

```
In[ ]:= PP_ = Identity; $k = 0;  $\gamma = \gamma; \hbar;$ 
```

```
In[ ]:= Once[<< KnotTheory`];
```

Loading KnotTheory` version of September 6, 2014, 13:37:37.2841.  
Read more at <http://katlas.org/wiki/KnotTheory>.

## The “Speedy” Engine

### Internal Utilities

Canonical Form:

```
In[ ]:= CCF[ $\mathcal{E}_-$ ] := ExpandDenominator@ExpandNumerator@Together[
    Expand[ $\mathcal{E}$ ] /. ex- ey- => ex+y /. ex- => eCCF[x]];
CF[ $\mathcal{E}_-$ List] := CF /@  $\mathcal{E}$ ;
CF[ $sd\_SeriesData$ ] := MapAt[CF,  $sd$ , 3];
CF[ $\mathcal{E}_-$ ] := Module[
    { $vs = Cases[\mathcal{E}, (y | b | t | a | x | \eta | \beta | \tau | \alpha | \xi)_-, \infty] \cup \{y, b, t, a, x, \eta, \beta, \tau, \alpha, \xi\}$ },
    Total[CoefficientRules[Expand[ $\mathcal{E}$ ],  $vs$ ] /. ( $ps_- \rightarrow c_-$ ) => CCF[ $c$ ] (Times@@  $vs^{ps}$ )]
];
CF[ $\mathcal{E}_-E$ ] := CF /@  $\mathcal{E}$ ; CF[ $E_{sp\_}[\mathcal{E}S\_]$ ] := CF /@  $E_{sp}[\mathcal{E}S]$ ;
```

The Kronecker  $\delta$ :

```
In[ ]:= K $\delta$  /: K $\delta$  $i, j$  := If[ $i === j$ , 1, 0];
```

Equality, multiplication, and degree-adjustment of perturbed Gaussians;  $E[L, Q, P]$  stands for  $e^{L+Q} P$ :

```
In[ ]:= E /: E[L1_, Q1_, P1_] == E[L2_, Q2_, P2_] :=
    CF[L1 == L2] ^ CF[Q1 == Q2] ^ CF[Normal[P1 - P2] == 0];
E /: E[L1_, Q1_, P1_] E[L2_, Q2_, P2_] := E[L1 + L2, Q1 + Q2, P1 + P2];
E[L_, Q_, P_] $ $k$  := E[L, Q, Series[Normal@P, { $\epsilon$ , 0, $k}]];
```

### Zip and Bind

Variables and their duals:

```
In[ ]:= { $t^*, b^*, y^*, a^*, x^*, z^*$ } = { $\tau, \beta, \eta, \alpha, \xi, \zeta$ };
{ $\tau^*, \beta^*, \eta^*, \alpha^*, \xi^*, \zeta^*$ } = { $t, b, y, a, x, z$ }; ( $u_{-i}$ )* := ( $u^*$ ) $i$ ;
```

Upper to lower and lower to Upper:

```
In[ ]:=
U21 = {B_{i-}^{p-} := e^{-p \hbar \gamma b_i}, B^{p-} := e^{-p \hbar \gamma b}, T_{i-}^{p-} := e^{-p \hbar t_i}, T^{p-} := e^{-p \hbar t}, \mathcal{A}_{i-}^{p-} := e^{p \gamma \alpha_i}, \mathcal{A}^{p-} := e^{p \gamma \alpha}};
12U = {e^{c- \cdot b_i + d-} := B_i^{-c / (\hbar \gamma)} e^d, e^{c- \cdot b + d-} := B^{-c / (\hbar \gamma)} e^d,
e^{c- \cdot t_i + d-} := T_i^{-c / \hbar} e^d, e^{c- \cdot t + d-} := T^{-c / \hbar} e^d,
e^{c- \cdot \alpha_i + d-} := \mathcal{A}_i^{c / \gamma} e^d, e^{c- \cdot \alpha + d-} := \mathcal{A}^{c / \gamma} e^d,
e^{\mathcal{E}-} := e^{\text{Expand@}\mathcal{E}}};
```

