## Q1

Let $U_{1}, \ldots U_{n}$ be open sets covering the boundary of $A$ (finitely many since the boundary of $A$ has measure 0 and thus content 0 ), with $B=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}$, and $V\left(U_{1}\right)+\ldots+V\left(U_{n}\right)<\varepsilon$. $B$ is a finite union of open sets, and is thus itself open. Now, take $C=A \backslash B$. We know $C$ is closed since $C^{c}$ is $\operatorname{ext} A \cup B$, which is a union of open sets and thus open. So, $C$ is closed and contained in $A$, so it is bounded, meaning that $C$ is compact.

Since $C$ is closed, it contains its boundary. Now, if $x$ is on the boundary of $C$, then any open ball containing $x$ must contain something in $C$ and something not in $C$, so it must contain an element of $B$. Thus, $x$ is also on the boundary of $B$. So, $B d(C) \subseteq B d(B)$. B is a union of open rectangles, so it is integrable. Thus, $B d(B)$ is of measure 0. So, since $B d(C) \subseteq B d(B), B d(C)$ is also of measure 0 . So, $C$ is Jordan Measurable.

Now $V(A \backslash C)=V(A \backslash(A \backslash B))=V(B)<\varepsilon$.

## Q2

Suppose $f: S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow R$.
If there doesn't exist one point $a$ for which $D_{1,2} f(a)-D_{2,1} f(a) \neq 0$, we're done. If there's a point $a$ where $D_{1,2} f(a)-D_{2,1} f(a) \neq 0$, then since $D_{1,2} f(a)$ and $D_{2,1} f(a)$ are continuous, we know that there's an open rectangle $A$ around $a$ with $D_{1,2} f(a)-D_{2,1} f(a) \neq 0$ (and in fact, the difference maintains the same sign) for all $a \in A$, and let $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right)$.
Let $A=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \times\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \times\left(b_{3}, b_{4}\right) \times \ldots \times\left(b_{2 n-1}, b_{2 n}\right)$, and define

$$
c \subset A=\left\{(x, y):\left(x, y, a_{3}, \ldots a_{n}\right), x \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), y \in\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Then, $c==\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \times\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$
Now, consider the function $g(x, y)=f\left(x, y, a_{3}, \ldots a_{n}\right)$. We have $G: C \rightarrow R$. Also, $D_{1,2} g(x, y)=D_{1,2} f\left(x, y, a_{3}, \ldots a_{n}\right)$, and similar for $D_{2,1} g(x, y)$. If $D_{1,2} f(a)-D_{2,1} f(a) \neq 0$ (and difference maintains the same sign) on $A$, then $D_{1,2} g(x, y)-D_{2,1} g(x, y) \neq 0$ (and the difference maintains the same sign) on $c$. Thus,

$$
\int_{c} D_{1,2} g(x, y)-D_{2,1} g(x, y) \neq 0
$$

Now, both $D_{1,2} g$ and $D_{2,1} g$ are continuous, so we can apply naive fubini.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{c} D_{1,2} g(x, y)-D_{2,1} g(x, y) & =\int_{c} D_{1,2} g(x, y)-\int_{c} D_{2,1} g(x, y) \\
& =\int_{\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)} \int_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g(x, y) d x d y-\int_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)} \int_{\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, y) d y d x
\end{aligned}
$$

(from naive Fubini)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g(x, y) d x d y-\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, y) d y d x \\
& =\int_{y_{1}}^{y_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(g\left(x_{2}, y\right)-g\left(x_{1}, y\right) d y-\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(g\left(x, y_{2}\right)-g\left(x, y_{1}\right)\right) d x\right. \\
& =g\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)-g\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right)-g\left(x_{2}, y_{1}\right)+g\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-\left[g\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)-g\left(x_{2}, y_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right)+g\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right] \\
& =g\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)-g\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right)-g\left(x_{2}, y_{1}\right)+g\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)+g\left(x_{2}, y_{1}\right)+g\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right)-g\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the integral is 0 , meaning that no $a$ exists such that $D_{1,2} f(a)-D_{2,1} f(a) \neq 0$. So, they're equal and we're done.

