## MAT257 Assignment 3 Solution

1. *Proof.* Suppose f is differentiable at  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a linear map  $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

 $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$  By the limit law about products of limits, we have:

$$\lim_{h \to 0} |f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)| = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} \cdot |h| = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} \cdot \lim_{h \to 0} |h| = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$$

Consider |f(a+h) - f(a)|. By the triangle inequality, we have:

$$|f(a+h) - f(a)| = |f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h) + \lambda(h)| \le |f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)| + |\lambda(h)|$$

Since  $\lambda$  is linear,  $\lambda$  is continuous. So in particular,  $\lim_{h \to 0} \lambda(h) = \lambda(0) = 0$ .

Because  $\lim_{h\to 0} |f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)| = 0$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} |f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)| + |\lambda(h)| = \lim_{h \to 0} |f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)| + \lim_{h \to 0} |\lambda(h)| = 0 + 0 = 0$$

Since  $0 \le |f(a+h) - f(a)| \le |f(a+h) - f(a) - \lambda(h)| + |\lambda(h)|$ , by the squeeze theorem  $\lim_{h \to 0} |f(a+h) - f(a)| \le |f(a$ 

must be 0, which implies f is continuous at a.

2. Proof of (a). If x = 0, then  $h(t) = f(t \cdot 0) = f(0) = 0$  is constant so it is certainly differentiable. Suppose  $x \neq 0$ . We first show h is differentiable at 0.  $h(0) = f(0 \cdot x) = f(0) = 0$ . Thus

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h(t) - h(0)}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f(tx) - 0}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{|tx| \cdot g(\frac{tx}{|t||x|})}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{t|x| \cdot g(\frac{tx}{t|x|})}{t} = |x|g(\frac{x}{|x|})$$

Similarly, since g(-x) = -g(x), it follows that

$$\lim_{t \to 0^-} \frac{h(t) - h(0)}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0^-} \frac{f(tx) - 0}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0^-} \frac{|tx| \cdot g(\frac{tx}{|t||x|})}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0^-} \frac{-t|x| \cdot g(\frac{tx}{-t|x|})}{t} = -|x| \cdot g(\frac{x}{-|x|}) = |x|g(\frac{x}{|x|})$$

So h is differentiable at 0 with  $h'(0) = |x|g(\frac{x}{|x|})$ .

Now let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  be nonzero. Suppose t > 0. Then we can choose  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  to be such that |s| is sufficiently small so that t + s > 0. Hence

$$\begin{split} \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{h(t+s) - h(t)}{t} &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{f((t+s)x) - f(tx)}{s} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{|t+s||x| \cdot g(\frac{(t+s)x}{|t+s||x|}) - |t||x| \cdot g(\frac{tx}{|t||x|})}{s} \\ &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{(t+s)|x| \cdot g(\frac{(t+s)x}{(t+s)|x|}) - t|x| \cdot g(\frac{tx}{t|x|})}{s} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{(t+s)|x| \cdot g(\frac{x}{|x|}) - t|x| \cdot g(\frac{x}{|x|})}{s} \\ &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{s|x| \cdot g(\frac{x}{|x|})}{s} = |x|g(\frac{x}{|x|}) \end{split}$$

So h is differentiable at t when t>0 with  $h'(t)=|x|g(\frac{x}{|x|}).$ 

Suppose t < 0. Similarly as above, we can choose  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  to be such that |s| is sufficiently small so that t + s < 0. Apply g(-x) = -g(x) and we have:

$$\begin{split} \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{h(t+s) - h(t)}{t} &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{f((t+s)x) - f(tx)}{s} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{|t+s||x| \cdot g(\frac{(t+s)x}{|t+s||x|}) - |t||x| \cdot g(\frac{tx}{|t||x|})}{s} \\ &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{-(t+s)|x| \cdot g(\frac{(t+s)x}{-(t+s)|x|}) + t|x| \cdot g(\frac{tx}{-t|x|})}{s} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{(t+s)|x| \cdot g(\frac{x}{|x|}) - t|x| \cdot g(\frac{x}{|x|})}{s} \\ &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{s|x| \cdot g(\frac{x}{|x|})}{s} = |x|g(\frac{x}{|x|}) \end{split}$$

Thus h is differentiable at t when t < 0 with  $h'(t) = |x|g(\frac{x}{|x|})$  and this proves h is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof of (b).* If g = 0, then f = 0 so clearly f is differentiable at 0.