Derivatives in the presence of exponentiated variables:

```
In[ ]:=
D_b[f_] := \partial_b f - \hbar \gamma B \partial_B f; D_{b_i}[f_] := \partial_{b_i} f - \hbar \gamma B_i \partial_{B_i} f;
D_t[f_] := \partial_t f - \hbar T \partial_T f; D_{t_i}[f_] := \partial_{t_i} f - \hbar T_i \partial_{T_i} f;
D_\alpha[f_] := \partial_\alpha f + \gamma \mathcal{A} \partial_{\mathcal{A}} f; D_{\alpha_i}[f_] := \partial_{\alpha_i} f + \gamma \mathcal{A}_i \partial_{\mathcal{A}_i} f;
D_v[f_] := \partial_v f; D_{\{v, \emptyset\}}[f_] := f; D_{\{ \}}[f_] := f; D_{\{v, n\_Integer\}}[f_] := D_v[D_{\{v, n-1\}}[f]];
D_{\{L\_List, Ls\_ \}}[f_] := D_{\{Ls\}}[D_L[f]];
```

Finite Zips:

```
In[ ]:=
collect[sd_SeriesData, \zeta_] := MapAt[collect[#, \zeta] &, sd, 3];
collect[\mathcal{E}, \zeta_] := Collect[\mathcal{E}, \zeta];
Zip_{\{ \}}[P_] := P;
Zip_{\zeta_s}[Ps_List] := Zip_{\zeta_s} /@ Ps;
Zip_{\{\zeta_s, \zeta_s\_ \}}[P_] :=
(collect[P // Zip_{\{\zeta_s\}}, \zeta] /. f_ \cdot \zeta^{d-} := (D_{\{\zeta^*, d\}}[f])) /. \zeta^* \to \emptyset /.
((\zeta^* /. {b \to B, t \to T, \alpha \to \mathcal{A}}) \to 1)
```

QZip implements the “Q-level zips” on  $\mathbb{E}(L, Q, P) = e^{L+Q} P(\epsilon)$ . Such zips regard the  $L$  variables as scalars.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle P(z_i, \zeta^j) e^{c + \eta^i z_i + y_j \zeta^j + q_i^j z_i \zeta^j} \right\rangle &= |\tilde{q}| \left\langle P(z_i, \zeta^j) e^{c + \eta^i z_i} \Big|_{z_i \rightarrow \tilde{q}_i^k(z_k + y_k)} \right\rangle \\ &= |\tilde{q}| e^{c + \eta^i \tilde{q}_i^k y_k} \left\langle P(\tilde{q}_i^k(z_k + y_k), \zeta^j + \eta^i \tilde{q}_i^j) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

```
In[ ]:=
QZip_{\zeta_s\_List}@E[L_, Q_, P_] := Module[{ \zeta, z, zs, c, ys, \eta s, qt, zrule, \zeta rule, out},
zs = Table[\zeta^*, {\zeta, \zeta_s}];
c = CF[Q /. Alternatives@@(\zeta_s \cup zs) \to \emptyset];
ys = CF@Table[\partial_{\zeta} (Q /. Alternatives@@zs \to \emptyset), {\zeta, \zeta_s}];
\eta s = CF@Table[\partial_z (Q /. Alternatives@@\zeta_s \to \emptyset), {z, zs}];
qt = CF@Inverse@Table[K\delta_{z, \zeta^*} - \partial_{z, \zeta} Q, {\zeta, \zeta_s}, {z, zs}];
zrule = Thread[zs \to CF[qt.(zs + ys)]];
\zeta rule = Thread[\zeta_s \to \zeta_s + \eta s.qt];
CF /@ E[L, c + \eta s.qt.y s, Det[qt] Zip_{\zeta_s}[P /. (zrule \cup \zeta rule)]]];
```

LZip implements the “L-level zips” on  $\mathbb{E}(L, Q, P) = P e^{L+Q}$ . Such zips regard all of  $P e^Q$  as a single “ $P$ ”. Here the  $z$ ’s are  $b$  and  $\alpha$  and the  $\zeta$ ’s are  $\beta$  and  $a$ .

