Dror Bar-Natan: Talks: Groningen-2002: ωεβ:=http://drorbn.net/gr20/

Algebraic Knot Theory

Abstract. This will be a very "light" talk: I will explain why about 13 years ago, in order to have a say on some problems in knot theory, I've set out to find tangle invariants with some nice compositional properties. I will not mention that in recent joint work with Roland van der Veen we've found such invariants, and we are now struggling to make use of them.

(v-)Tangles.



(meta-associativity: $m^{ab}_x /\!/ m^{xc}_y = m^{bc}_x /\!/ m^{ax}_y$) (tangles are generated by ⤯ and ⤰)

Genus. Every knot is the boundary of an orientable "Seifert Surface" (ωεβ/SS), and the least of their genera is the "genus" of the knot.


Robert Engman's "The Loop"

Claim. The knots of genus ≤ 2 are precisely the images of 4-component tangles via





a ribbon singularity a clasp singularity

A Bit about Ribbon Knots. A "ribbon knot" is a knot that can be presented as the boundary of a disk that has "ribbon singularities", but no "clasp singularities". A "slice knot" is a knot in $S^3 = \partial B^4$ which is the boundary of a non-singular disk in B^4. Every ribbon knots is clearly slice, yet,

Conjecture. Some slice knots are not ribbon.

Fox-Milnor. The Alexander polynomial of a ribbon knot is always of the form $A(t) = f(t)f(1/t)$. (also for slice)

Theorem. K is ribbon iff it is κT for a tangle T for which τT is the untangle U.




Gompf, Scharlemann, Thompson [GST]

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & U\in\mathcal{T}_n & & 1\in\mathcal{A}_n & \\ \mathcal{T}_{2n} & \overset{\tau}{\nearrow} \ \underset{\kappa}{\searrow} & \xrightarrow{z} & \mathcal{A}_{2n} \ \overset{\tau}{\nearrow} \ \underset{\kappa}{\searrow} & \text{with } \mathcal{R} := \kappa(\tau^{-1}(1)) \\ & \text{ribbon } K\in\mathcal{T}_1 & & z(K)\in\mathcal{R}\subseteq\mathcal{A}_1 & \end{array}$$



Faster is better, leaner is meaner!



The Gold Standard is set by the "Γ-calculus" Alexander formulas [BNS, BN]. An S-component tangle T has $\Gamma(T) \in R_S \times M_{S\times S}(R_S) = \left\{\begin{array}{c|c} \omega & S \\ \hline S & A \end{array}\right\}$ with $R_S := \mathbb{Z}(\{T_a : a \in S\})$:

$$\left({}_a{\nwarrow\!\!\nearrow}_b, {}_b{\nwarrow\!\!\nearrow}_a\right) \to \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & a & b \\ \hline a & 1 & 1-T_a^{\pm1} \\ b & 0 & T_a^{\pm1} \end{array} \qquad T_1 \sqcup T_2 \to \begin{array}{c|cc} \omega_1\omega_2 & S_1 & S_2 \\ \hline S_1 & A_1 & 0 \\ S_2 & 0 & A_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} \omega & a & b & S \\ \hline a & \alpha & \beta & \theta \\ b & \gamma & \delta & \epsilon \\ S & \phi & \psi & \Xi \end{array} \xrightarrow[T_a, T_b \to T_c]{m_c^{ab}} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} (1-\beta)\omega & c & S \\ \hline c & \gamma + \frac{\alpha\delta}{1-\beta} & \epsilon + \frac{\delta\theta}{1-\beta} \\ S & \phi + \frac{\alpha\psi}{1-\beta} & \Xi + \frac{\psi\theta}{1-\beta} \end{array}\right)$$

For long knots, ω is Alexander, and that's the fastest Alexander algorithm I know! Dunfield: 1000-crossing fast.