Q3
Define $C \subseteq[a, b] \times[c, d]$ by $C=[a, b] \times[c, y]$ for some constant $y$. Then,
$F(y)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) d x=\int_{a}^{b} f(x, y)-f(x, c)+f(x, c) d x=\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{y} D_{2} f(x, y) d y+f(x, c) d x=\int_{C} D_{2} f(x, y)+\int_{a}^{b} f(x, c) d x$
Then, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\prime}(y) & =\frac{d}{d y}\left(\int_{C} D_{2} f(x, y)+\int_{a}^{b} f(x, c) d x\right) \\
& =\frac{d}{d y} \int_{C} f(x, y) \\
& =\frac{d}{d y} \int_{c}^{y} \int_{a}^{b} D_{2} f(x, y) d x d y \\
& =\int_{a}^{b} D_{2} f(x, y) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

As desired, so we're done. Note that if we had $F(x)=\int_{c}^{d} f(x, y) d y$ we could have applied the same argument to show that $F^{\prime}(x)=\int_{c}^{d} D_{1} f(x, y) d y$.

Q4
First, consider

$$
D_{1} f(x, y)=D_{1} \int_{0}^{x} g_{1}(t, 0) d t+D_{1} \int_{0}^{y} g_{2}(x, t) d t
$$

So, let's find $D_{1} \int_{0}^{x} g_{1}(t, 0) d t$ first. This is just equal to $g_{1}(x, 0)$. Now, for $D_{1} \int_{0}^{y} g_{2}(x, t) d t$, we can set $h(x)=$ $\int_{0}^{y} g_{2}(x, t) d t$. Then, applying question 3 , we get

$$
h^{\prime}(x)=\int_{0}^{y} D_{1} g_{2}(x, t) d t=\int_{0}^{y} D_{2} g_{1}(x, t) d t=g_{1}(x, 0)-g_{1}(x, y)
$$

So,

$$
D_{1} f(x, y)=D_{1} \int_{0}^{x} g_{1}(t, 0) d t+D_{1} \int_{0}^{y} g_{2}(x, t) d t=g_{1}(x, 0)+g_{1}(x, y)-g_{1}(x, 0)=g_{1}(x, y)
$$

So that's done. Now, let's consider

$$
D_{2} f(x, y)=D_{2} \int_{0}^{x} g_{1}(t, 0) d t+D_{2} \int_{0}^{y} g_{2}(x, t) d t
$$

First, note that $g_{1}(t, 0)=D_{1} f(t, 0)$, so we have:

$$
D_{2} \int_{0}^{x} D_{1} f(t, 0) d t=D_{2}(f(x, 0)-f(0,0))=0
$$

Now, $D_{2} \int_{0}^{y} g_{2}(x, t) d t$ is just $g_{2}(x, y)$. So, putting it all together, we get:

$$
D_{2} f(x, y)=D_{2} \int_{0}^{x} g_{1}(t, 0) d t+D_{2} \int_{0}^{y} g_{2}(x, t) d t=0+g_{2}(x, y)=g_{2}(x, y)
$$

So, we're done.

## Q5

a) First, note that if $A$ is bounded, so is $L(A)$, since for each $x \in A,|L(x)| \leq C|x|$ for a specific $c$ determined by the linear map.
Since $A$ is Jordan Measurable, we know that $\chi_{A}$ is discontinuous only on a set of measure 0 . Now, we know that each of the linear transformations provided are invertible, meaning they are bijections. Also, they're continuous (since linear transformations are continuous). Now, consider the function $\chi=\chi_{A} \circ L^{-1}$. If $x \in L(A), \chi(x)=\chi_{A} L^{-1}(x)$. Since $L^{-1} x \in A, \chi_{A} L^{-1}(x)=1$. Similarly, if $x \notin L(A), L^{-1}(x) \notin A$, so $\chi(x)=\chi_{A} L^{-1}(x)=0$. So, we have that $\chi=\chi_{L(A)}$.