In[ ]:=

```

LZip $\zeta\mathcal{S}$ _List@E[L_, Q_, P_] :=
Module[{ $\zeta$ , z, zs, Zs, c, ys,  $\eta\mathcal{S}$ , lt, zrule, Zrule,  $\zeta\mathcal{S}$ rule, Q1, EEQ, EQ},
  zs = Table[ $\zeta^*$ , { $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta\mathcal{S}$ }]];
  Zs = zs /. {b  $\rightarrow$  B, t  $\rightarrow$  T,  $\alpha \rightarrow$  A};
  c = L /. Alternatives@@( $\zeta\mathcal{S} \cup$  zs)  $\rightarrow$  0 /. Alternatives@@Zs  $\rightarrow$  1;
  ys = Table[ $\partial_{\zeta}$ (L /. Alternatives@@zs  $\rightarrow$  0), { $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta\mathcal{S}$ }]];
   $\eta\mathcal{S}$  = Table[ $\partial_z$ (L /. Alternatives@@ $\zeta\mathcal{S} \rightarrow$  0), {z, zs}]];
  lt = Inverse@Table[K $\delta_{z,\zeta^*} - \partial_{z,\zeta}L$ , { $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta\mathcal{S}$ }, {z, zs}];
  zrule = Thread[zs  $\rightarrow$  lt.(zs + ys)];
  Zrule = Join[zrule,
    zrule /. r_Rule  $\Rightarrow$  ((U = r[[1]] /. {b  $\rightarrow$  B, t  $\rightarrow$  T,  $\alpha \rightarrow$  A})  $\rightarrow$  (U /. U21 /. r //. 12U))];
   $\zeta\mathcal{S}$ rule = Thread[ $\zeta\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \zeta\mathcal{S} + \eta\mathcal{S}.lt$ ];
  Q1 = Q /. (Zrule  $\cup$   $\zeta\mathcal{S}$ rule);
  EEQ[ps___] := EEQ[ps] =
    (CF[e-Q1 DThread[{zs, {ps}}] [eQ1]] /. {Alternatives@@zs  $\rightarrow$  0, Alternatives@@Zs  $\rightarrow$  1});
  CF@E[c +  $\eta\mathcal{S}.lt.ys$ , Q1 /. {Alternatives@@zs  $\rightarrow$  0, Alternatives@@Zs  $\rightarrow$  1},
    Det[lt] (Zip $\zeta\mathcal{S}$ [(EQ@@zs) (P /. (Zrule  $\cup$   $\zeta\mathcal{S}$ rule))] /.
      Derivative[ps___][EQ][___]  $\Rightarrow$  EEQ[ps] /. _EQ  $\rightarrow$  1) ]];

```

In[ ]:=

```

B_{ } [L_, R_] := LR;
B_{is___} [L_ $\mathcal{E}$ , R_ $\mathcal{E}$ ] := Module[{n},
  Times[
    L /. Table[(v : b | B | t | T | a | x | y)i  $\rightarrow$  vn $\mathcal{E}$ i, {i, {is}}}],
    R /. Table[(v :  $\beta$  |  $\tau$  |  $\alpha$  | A |  $\xi$  |  $\eta$ )i  $\rightarrow$  vn $\mathcal{E}$ i, {i, {is}}]
  ] // LZipJoin@Table[{ $\beta_{n\mathcal{E}i}$ ,  $\tau_{n\mathcal{E}i}$ ,  $\alpha_{n\mathcal{E}i}$ }, {i, {is}}] // QZipJoin@Table[{ $\xi_{n\mathcal{E}i}$ ,  $\eta_{n\mathcal{E}i}$ }, {i, {is}}] ];
Bis___ [L_, R_] := B_{is} [L, R];

