p$ ,  $F \supset \mathbb{Z}/p$   
 $\text{char } F = 0$ ,  $F \supset \mathbb{Q}$

Thm: Let  $D$  be a domain (avoid div. by 0).

Then  $\exists$  a field  $F$  "The field of fractions of  $D$ " that contains  $D$  as a subring.

Pf-def:  $F = \left\{ \frac{(a,b)}{b} : a, b \in D \right\} /$ ,

$$+ \quad \{ \frac{a}{b} \quad b \neq 0 \} / \sim$$

Where  $\frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{c}{d} \Leftrightarrow ad = bc$

Claim: This makes sense as  $\sim$  is an equiv. relation.

$$1. \frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{a}{b}$$

$$2. \frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{c}{d} \Rightarrow \frac{c}{d} \sim \frac{a}{b}$$

$$3. \frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{c}{d}, \frac{c}{d} \sim \frac{e}{f} \Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{e}{f}$$

Pf: 1 & 2 are easy

$$3. \text{ Assume } \frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{c}{d} \Rightarrow ad = bc$$

$$\frac{c}{d} \sim \frac{e}{f} \Rightarrow cf = de$$

$$\text{Want } \frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{e}{f} \text{ (af = be)}$$

$$adf = bcf \quad \& \quad cfb = deb$$

$$adf = deb \Rightarrow af = be \quad \checkmark \\ (d \neq 0)$$

Next, define how to do:

check well-definedness  $\left[ \frac{a}{b} \right] + \left[ \frac{c}{d} \right] = \left[ \frac{ad+bc}{bd} \right]$

$$\left[ \frac{a}{b} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{c}{d} \right] = \left[ \frac{ac}{bd} \right]$$

$$0 := \left[ \frac{0}{1} \right]$$

$\tau \cdot \tau$

$$(:= \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right])$$

$$\left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{-1} = \frac{b}{a}$$

Claim: This is a field

Check all axioms.

Finally, let  $\varphi: D \rightarrow F_D$  by

$$a \mapsto \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} a \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$$

$$\ker \varphi: \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} a \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right] = \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0$$

$$\ker \varphi = \{0\}$$

$$\text{So } D = D / \{0\} \cong \text{im } \varphi \subset F_D$$

So  $F_D$  contains  $D$ .

Ex: Let  $D = \mathbb{R}[x]$   
 $F_D = \left\{ \frac{ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d}{x^3 - x^2 + px - 7} \right\}$  "rational functions"

Given any commutative ring  $R$ , define

$$R[x] = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^m a_k x^k : a_k \in R \right\}$$

addition & multip. ( $\circ \otimes \cdot$ ) are defined  
 in the obvious way

$$\sum_{k=0}^m a_k x^k + \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\max(m,n)} (a_k + b_k) x^k$$

$$(\sum a_i x^i)(\sum b_j x^j) = \sum \left( \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j \right) x^k$$

Claim:  $R[x]$  is a ring.

Def: 1. if  $f \in R[x]$ , define the "degree" of

Def: 1. if  $f \in R[x]$ , define the "degree" of  
 $f$ :  $\deg f = \begin{cases} \text{maximal } k & f \neq 0 \\ \text{for which } a_k \neq 0 \\ -\infty & f = 0 \end{cases}$

2. "Evaluation" if  $f = \sum a_k x^k \in R[x]$   
& if  $r \in R$ .

$$f(r) = \sum a_k r^k \in R$$

Claim:  $ev_r : R[x] \rightarrow R$  is a ring hom.  
 $f \mapsto f(r)$

$$\begin{aligned} ev_r(f+g) &\stackrel{?}{=} ev_r(f) + ev_r(g) \\ // \\ (f+g)(r) &\stackrel{?}{=} f(r) + g(r) \end{aligned}$$

Claim: If  $D$  is a domain and  $f, g \in D[x]$

$$\text{Then } \deg(f \cdot g) = \deg f + \deg g \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -5+7 = -\infty \\ -\infty + -\infty = -\infty \\ 7+8 = 15 \end{array} \right.$$

Proof: if  $f$  or  $g = 0$ , true by summation convention

$$\text{otherwise, } 0 \leq \deg f = n$$

$$0 \leq \deg g = m$$

$$\begin{aligned} f &= a_n x^n + \text{lower power terms} \\ &\quad (a_n \neq 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g &= b_m x^m + \text{lower terms} \\ &\quad (b_m \neq 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot g &= (a_n x^n + \dots)(b_m x^m + \dots) \\ &= \underbrace{a_n b_m}_{0} x^{n+m} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \deg f \cdot g = n+m$$



Concl.  $R[x]$  is a domain

Cor:  $D[x]$  is a domain

Indeed,  $f \cdot g = 0 \Rightarrow \deg f \cdot g = -\infty \Rightarrow \deg f + \deg g = -\infty$

$\Rightarrow \deg f = -\infty$  or  $\deg g = -\infty \Rightarrow f = 0$  or  $g = 0$

Thm (long division for poly's)

Let  $F$  be a field &  $f, g \in F[x]$ . Then  $\exists$  unique poly's  $q$  &  $r$  s.t. " $\frac{f}{g} = q \frac{r}{g}$ "

quot.              rem.

$$\text{i.e. } f = g \cdot q + r$$

$$\deg r < \deg g$$

Proof: Uniqueness.

Assume

$$gq_1 + r_1 = f = g \cdot q_2 + r_2$$

$$\deg r_1 < \deg g, \quad \deg r_2 < \deg g$$

$$gq_1 + r_1 = gq_2 + r_2$$

$$g(q_1 - q_2) = r_2 - r_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \deg g + \deg(q_1 - q_2) = \deg(r_2 - r_1)$$

If both sides  $\geq 0$ ,  $\deg g + \deg(q_1 - q_2) \geq \deg g >$

$$\deg(r_2 - r_1)$$

$$\deg(r_2 - r_1) =$$

$\Rightarrow$  both sides are  $-\infty \Rightarrow r_2 - r_1 = 0 \Rightarrow r_1 = r_2$

$$q_1 - q_2 = 0 \Rightarrow q_1 = q_2$$

Existence of  $q$  &  $r$ : by induction on  $\deg f$ .

Existence of  $g$  &  $r$ : by induction on  $\deg f$ .

if  $\deg f < \deg g$ ,  
take  $g = 0$ ,  $r = f$  & everything works

$$\begin{cases} f = g \cdot g + r \\ \deg r < \deg g \end{cases}$$

Assume this is true if  $\deg f < n$  for some  $n \geq \deg g$

Assume  $\deg f = n \geq \deg g = m$

$$b \neq 0 \quad g = b \cdot x^m + \dots$$

$$a \neq 0 \quad f = a \cdot x^n + \dots$$

$$\frac{f}{g} = \frac{ax^n}{bx^m} = \frac{a}{b} \cdot x^{n-m}$$

$$l = \frac{a}{b} x^{n-m} + \dots$$

$$\text{Let } f_1 = f - \frac{a}{b} x^{n-m} g$$

$$f_1 = (ax^n + \dots) - \cancel{\frac{a}{b} x^{n-m} \cancel{g}} x^{n-m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \deg f_1 < \deg f$$

by induction, find  $g_1$  and  $r_1$  s.t.

$$f_1 = g_1 g + r_1, \quad \deg r_1 < \deg g$$

$$\text{So } f - \frac{a}{b} x^{n-m} g = g_1 g + r_1$$

$$f = \underbrace{\left( \frac{a}{b} x^{n-m} + g_1 \right)}_g g + \underbrace{r_1}_r$$

$$f = g \cdot g + r \Rightarrow \deg r < \deg g$$