So, what are the discontinuities of $\chi_{L}(A) \circ L^{-1}$ ? First of all, note that since the set of discontinuities of $\chi_{A}$ is closed and of measure 0 , so the set of discontinuities has content 0 , we can find a list of rectangles $U_{1}, \ldots U_{k}$ which cover the set of discontinuities of $\chi_{A}$, and whose sum of volumes is less than $\varepsilon$ for any given epsilon. Now, any rectangle is the union of a finite number of n-cubes, so we can assume wlog that the $U_{1}, \ldots U_{k}$ are n-cubes, each with side length $l_{i}$. So, the sum of the volumes of these cubes is $l_{1}^{n}+\ldots+l_{k}^{n}$.

Now:
Lemma 1. If $B$ is a ball $\{x:|x-a| \leq d\}$, and $L$ is a bijection, then $L(B) \subseteq\{x:|x-L a| \leq C d\}$.
Proof. We know that any linear operator $L$ satisfies $|L x| \leq C|x|$ for some $C$. Now, suppose $x \in B$. Then, $|L x-L a|=|L(x-a)| \leq C|x-a| \leq C d$, so $|L x-L a| \leq C d$, So $L(B) \subseteq\{x:|x-L a| \leq C d\}$.

Let $\varepsilon$ be given. We want to find a set of rectangles covering the discontinuities of $\chi_{A} \circ L^{-1}$ whose sum of volumes is less than $\varepsilon$.

There exist $U_{1}, \ldots U_{k}$ covering the discontinuities of $\chi_{A}$ such that $l_{i}^{n}+\ldots+l_{k}^{n}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n} C^{n}}$.
Now, consider balls containing $U_{1}, \ldots U_{k}$. Let $U_{i} \subset B_{i}$, with $B_{i}$ being a ball of radius $2 l_{i}$. Now, $L\left(U_{i}\right) \subset L\left(B_{i}\right) \subset$ $B_{i}^{\prime}$, where $B_{i}^{\prime}$ is a ball of radius $2 C l_{i}$ (from the lemma). Note that since the $U_{i}$ a cover the set of discontinuities of $\chi_{A}$, and $L^{-1}$ is a continuous bijection, then each discontinuity of $\chi_{A} \circ L^{-1}$ is in one of the $L U_{i}$ s, and therefore $B_{i}^{\prime}$.

Each $B_{i}^{\prime}$ is contained in a cube of side length $2 C l_{i}$, so the cubes $C_{i}, \ldots C_{k}$ cover the set of discontinuities of $\chi_{A} \circ L^{-1}$. Then, the sum of the volumes of these cubes is $\left(2 C l_{1}\right)^{n}+\ldots\left(2 C l_{k}\right)^{n}=2^{n} C^{n}\left(l_{1}^{n}+\ldots l_{k}^{n}\right)$. However, we have picked our $U_{1}, \ldots U_{k}$ so that $l_{i}^{n}+\ldots+l_{k}^{n}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n} C^{n}}$, so we get:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)=2^{n} C^{n}\left(l_{1}^{n}+\ldots l_{k}^{n}\right)<2^{n} C^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n} C^{n}}=\varepsilon
$$

So, $C_{1}, \ldots C_{k}$ cover the set of discontinuities of $\chi_{A} \circ L^{-1}$, and their volumes sum to less that $\varepsilon$, so the set of discontinuities of $\chi_{A} \circ L^{-1}$ is of measure 0 .