```

## E morphisms with domain and range.

```

In[ ]:=
Bis_List[E_{d1→r1}[L1_, Q1_, P1_], E_{d2→r2}[L2_, Q2_, P2_]] :=
  E_{(d1∪Complement[d2, is])→(r2∪Complement[r1, is])} @@ Bis[E_{[L1, Q1, P1]}, E_{[L2, Q2, P2]}];
E_{d1→r1}[L1_, Q1_, P1_] // E_{d2→r2}[L2_, Q2_, P2_] :=
  B_{r1∩d2}[E_{d1→r1}[L1, Q1, P1], E_{d2→r2}[L2, Q2, P2]];
E_{d1→r1}[L1_, Q1_, P1_] ≡ E_{d2→r2}[L2_, Q2_, P2_] ^:=
  (d1 == d2) ∧ (r1 == r2) ∧ (E_{[L1, Q1, P1]} ≡ E_{[L2, Q2, P2]});
E_{d1→r1}[L1_, Q1_, P1_] E_{d2→r2}[L2_, Q2_, P2_] ^:=
  E_{(d1∪d2)→(r1∪r2)} @@ (E_{[L1, Q1, P1]} E_{[L2, Q2, P2]});
E_{dr}[L_, Q_, P_] $k_ := E_{dr} @@ E_{[L, Q, P]} $k;
E_{[S___]} [i_] := {S} [i];
R_{i,j} := E_{{}→{i,j}} [0, (y_i - y_j) x_j, 1 + ε (x_i y_i - x_j y_i - x_j y_j) +
  ε^2 (Sum[R_{If[#===i,0,1]&&k, If[#===i,0,1]&&l} y_k x_l, {k, {i, j}}, {l, {i, j}}] +
  Sum[S_{If[#===i,0,1]&&k, If[#===i,0,1]&&l, If[#===i,0,1]&&m, If[#===i,0,1]&&n} y_k x_l y_m x_n,
  {k, {i, j}}, {l, {i, j}}, {m, {i, j}}, {n, {i, j}}]) + O[ε]^3] /.
  {r_{1,0} → 0, r_{1,1} → 1, r_{0,0} → -1, r_{0,1} → 0, s_{0,0,0,0} → 1/2, s_{1,0,1,0} → 0, s_{1,0,0,0} → 1,
  s_{0,0,1,0} → -1, s_{1,1,1,1} → 1/2, s_{1,1,1,0} → 1, s_{1,0,1,1} → -1, s_{0,0,1,1} → 1, s_{0,1,0,1} → 1, s_{0,1,1,0} → -1,
  s_{1,0,0,1} → 1, s_{1,1,0,0} → -1, s_{0,0,0,1} → -1, s_{0,1,0,0} → -1, s_{0,1,1,1} → 1, s_{1,1,0,1} → -1/2}
  
```

## “Define” Code

Define[lhs = rhs, ...] defines the lhs to be rhs, except that rhs is computed only once for each value of \$k. Fancy Mathematica not for the faint of heart. Most readers should ignore.

```

In[ ]:=
SetAttributes[Define, HoldAll];
Define[def_, defs__] := (Define[def]; Define[defs]);
Define[op_is_ = ε_] := Module[{SD, ii, jj, kk, isp, nis, nisp, sis}, Block[{i, j, k},
  ReleaseHold[Hold[
    SD[op_nisp, $k_Integer, Block[{i, j, k}, op_isp, $k = ε; op_nis, $k]];
    SD[op_isp, op_{is}, $k]; SD[op_sis_, op_{sis}];
  ] /. {SD → SetDelayed,
  isp → {is} /. {i → i_, j → j_, k → k_},
  nis → {is} /. {i → ii, j → jj, k → kk},
  nisp → {is} /. {i → ii_, j → jj_, k → kk_}
  } ] ]
  
```

```

In[ ]:=
Define[m_{i,j→k} = E_{i,j}→{k} [0, -ξ_i η_j + (η_i + η_j) y_k + (ξ_i + ξ_j) x_k, 1]]
(*Heisenberg multiplication*)
  
```

The docile R-matrix and its inverse

```

In[ ]:=
Once[RRules = {}; RiRules = {}; CCRules = {β → 0, δ → 0};]
  