Suppose  $g \neq 0$ . Assume f is differentiable at (0,0) and  $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  is its differential at (0,0). Then

$$\lim_{(h,k)\to 0} \frac{|f(h,k) - f(0,0) - \lambda(h,k)|}{|(h,k)|} = \lim_{(h,k)\to 0} \frac{\left| |(h,k)|g(\frac{(h,k)}{|(h,k|)}) - \lambda(h,k) \right|}{|(h,k)|} = 0$$

. In particular, since g(1,0) = 0 and g(-1,0) = -g(1,0) = 0, we have:

$$\lim_{(h,0)\to 0} \frac{\left| |h|g(\frac{(h,0)}{|h|}) - \lambda(h,0) \right|}{|h|} = \lim_{(h,0)\to 0} \frac{\left| |h|g(\pm 1,0) - \lambda(h,0) \right|}{|h|} = \lim_{(h,0)\to 0} \frac{|\lambda(h,0)|}{|h|} = 0 \quad \bigstar$$

. Similarly, since g(0,1) = 0 and g(0,-1) = -g(0,1) = 0, we have:

$$\lim_{(0,k)\to 0} \frac{\left| |k|g(\frac{(0,k)}{|k|}) - \lambda(0,k) \right|}{|k|} = \lim_{(0,k)\to 0} \frac{\left| |k|g(0,\pm 1) - \lambda(0,k) \right|}{|k|} = \lim_{(0,k)\to 0} \frac{|\lambda(0,k)|}{|k|} = 0 \quad \bigstar \bigstar$$

By linearity of  $\lambda$ , it follows that

$$\frac{|\lambda(h,k)|}{|(h,k)|} = \frac{|\lambda(h,0) + \lambda(0,k)|}{|(h,k)|} \le \frac{|\lambda(h,0)| + |\lambda(0,k)|}{|(h,k)|} \le \frac{|\lambda(h,0)|}{|h|} + \frac{|\lambda(0,k)|}{|k|}$$

 $\text{By} \bigstar \text{ and } \bigstar \bigstar, \ \lim_{(h,k)\to 0} \frac{|\lambda(h,0)|}{|h|} + \frac{|\lambda(0,k)|}{|k|} = \lim_{(h,0)\to 0} \frac{|\lambda(h,0)|}{|h|} + \lim_{(0,k)\to 0} \frac{|\lambda(0,k)|}{|k|} = 0 + 0 = 0.$ 

Since  $0 \leq \frac{|\lambda(h,k)|}{|(h,k)|} \leq \frac{|\lambda(h,0)|}{|h|} + \frac{|\lambda(0,k)|}{|k|}$ , by the squeeze theorem  $\lim_{(h,k)\to 0} \frac{|\lambda(h,k)|}{|(h,k)|} = 0$ .

Since  $\lambda$  is linear, from the discussion in the lecture we know  $\lim_{(h,k)\to 0} \frac{|\lambda(h,k)|}{|(h,k)|} = 0$  implies  $\lambda = 0$ .

By assumption  $g \neq 0$ , so there exists  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$  s.t.  $z \neq 0$  and  $g(\frac{z}{|z|}) \neq 0$ . (Note that  $\frac{z}{|z|} \in S^1$ )

Since  $\lambda$  is the differential of f at (0,0), it must be true that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{|f(tz) - f(0,0) - \lambda(tz)|}{|tz|} = 0$ , where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . But on the other hand, since  $\lambda = 0$  and  $g(-\frac{z}{|z|}) = -g(\frac{z}{|z|})$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|f(tz) - f(0,0) - \lambda(tz)|}{|tz|} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\left| |tz|g(\frac{tz}{|tz|}) \right|}{|tz|} = \lim_{t \to 0} \left| g(\pm \frac{z}{|z|}) \right| = \left| g(\frac{z}{|z|}) \right| \neq 0$$

This is a contradiction. Hence f cannot be differentiable at (0,0).

3. Proof. Since  $|f(x)| \le |x|$ , in particular  $|f(0)| \le |0|^2 = 0$ . Thus f(0) = 0. Let  $\lambda$  be the zero linear transformation. Then

$$\frac{|f(h) - f(0) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = \frac{|f(h)|}{|h|} \le \frac{|h|^2}{|h|} = |h|$$

Since  $\lim_{h\to 0} |h| = 0$  and  $\frac{|f(h) - f(0) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} \ge 0$ , by the squeeze theorem we have

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{|f(h) - f(0) - \lambda(h)|}{|h|} = 0$$

Thus f is differentiable at 0 with derivative  $Df(0) = \lambda = 0$ .

4. (a).  $f(x,y,z) = x^y = e^{y \log x}$ , so  $f = \exp \circ p \circ g$ , where  $\exp : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  are defined by  $\exp(a) = e^a, \ p(c,d) = c \cdot d, \ p(x,y,z) = (\log x, y),$  respectively.