But, we established that $\chi_{A} \circ L^{-1}=\chi_{L(A)}$, meaning that the set of discontinuities of $\chi_{L(A)}$ is of measure 0 , so $L(A)$ is Jordan measurable.
b)

Case 1: Consider the first type of operator, of the form

$$
L\left(e_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}\alpha e_{i} & k=i \\ e_{k} & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{det} L=\alpha$.
Lemma 2. If $A$ is a rectangle of the form $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \times \ldots\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$, then $V(A)=|\alpha| V(A)$.
Proof. First, suppose $\alpha>0$ Consider the rectangle $B=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[\alpha a_{i}, \alpha b_{i}\right] \times \ldots\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$. I claim $L(A)=B$. First, suppose $x \in A$. Then, letting $a_{c} \in\left[a_{c}, b_{c}\right], x=\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{i}, \ldots a_{n}\right)$. Then, $L(x)=\left(a_{1}, \ldots \alpha a_{i}, \ldots a_{n}\right) \in B$.

Now, suppose $x \in B$. Then, $x=\left(a_{1}, \ldots \alpha a_{i}, \ldots a_{n}\right)=L\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{i}, \ldots a_{n}\right) \in L(A)$, so $x \in L(A)$. So, $L(A)=B$. Then, $V(L(A))=V(B)=\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \ldots \alpha\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)=\alpha\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \ldots\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)=\alpha V(A)=|\operatorname{det} L| V(A)$.

Now, consider $\alpha<0$. If we define $B=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[\alpha b_{i}, \alpha a_{i}\right] \times \ldots\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$, a similar argument to before shows that $L(A)=B$. Then, $V(L(A))=V(B)=\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \ldots \alpha\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)=\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \ldots(-\alpha)\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)=$ $(-\alpha)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \ldots\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)=-\alpha V(A)=|\operatorname{det} L| V(A)$.

Case 2: Consider the second type of operator. If $i<j$, then $\operatorname{det} L=-1$. If $i>j$, $\operatorname{det} L=1$. In both cases, $|\operatorname{det} L|=1$.

$$
L\left(e_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}e_{j} & k=i \\ e_{i} & k=j \\ e_{k} & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 3. If $A$ is a rectangle of the form $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right] \times \ldots\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$, then $V(A)=V(L(A))$. I'm proving this for $i<j$ since the $j>i$ case is nearly identical.

Proof. First, consider the rectangle $B=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \times \ldots\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$. I claim that $L(A)=B$. First, suppose $x \in A$. Then, letting $a_{c} \in\left[a_{c}, b_{c}\right], x=\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{i}, \ldots a_{j}, \ldots a_{n}\right) . L(x)=\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{j}, \ldots a_{i}, \ldots a_{n}\right) \in B$. Now, suppose $x \in B$. Then, $x=\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{j}, \ldots a_{i}, \ldots a_{n}\right)$. But then $x=L\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{i}, \ldots a_{j}, \ldots a_{n}\right)$. So, $x \in L(A)$. Thus, $B=L(A)$. Now, $V(L(A))=V(B)=\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \ldots\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right) \ldots\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)=1 \cdot V(A)=|\operatorname{det} L| \cdot V(A)$.

Case 3: Consider the third type of operator. Wlog, we can let $L$ be an operator of the form

$$
L\left(e_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}e_{2}+e_{1} & k=1 \\ e_{k} & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

Since if it isn't, it can be made into one by applying certain operators of form (2) to $A$, which we already know don't change the volume of $A$.

Lemma 4. If $A$ is a rectangle of the form $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$, and $R=\left[c_{1}, d_{1}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[c_{n}, d_{n}\right]$ is a rectangle containing both $A$ and $L(A)$, then $V(L(A))=|\operatorname{det}(L)| V(A)=V(A) \quad($ since $\operatorname{det}(L)=1)$.

Proof. We know that $V(L(A))=\int_{R} \chi_{L(A)}=\int_{R} \chi_{A} L^{-1}$. Then, consider the function $\chi_{i,\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{n-1}\right)}(x): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\chi_{i,\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{n-1}\right)}(x)=\chi_{A} L^{-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots x_{i}, \ldots a_{n-1}\right)$. For any $i$ and $\left(a_{1}, \ldots x_{i}, \ldots a_{n}\right)$, this function is discontinuous at at most two points, the points where the line $\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots x_{i}, \ldots a_{n}\right): x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ intersects $L(A)$.