```

```
In[*]:=
R_{i,j}_ :=
  E_{i,j} [0, (y_i - y_j) (T - 1) x_j, 1 + e (u x_i y_i + v x_j y_i + w x_i y_j + z x_j y_j) + O[e]^2] /. RRules
R_{i,j}_ := E_{i,j} [0, (y_i - y_j) (T^{-1} - 1) x_j,
  1 + e (a x_i y_i + b x_j y_i + c x_i y_j + d x_j y_j) + O[e]^2] /. RiRules
CC_{i,j}_ := E_{i,j} [0, 0, sqrt(T) + (alpha + beta x_i y_i) e + O[e]^2] /. CCRules
CC_{i,j}_ := E_{i,j} [0, 0, (sqrt(T))^{-1} + (gamma + delta x_i y_i) e + O[e]^2] /. CCRules
Kink_{i,j}_ := CC_{i,j}_ R_{1,2} // m_{2,3->2} // m_{2,1->i}
Kink_{i,j}_ := CC_{i,j}_ R_{1,2} // m_{1,3->1} // m_{1,2->i}
```

```
In[*]:= Coefficient [ (R_{1,2} R_{4,3} R_{5,6} // m_{1,4->1} // m_{2,5->2} // m_{3,6->3}) [[3]] -
  (R_{2,3} R_{4,5} R_{1,6} // m_{1,4->1} // m_{2,5->2} // m_{3,6->3}) [[3]] // Normal, e ]
```

$$\text{Out}[*]= - (u - T u + v) x_2 y_1 + (u - T u + v + w - T w) x_2 y_1 - v x_3 y_1 + (T u - T^2 u + 2 v - T v + z - T z) x_3 y_1 + w x_1 y_2 - (2 w - T w) x_1 y_2 + (T u + z) x_2 y_2 - (T u + w - 2 T w + T^2 w + z) x_2 y_2 - (T v + z - T z) x_3 y_2 + (T v + T w - T^2 w + z - T z) x_3 y_2 + w x_1 y_3 - T w x_1 y_3 + T w x_2 y_3 - (2 T w - T^2 w) x_2 y_3$$

```
In[*]:= Solve [Thread [CoefficientRules [Coefficient [ (R_{1,2} R_{4,3} R_{5,6} // m_{1,4->1} // m_{2,5->2} // m_{3,6->3}) [[3]] -
  (R_{2,3} R_{4,5} R_{1,6} // m_{1,4->1} // m_{2,5->2} // m_{3,6->3}) [[3]] // Normal, e ],
  {x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3} ] [ ; ; , 2] == 0], {u, v, w, z}]
```

Solve: Equations may not give solutions for all "solve" variables. +

$$\text{Out}[*]= \{ \{ w \rightarrow 0, z \rightarrow -T u - v \} \}$$

```
In[*]:= RRules = {w -> 0, z -> -T u - v}; R_{1,2} // CF
```

$$\text{Out}[*]= E_{\{i\} \rightarrow \{1,2\}} [0, (-1 + T) x_2 y_1 + (1 - T) x_2 y_2, 1 + (u x_1 y_1 + v x_2 y_1 + (-T u - v) x_2 y_2) e + O[e]^2]$$

```
In[*]:= Solve [Thread [CoefficientRules [Coefficient [ (R_{1,2} R_{3,4} // m_{1,3->1} // m_{2,4->2}) [[3]] // Normal, e ],
  {x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2} ] [ ; ; , 2] == 0], {a, b, c, d}]
```

$$\text{Out}[*]= \{ \{ a \rightarrow -u, b \rightarrow -\frac{v}{T^2}, c \rightarrow 0, d \rightarrow -\frac{-T u - v}{T^2} \} \}$$

```
In[*]:= RiRules = {a -> -u, b -> -v/T^2, c -> 0, d -> -(-T u - v)/T^2}; R_{1,2} // CF
```

$$\text{Out}[*]= E_{\{i\} \rightarrow \{1,2\}} [0, \frac{(1 - T) x_2 y_1}{T} + \frac{(-1 + T) x_2 y_2}{T}, 1 + \left( -u x_1 y_1 - \frac{v x_2 y_1}{T^2} + \frac{(T u + v) x_2 y_2}{T^2} \right) e + O[e]^2]$$

```
In[*]:= CC_{1,2} // m_{1,2->1} (*Requirements on CC to nail it down*)
Kink_{1,2} = (CC_{1,2} R_{1,2} // m_{1,3->1} // m_{1,2->1})
```