Strand Doubling and Reversal.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \omega & a & S \\ \hline a & \alpha & \theta \\ S & \phi & \Xi \end{array} \xrightarrow[\substack{\mu:=T_a-1 \\ \nu:=\alpha-\sigma_a \\ T_a\mapsto T_bT_c}]{q\Delta^a_{bc}} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} \omega & b & c & S \\ \hline b & (\sigma_a-\alpha T_a-\nu T_c)/\mu & (T_b-1)T_c\nu/\mu & (T_b-1)T_c\theta/\mu \\ c & (T_c-1)\nu/\mu & (\alpha-\sigma_aT_a-\nu T_c)/\mu & (T_c-1)\theta/\mu \\ S & \phi & \phi & \Xi \end{array}\right)$$

$$dS^a \Big\downarrow T_a \to T_a^{-1}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \alpha\omega/\sigma_a & a & S \\ \hline a & 1/\alpha & \theta/\alpha \\ S & -\phi/\alpha & (\alpha\Xi-\phi\theta)/\alpha \end{array}\right)$$

Where σ assigns to every $a \in S$ a Laurent monomial σ_a in $\{t_b\}_{b\in S}$ subject to $\sigma\left({}_a{\nwarrow\!\!\nearrow}_b, {}_b{\nwarrow\!\!\nearrow}_a\right) = (a \to 1, b \to t_a^{\pm1})$, $\sigma(T_1 \sqcup T_2) = \sigma(T_1) \sqcup \sigma(T_2)$, and $\sigma /\!/ m_c^{ab} = (\sigma \setminus \{a, b\}) \cup (c \to \sigma_a\sigma_b)|_{t_a,t_b\to t_c}$.

Vo's Thesis [Vo]. A proof of the Fox-Milnor theorem for ribbon knots using this technology (and more).

Implementation key idea: ωεβ/AlexDemo

$$(\omega, A = (\alpha_{ab})) \leftrightarrow (\omega, \lambda = \textstyle\sum \alpha_{ab}t_ah_b)$$

```
Γ /: Γ[ω1_, λ1_] Γ[ω2_, λ2_] := Γ[ω1*ω2, λ1+λ2];
m_{a_ b_→c_}[Γ[ω_, λ_]] := Module[{α, β, γ, δ, θ, ϵ, ϕ, ψ, Ξ, μ},
  (α β θ; γ δ ϵ; ϕ ψ Ξ) = (∂_{t_a,h_a} λ  ∂_{t_a,h_b} λ  ∂_{t_a} λ;
                           ∂_{t_b,h_a} λ  ∂_{t_b,h_b} λ  ∂_{t_b} λ;
                           ∂_{h_a} λ      ∂_{h_b} λ      λ) /. (t | h)_{a|b} → 0;
  Γ[(μ = 1 - β) ω, {t_c, 1}.(γ + α δ / μ   ϵ + δ θ / μ; ϕ + α ψ / μ   Ξ + ψ θ / μ).{h_c, 1}]
    /. {T_a → T_c, T_b → T_c} // ΓCollect];
Rp_{a_ b_} := Γ[1, {t_a, t_b}.(1  1 - T_a; 0  T_a).{h_a, h_b}];
Rm_{a_ b_} := Rp_{ab} /. T_a → 1 / T_a;
```

```
ΓCollect[Γ[ω_, λ_]] := Γ[Simplify[ω],
   Collect[λ, h_, Collect[#, t_, Factor] &]];
Format[Γ[ω_, λ_]] := Module[{S, M},
   S = Union@Cases[Γ[ω, λ], (h | t)_{a_} :→ a, ∞];
   M = Outer[Factor[∂_{h_{#1} t_{#2}} λ] &, S, S];
   M = Prepend[M, t_# & /@ S] // Transpose;
   M = Prepend[M, Prepend[h_# & /@ S, ω]];
   M // MatrixForm];
```

Meta-Associativity **Runs.**

```
ζ = Γ[ω, {t1, t2, t3, tS}.(α11 α12 α13 θ1; α21 α22 α23 θ2; α31 α32 α33 θ3; ϕ1 ϕ2 ϕ3 Ξ).{h1, h2, h3, hS}];
(ζ // m12→1 // m13→1) == (ζ // m23→2 // m12→1)
```

```
True
```