Now, apply fubini's theorem:

$$
\int_{R} \chi_{L(A)}=\int_{c_{n}}^{d_{n}} \ldots \int_{c_{1}}^{d_{1}} \chi_{A} L^{-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right) d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n}
$$

We can use naive fubini here because at every step of the iterated integral we're integrating $\chi_{i,\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{n-1}\right)}(x)$ for some $i$ and $\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{n-1}\right)$, which is continuous except at maybe two points. Now, we can rearrange the integrals:

$$
=\int_{c_{1}}^{d_{1}} \int_{c_{2}}^{d_{2}} \int_{c_{n}}^{d^{n}} \ldots \int_{c_{3}}^{d_{3}} \chi_{A} L^{-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right) d x_{3} \ldots d x_{n} d x_{2} d x_{1}
$$

Now, note that $L^{-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right)$. So, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\int_{c_{1}}^{d_{1}} \int_{c_{2}}^{d_{2}} \int_{c_{n}}^{d^{n}} \ldots \int_{c_{3}}^{d_{3}} \chi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right) d x_{3} \ldots d x_{n} d x_{2} d x_{1}  \tag{1}\\
& =\int_{c_{1}}^{d_{1}} \int_{c_{2}}^{d_{2}}\left(\int_{c_{n}}^{d^{n}} \ldots \int_{c_{3}}^{d_{3}} \chi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right) d x_{3} \ldots d x_{n}\right) d x_{2} d x_{1} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, $\chi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right)$ is always 0 unless $x_{3} \in\left[a_{3}, b_{3}\right], \ldots, x_{n} \in\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$, so our inner integral becomes:

$$
\int_{c_{n}}^{d^{n}} \ldots \int_{c_{3}}^{d_{3}} \chi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right) d x_{3} \ldots d x_{n}=\int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} \ldots \int_{a_{3}}^{b_{3}} \chi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right) d x_{3}, \ldots d x_{n}
$$

Now, the inner function is 1 if $x_{1} \in\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$, and if $x_{2}-x_{1} \in\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]$, and 0 otherwise. If the inner function is equal to 1 , the integral becomes:

$$
\int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} \ldots \int_{a_{3}}^{b_{3}} \chi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right) d x_{3}, \ldots d x_{n}=\int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} \ldots \int_{a_{3}}^{b_{3}} 1 d x_{3}, \ldots d x_{n}=\left(b_{3}-a_{3}\right)\left(b_{4}-a_{4}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)
$$

So, we can define a new function, $X: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
X\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(b_{3}-a_{3}\right)\left(b_{4}-a_{4}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right) & x_{1} \in\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \text { and } x_{2}-x_{\in}\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

and we're left with

$$
\int_{R} \chi_{L(A)}=\int_{c_{1}}^{d_{1}} \int_{c_{2}}^{d_{2}} X\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{2} d x_{1}
$$

But this function is 0 if $x_{2} \notin\left[a_{2}+x_{1}, b_{2}+x_{1}\right]$, and $x_{1} \notin\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$, so the integral is equal to:

$$
\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \int_{a_{2}+x_{1}}^{b_{2}+x_{1}} X\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{2} d x_{1}
$$

And the function $X\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is constant and equal to $\left(b_{3}-a_{3}\right)\left(b_{4}-a_{4}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)$ on those bounds, so we finally get:

$$
\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \int_{a_{2}+x_{1}}^{b_{2}+x_{1}} X\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{2} d x_{1}=\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}}\left(b_{2}+x_{1}-a_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(b_{3}-a_{3}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right) d x_{1}=\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)
$$