By the Chain Rule: 
$$Df(x, y, z) = D(\exp \circ p \circ g)(x, y, z) = D \exp(p \circ g(x, y, z)) \cdot Dp(g(x, y, z)) \cdot Dg(x, y, z).$$
  
 $Dg(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} Dg_1(x, y, z) \\ Dg_2(x, y, z) \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $g_1, g_2$  are the component functions of  $g$  defined by  $g_1(x, y, z) = \log x, g_2(x, y, z) = y.$   
Thus  $Dg_1(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Dg_2(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$   
So  $Dg(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . By Spivak Theorem 2-3:  $Dp(c, d) = \begin{pmatrix} d & c \end{pmatrix}.$   
So  $Dp(g(x, y, z)) = Dp(\log x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} y & \log x \end{pmatrix}.$   
Since  $D \exp(a) = e^a, D \exp(p \circ g(x, y, z)) = D \exp(y \log x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{y \log x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^y \end{pmatrix}$   
Therefore,  $Df(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} x^y \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} y & \log x \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} yx^{y-1} & x^y \log x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

(b). 
$$f(x, y, z) = (f_1(x, y, z), f_2(x, y, z))$$
 where  $f_1, f_2$  are the component functions of  $f$  defined by  
 $f_1(x, y, z) = x^y, f_2(x, y, z) = z$ . So  $Df(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} Df_1(x, y, z) \\ Df_2(x, y, z) \end{pmatrix}$ . Clearly  $Df_2(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .  
By part(a), we know  $Df_2(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} yx^{y-1} & x^y \log x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore,  $Df(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} yx^{y-1} & x^y \log x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

(g).  $f(x, y, z) = (x + y)^z = e^{z \log(x+y)}$ . So  $f = \exp \circ p \circ g$ , where  $\exp : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the exponential function,  $p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by p(c, d) = cd and  $g: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is defined by  $g(x, y, z) = (\log(x+y), z)$ .

By the Chain Rule,  $Df(x, y, z) = D \exp(p \circ g(x, y, z)) \cdot Dp(g(x, y, z)) \cdot Dg(x, y, z)$ .  $Dg(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} Dg_1(x, y, z) \\ Dg_2(x, y, z) \end{pmatrix}$  where  $g_1, g_2$  are the component functions of g defined by  $g_1(x, y, z) = \log(x + y)$  and  $g_2(x, y, z) = z.$  Clearly  $Dg_2(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$ 

-			
	_	_	

On the other hand,  $g_1 = \log \circ s$ , where  $s : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by s(x, y, z) = x + y and  $\log : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Apply the Chain Rule again:  $Dg_1(x, y, z) = D\log(s(x, y, z)) \cdot Ds(x, y, z)$ . Since s is linear,  $D_s(x, y, z)$  is simply the matrix of  $s : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Clearly  $D\log(t) = \frac{1}{t}$ , so  $D\log(s(x, y, z)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x+y} \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus  $Dg_1(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x+y} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x+y} & \frac{1}{x+y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . So  $Dg(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} Dg_1(x, y, z) \\ Dg_2(x, y, z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x+y} & \frac{1}{x+y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . By Spivak Theorem 2-3:  $Dp(c, d) = \begin{pmatrix} d & c \end{pmatrix}$ . So  $Dp(g(x, y, z)) = Dp(\log(x+y), z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & \log(x+y) \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $D\exp(a) = e^a$ ,  $D\exp(p \circ g(x, y, z)) = D\exp(z\log(x+y)) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{z\log(x+y)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (x+y)^z \end{pmatrix}$ 

Therefore,

$$Df(x, y, z) = \left( (x+y)^z \right) \cdot \left( z, \log(x+y) \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{x+y} & \frac{1}{x+y} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$
$$= \left( z(x+y)^{z-1} & z(x+y)^{z-1} & (x+y)^z \log(x+y) \right)$$

5. Proof of (a). Let  $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\{d_1, ..., d_m\}$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $h = (h_1, ..., h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $k = (k_1, ..., k_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be nonzero. Then since f is bilinear, we have:

$$f(h,k) = f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i e_i, \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j d_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i f(e_i, \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j d_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j f(e_i, d_j) = \sum_{i,j} h_i k_j f(e_i, d_j)$$

Hence

$$\frac{|f(h,k)|}{|(h,k)|} = \frac{\left|\sum_{i,j} h_i k_j f(e_i, d_j)\right|}{|(h,k)|} \le \frac{\sum_{i,j} |h_i k_j f(e_i, d_j)|}{|(h,k)|} = \frac{\sum_{i,j} |h_i k_j| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|}{|(h,k)|} \quad \bigstar$$

Let  $M_h = max\{|h_i| : 1 \le i \le n\}$  and  $M_k = max\{|k_j| : 1 \le j \le m\}$ . Since h, k are nonzero, both  $M_h, M_k$  are nonzero. Clearly both  $M_k, M_h$  are less than |(h, k)|. Thus for any  $1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m$  we have

$$\frac{|h_i k_j| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|}{|(h, k)|} = \frac{|h_i| \cdot |k_j| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|}{|(h, k)|} \le \frac{M_h \cdot M_k \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|}{|(h, k)|} \le \frac{M_h \cdot M_k \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|}{M_h} = |M_k| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|$$

Because  $\lim_{k \to 0} M_k = \lim_{k \to 0} \max\{|k_j| : 1 \le j \le m\} = 0$ , it follows that  $\lim_{k \to 0} |M_k| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)| = 0$ .