R3 . . . divide and conquer!

```
{Rm51 Rm62 Rp34 // m14→1 // m25→2 // m36→3,
 Rp61 Rm24 Rm35 // m14→1 // m25→2 // m36→3}
```

$$\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 \\ t_1 & \frac{T_3}{T_2} & 0 & 0 \\ t_2 & \frac{-1+T_2}{T_2} & \frac{1}{T_3} & 0 \\ t_3 & -\frac{-1+T_3}{T_2} & \frac{-1+T_3}{T_3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 \\ t_1 & \frac{T_3}{T_2} & 0 & 0 \\ t_2 & \frac{-1+T_2}{T_2} & \frac{1}{T_3} & 0 \\ t_3 & -\frac{-1+T_3}{T_2} & \frac{-1+T_3}{T_3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$




```
z = Rm12,1 Rm27 Rm83 Rm4,11 Rp16,5 Rp6,13 Rp14,9 Rp10,15;
Do[z = z // m1k→1, {k, 2, 16}];
z
```

$$\begin{pmatrix} 11 - \frac{1}{T_1^3} + \frac{4}{T_1^2} - \frac{8}{T_1} - 8T_1 + 4T_1^2 - T_1^3 & h_1 \\ t_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



8_{17}

Fact. Γ is better viewed as an invariant of a certain class of 2D knotted objects in $\mathbb{R}^4$ [BND, BN].


M. Polyak & T. Ohtsuki @ Heian Shrine, Kyoto

Fact. Γ is the "0-loop" part of an invariant that generalizes to "n-loops" (1D tangles only, see ωεβ/talks and future publications with van der Veen).

Speculation. Stepping stones to categorification?

References.

[BN] D. Bar-Natan, *Balloons and Hoops and their Universal Finite Type Invariant, BF Theory, and an Ultimate Alexander Invariant,* ωεβ/KBH, arXiv:1308.1721.

[BND] D. Bar-Natan and Z. Dancso, *Finite Type Invariants of W-Knotted Objects I: w-Knots and the Alexander Polynomial,* Alg. and Geom. Top. **16-2** (2016) 1063–1133, arXiv:1405.1956, ωεβ/WKO1.

[BNS] D. Bar-Natan and S. Selmani, *Meta-Monoids, Meta-Bicrossed Products, and the Alexander Polynomial,* J. of Knot Theory and its Ramifications **22-10** (2013), arXiv:1302.5689.

[GST] R. E. Gompf, M. Scharlemann, and A. Thompson, *Fibered Knots and Potential Counterexamples to the Property 2R and Slice-Ribbon Conjectures,* Geom. and Top. **14** (2010) 2305–2347, arXiv:1103.1601.

[Vo] H. Vo, *Alexander Invariants of Tangles via Expansions,* University of Toronto Ph.D. thesis, ωεβ/Vo.

"God created the knots, all else in topology is the work of mortals."

Leopold Kronecker (modified)

www.katlas.org

Proof of the Tangle Characterization of Ribbon Knots



Theorem. A knot K is ribbon iff there exists a tangle T whose τ closure is the untangle and whose κ closure is K.

Proof. The backward $\Leftarrow$ implication is easy:

For the forward implication, follow the following 5 steps:



Step I: In-situ cosmetics.
At end: D is a tree of chord-and-arc polygons.



Step 2: Near-situ cosmetics.
At end: D is tree-band-sum of n unknotted disks.



Step 3: Slides.
At end: D is a linear-band-sum of n unknotted disks.







Step 4: Exposure!
The green domain is contractible - so it can be shrank, moved at will (with the blue membrane following along), and expanded back again.
At end: D has (n-1) exposed bridges which when turned, make D a union of n unknotted disks.



Step 5: Pulling bottom handles avoiding the obstacles.
At end: Theorem is proven.