$$\text{Out}[*]= E_{\{i\} \rightarrow \{1\}} [0, 0, 1 + \left( \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{T}} + \sqrt{T} \gamma \right) e + O[e]^2]$$

$$\text{Out}[*]= \frac{-v e + \sqrt{T} \alpha e - T^{3/2} \gamma e}{T^{3/2}} == 0$$

$$\text{In[*]:= Solve} \left[ \left\{ -\mathbf{v} + \sqrt{\mathbf{T}} \alpha - \mathbf{T}^{3/2} \gamma = \mathbf{0}, \left( \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\mathbf{T}}} + \sqrt{\mathbf{T}} \gamma \right) = \mathbf{0} \right\}, \{\alpha, \gamma\} \right]$$

$$\text{Out[*]:=} \left\{ \left\{ \alpha \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{v}}{2 \sqrt{\mathbf{T}}}, \gamma \rightarrow -\frac{\mathbf{v}}{2 \mathbf{T}^{3/2}} \right\} \right\}$$

$$\text{In[*]:= CCRules} = \left\{ \beta \rightarrow \mathbf{0}, \delta \rightarrow \mathbf{0}, \alpha \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{v}}{2 \sqrt{\mathbf{T}}}, \gamma \rightarrow -\frac{\mathbf{v}}{2 \mathbf{T}^{3/2}} \right\}; \text{CC}_1$$

$$\text{Out[*]:=} \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{1\}} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \sqrt{\mathbf{T}} + \frac{\mathbf{v} \epsilon}{2 \sqrt{\mathbf{T}}} + \mathbf{0}[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

OC fails

$$\text{In[*]:=} (\mathbf{R}_{1,2} \mathbf{R}_{4,3} // \mathbf{m}_{1,4 \rightarrow 1}) \equiv (\mathbf{R}_{1,3} \mathbf{R}_{4,2} // \mathbf{m}_{1,4 \rightarrow 1}) // \text{Simplify}$$

$$\text{Out[*]:=} (-1 + \mathbf{T}) \mathbf{u} \in (\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_3) \mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{0}$$

R2 braid-like

$$\text{In[*]:=} \mathbf{R}_{1,2} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,4} // \mathbf{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \mathbf{m}_{2,4 \rightarrow 2}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2} \mathbf{R}_{3,4} // \mathbf{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \mathbf{m}_{2,4 \rightarrow 2}$$

$$\text{Out[*]:=} \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{1,2\}} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, 1 + \mathbf{0}[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

$$\text{Out[*]:=} \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{1,2\}} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, 1 + \mathbf{0}[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

'naked' R2 cyclic (!!):

$$\text{In[*]:=} \mathbf{R}_{3,2} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,4} // \mathbf{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \mathbf{m}_{2,4 \rightarrow 2}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,4} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,2} // \mathbf{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \mathbf{m}_{2,4 \rightarrow 2}$$

$$\text{Out[*]:=} \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{1,2\}} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, 1 + \mathbf{0}[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

$$\text{Out[*]:=} \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{1,2\}} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, 1 + \mathbf{0}[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

Proper R2 cyclic:

$$\text{In[*]:=} \left( \text{CC}_3 \mathbf{R}_{5,2} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,4} // \mathbf{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \mathbf{m}_{1,5 \rightarrow 1} // \mathbf{m}_{2,4 \rightarrow 2} \right) \equiv \text{CC}_1 \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{2\}} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, 1 + \mathbf{0}[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

$$\left( \bar{\text{CC}}_4 \mathbf{R}_{1,6} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,2} // \mathbf{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \mathbf{m}_{2,4 \rightarrow 2} // \mathbf{m}_{2,6 \rightarrow 2} \right) \equiv \bar{\text{CC}}_2 \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{1\}} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, 1 + \mathbf{0}[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

$$\text{Out[*]:= True}$$

$$\text{Out[*]:= True}$$

R3:

$$\text{In[*]:=} (\mathbf{R}_{1,2} \mathbf{R}_{4,3} \mathbf{R}_{5,6} // \mathbf{m}_{1,4 \rightarrow 1} // \mathbf{m}_{2,5 \rightarrow 2} // \mathbf{m}_{3,6 \rightarrow 3}) \equiv (\mathbf{R}_{2,3} \mathbf{R}_{4,5} \mathbf{R}_{1,6} // \mathbf{m}_{1,4 \rightarrow 1} // \mathbf{m}_{2,5 \rightarrow 2} // \mathbf{m}_{3,6 \rightarrow 3})$$

$$\text{Out[*]:= True}$$

Pairwise equality of the four kinks:

$$\text{In[*]:= Kink}_1 \equiv \left( \overline{\text{CC}}_3 \text{R}_{1,2} // \text{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,2 \rightarrow 1} \right)$$

$$\overline{\text{Kink}}_1 \equiv \left( \overline{\text{CC}}_3 \overline{\text{R}}_{1,2} // \text{m}_{2,3 \rightarrow 2} // \text{m}_{2,1 \rightarrow 1} \right)$$

Out[\*]= True

Out[\*]= True

Trefoils?:

$$\text{In[*]:= } \overline{\text{Kink}}_8 \overline{\text{Kink}}_9 \overline{\text{Kink}}_{10} \overline{\text{CC}}_7 \text{R}_{1,4} \text{R}_{5,2} \text{R}_{3,6} // \text{m}_{1,2 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,7 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,4 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,5 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,6 \rightarrow 1} //$$

$$\text{m}_{1,8 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,9 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,10 \rightarrow 1}$$

$$\overline{\text{Kink}}_8 \overline{\text{Kink}}_9 \overline{\text{Kink}}_{10} \text{CC}_7 \text{R}_{4,1} \text{R}_{2,5} \text{R}_{6,3} // \text{m}_{1,2 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,7 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,4 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,5 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,6 \rightarrow 1} //$$

$$\text{m}_{1,8 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,9 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,10 \rightarrow 1}$$

$$\text{Out[*]= } \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{1\}} \left[ \theta, \theta, \frac{\tau}{1 - \tau + \tau^2} + \frac{(v - \tau^2 v) \epsilon}{1 - 2\tau + 3\tau^2 - 2\tau^3 + \tau^4} + O[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

$$\text{Out[*]= } \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{1\}} \left[ \theta, \theta, \frac{\tau}{1 - \tau + \tau^2} + \frac{(v - \tau^2 v) \epsilon}{1 - 2\tau + 3\tau^2 - 2\tau^3 + \tau^4} + O[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

Unfortunately? This timid invariant does not see the difference between the mirror trefoils. Perhaps it is actually determined by Alexander as Dror feared.

$$\text{In[*]:= } \overline{\text{Kink}}_8 \overline{\text{Kink}}_9 \overline{\text{Kink}}_{10} \text{CC}_4 \overline{\text{R}}_{1,5} \overline{\text{R}}_{6,2} \overline{\text{R}}_{3,7} // \text{m}_{1,2 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,3 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,4 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,5 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,6 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,7 \rightarrow 1} //$$

$$\text{m}_{1,8 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,9 \rightarrow 1} // \text{m}_{1,10 \rightarrow 1}$$

$$\text{Out[*]= } \mathbb{E}_{\{\} \rightarrow \{1\}} \left[ \theta, \theta, \frac{\tau}{1 - \tau + \tau^2} + \frac{(v - \tau^2 v) \epsilon}{1 - 2\tau + 3\tau^2 - 2\tau^3 + \tau^4} + O[\epsilon]^2 \right]$$

```

In[*]:= RVK[pd_PD] := Module[{n, xs, x, rots, front = {0}, k},
  n = Length@pd; rots = Table[0, {2 n}];
  xs = Cases[pd, x_X => {Xp[x[[4]], x[[1]] PositiveQ@x};
  {Xm[x[[2]], x[[1]] True};
  For[k = 0, k < 2 n, ++k, If[k == 0 || FreeQ[front, -k],
    front = Flatten[front /. k -> {xs /. {
      Xp[k + 1, l_] | Xm[l_, k + 1] => {l, k + 1, 1 - l},
      Xp[l_, k + 1] | Xm[k + 1, l_] => {++rots[[l]]; {1 - l, k + 1, l}}
    }]],
    Cases[front, k | -k] /. {k, -k} => --rots[[k + 1]];
  ]];
  RVK[xs, rots];
  RVK[K_] := RVK[PD[K]];