Since  $0 \leq \frac{|h_i k_j| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|}{|(h, k)|} \leq |M_k| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|$ , by the squeeze theorem  $\lim_{(h,k)\to 0} \frac{|h_i k_j| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|}{|(h, k)|} = 0$ 

This is true for all i, j. Thus  $\lim_{(h,k)\to 0} \frac{\sum_{i,j} |h_i k_j| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|}{|(h,k)|} = 0.$ 

By 
$$\bigstar: 0 \leq \frac{|f(h,k)|}{|(h,k)|} \leq \frac{\sum_{i,j} |h_i k_j| \cdot |f(e_i, d_j)|}{|(h,k)|}$$
. So applying the squeeze theorem yields  $\lim_{(h,k)\to 0} \frac{|f(h,k)|}{|(h,k)|} = 0.$ 

Proof of (b). Fix  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ . Define  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^p$  by  $\varphi(x,y) = f(a,y) + f(x,b)$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . By the biliearity of f, for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, (z,w) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$  we have:

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\alpha \cdot (z,w) + (x,y)) &= \varphi(\alpha z + x, \alpha w + y) = f(a, \alpha w + y) + f(\alpha z + x, b) \\ &= \alpha \cdot f(a,w) + f(a,y) + \alpha \cdot f(z,b) + f(x,b) \\ &= \varphi(x,y) + \alpha \cdot \varphi(z,w) \end{split}$$

Thus  $\varphi$  is a linear map. Consider

$$\frac{|f(a+x,b+y) - f(a,b) - \varphi(x,y)|}{|(x,y)|} = \frac{|f(a,b) + f(a,y) + f(x,b) + f(x,y) - f(a,b) - f(a,y) - f(x,b)|}{|(x,y)|} = \frac{|f(x,y) - f(x,b)|}{|(x,y)|}$$

By part(a),

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to 0} \frac{|f(a+x,b+y) - f(a,b) - \varphi(x,y)|}{|(x,y)|} = \lim_{(x,y)\to 0} \frac{|f(x,y)|}{|(x,y)|} = 0$$

By the definition of differentiability and uniqueness of the derivative,  $\varphi$  is precisely Df(a, b). i.e.,  $Df(a, b)(x, y) = \varphi(x, y) = f(a, y) + f(x, b)$ 

Proof of (c). Let  $p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be the product function defined by p(a, b) = ab. Let  $u, w \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $p(u \cdot w + a, b) = (uw + a)b = uwb + ab = u \cdot p(w, b) + p(a, b)$ . Similarly  $p(a, u \cdot w + b) = a(uw + b) = auw + ab = u \cdot p(a, w) + p(a, b)$ . So p is bilinear. By part(b), Dp(a, b)(x, y) = p(a, y) + p(x, b) = ay + xb. But on the other hand,  $ay + xb = \begin{pmatrix} b & a \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ So by uniqueness of the derivative,  $Dp(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} b & a \end{pmatrix}$ , conforming with Spivak Theorem 2-3.

So this is a special case of part(b).

6. *Proof.* Since 
$$det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc, f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$$
 is defined by  $f(a, b, c, d) = ad - bc$ .

We may also regard f as a function from  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by f((a, b), (c, d)) = ad - bc. Let  $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\beta \cdot (s,t) + (a,b), (c,d)) &= f((\beta s + a, \beta t + b), (c,d)) = (\beta s + a)d - (\beta t + b)c \\ &= (ad - bc) + \beta(sd - tc) = f((a,b), (c,d)) + \beta \cdot f((s,t), (c,d)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$f((a,b),\beta \cdot (s,t) + (c,d)) = f((a,b),(\beta s + c,\beta t + d)) = a(\beta t + d) - b(\beta s + c)$$
$$= (ad - bc) + \beta \cdot (at - bs) = f((a,b),(c,d)) + \beta \cdot f((a,b),(s,t)).$$

Thus f is bilinear. By Q5, f is differentiable and for  $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ ,  $(x, y), (z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , the derivative satisfy:

$$Df((a,b),(c,d))((x,y),(z,w)) = f((a,b),(z,w)) + f((x,y),(c,d)) = aw - bz + xd - yc = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c & -b & a \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

By uniqueness of the derivative,  $Df(a, b, c, d) = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c & -b & a \end{pmatrix}$