Which is equal to $V(A)$, so we're done.
Now, let $R$ be a cube containing $A, L(A)$. Let $P$ be a partition of $R$ into cubes. Then, let $c_{1}, \ldots c_{n} \in P$ be cubes such that $c_{i} \subseteq A$. Let $d_{1}, \ldots d_{m} \in P$ be cubes such that $d_{i} \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Consider $U\left(\chi_{A}, P\right)=\sum_{S \in P} \sup _{S} \chi_{A} V(s)$. Since $\sup _{S} \chi_{A} \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow S \cap A \neq \emptyset$, we have that $U\left(\chi_{A}, P\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} V\left(d_{i}\right)$. Similarly, $L\left(\chi_{A}, P\right)=\sum_{S \in P} \inf _{S} \chi_{A} V(s)$, and a term of this sum is nonzero iff $S \subseteq A$. So, again, the only nonzero terms are the ones corresponding to the $c_{i}$ s, so $L\left(\chi_{A}, P\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} V\left(c_{i}\right)$.

Now, it's also true that

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \subseteq A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} d_{i}
$$

Then, we can apply one of the functions discussed above, and since they're all bijections, we get:

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} L c_{i} \subseteq L(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} L d_{i}
$$

Taking volumes, we get:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} V\left(L c_{i}\right) \leq V(L(A)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} V\left(L d_{i}\right)
$$

Since $c_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ are cubes, and therefore rectangles, we can apply the above lemmas to get:

$$
|\operatorname{det} L| \sum_{i=1}^{n} V\left(c_{i}\right) \leq V(L(A)) \leq|\operatorname{det} A| \sum_{i=1}^{m} V\left(d_{i}\right)
$$

and, using the identities from above:

$$
|\operatorname{det} L| L\left(\chi_{A}, P\right) \leq V(L(A)) \leq|\operatorname{det} A| U\left(\chi_{A}, P\right) V\left(d_{i}\right)
$$

And since the supremum of the left side is the infimum of the right side, due to $A$ 's jordan measurably, we find that $|\operatorname{det} L| \int_{R} \chi_{A}=|\operatorname{det} L| V(A)=V(L(A))$, as desired.
c)

Now, consider some arbitrary linear map, $L$. If $\operatorname{det} L=0$, then it sends $A$ to a lower dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, meaning that $L(A)$ is of measure 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, so $V(L(A))=0$. So, suppose $\operatorname{det} L \neq 0$. Then row reduction takes $L$ to the identity. However, row reduction is equivalent to multiplying $L$ by the three types of matricies given above, in some form. So, some product of the above types of matricies take $L$ to the identity, meaning that this product is the inverse of $L$. Suppose $L^{-1}=A_{1} \ldots A_{n}$. Then, $L=A_{n}^{-1} \ldots A_{1}^{-1}$. All $A_{n}^{-1}$ are of the same class of matrix as $A_{n}$ was. Thus, $L$ is a product of matrices of the above type.

Now, let $n$ be the minimum number of matricies of the above type that multiply together to make $L$. We want to show by induction on $n$ that $V(L(A))=|\operatorname{det} L| V(A)$. For our base case, take $n=1$. Then, $L$ is one of the above types of matricies, and we're done.

Now, suppose for matricies $L$ that are equal to the product of $n$ matricies, $V(L(A))=|\operatorname{det} L| V(A)$. Suppose $L$ is the product of $n+1$ matricies. Then, $L=M N$, where $N$ is the product of $n$ matricies and $M$ is a matrix of the above type. Then, $V(L(A))=V(M N(A))=V(M(N(A)))$.
Let $B=N(A) V(B)=|\operatorname{det} N| V(A)$ by the inductive hypothesis. Then, $V(M(N(A)))=V(M(B))=|\operatorname{det} M| V(B)=$ $|\operatorname{det} M||\operatorname{det} N| V(A)=|\operatorname{det}(M N)| V(A)=|\operatorname{det} L| V(A)$, so we're done.