```

```

In[*]:= rot[i_, 0] := E_{\{\} \rightarrow \{i\}} [0, 0, 1];
rot[i_, n_] := Module[{j},
  rot[i, n] = If[n > 0, rot[i, n - 1] CC_j, rot[i, n + 1] CC_j] // m_{i, j \rightarrow i};

```

In[ ]:=

```
Z[K_] := Z[RVK@K];
Z[rvk_RVK] := (*Z[rvk] =*)
Module[{todo, n, rots, ξ, done, st, cx, ξ1, i, j, k, k1, k2, k3},
  {todo, rots} = List@@rvk;
  AppendTo[rots, 0];
  n = Length[todo];
  ξ = E_{i→{0}}[0, 0, 1];
  done = {0};
  st = Range[0, 2 n + 1];
  While[{} != ($M = todo),
    {cx} = MaximalBy[todo, Length[done ∩ {#[[1]], #[[2]], #[[1]] - 1, #[[2]] - 1}] &, 1];
    {i, j} = List@@cx;
    ξ1 = Switch[Head[cx],
      Xp, (R_{i,j} Kink_k) // m_{j,k→j},
      Xm, (R_{i,j} Kink_k) // m_{j,k→j}
    ];
    ξ1 = (rot[k, rots[[i]] ξ1) // m_{k,i→i}; rots[[i]] = 0;
    ξ1 = (ξ1 rot[k, rots[[i + 1]]) // m_{i,k→i}; rots[[i + 1]] = 0;
    ξ1 = (rot[k, rots[[j]] ξ1) // m_{k,j→j}; rots[[j]] = 0;
    ξ1 = (ξ1 rot[k, rots[[j + 1]]) // m_{j,k→j}; rots[[j + 1]] = 0;
    ξ *= ξ1;
    If[MemberQ[done, i], ξ = ξ // m_{i,i+1→i}; st = st /. st[[i + 2]] → st[[i + 1]];
    If[MemberQ[done, i - 1], ξ = ξ // m_{st[[i],i→st[[i]]}; st = st /. st[[i + 1]] → st[[i]];
    If[MemberQ[done, j], ξ = ξ // m_{j,j+1→j}; st = st /. st[[j + 2]] → st[[j + 1]];
    If[MemberQ[done, j - 1], ξ = ξ // m_{st[[j],j→st[[j]]}; st = st /. st[[j + 1]] → st[[j]];
    done = done ∪ {i - 1, i, j - 1, j};
    todo = DeleteCases[todo, cx]
  ];
  CF /@ (ξ (* /. {X0→X, Y0→Y, a0→a}*))
]
```

```
In[ ]:= TimidBit[K_] := Module[{Alex = Alexander[K][T]},
  Alex^2 T^2 (v (-1 + T) (1 + T))^-1 Coefficient[Z[K][[3], ε] // Factor]
```

```
In[ ]:= TimidBit /@ AllKnots[{3, 5}]
```

Out[ ]:=  $\{-1, 1, -\frac{2 - T + 2 T^2}{T}, -2\}$

```
In[ ]:= (*Two knots with equal Alexander, Timid bit also agrees*)
Alexander[Knot[6, 1]] == Alexander[Knot[9, 46]]
TimidBit[Knot[6, 1]] == TimidBit[Knot[9, 46]]
```

Out[ ]:= True

Out[ ]:= True

The General Timid invariant seems equivalent to Alexander but how explicitly? At least they perform equally on the Rolfsen table.



```
In[ ]:= AllKnots [{3, 10}] // Length  
Alexander /@ AllKnots [{3, 10}] // Union // Length  
{Alexander [#], TimidBit [#]} & /@ AllKnots [{3, 10}] // Union // Length  
  
Out[ ]:= 249  
  
Out[ ]:= 211  
  
Out[ ]:= 211
```