

Pensieve header: The main lemma for \mathfrak{g}_1 using \mathfrak{g}_2 .

Representing $\mathfrak{g}^\epsilon = \langle h, e, l, f \rangle / ([e, l] = -e, [f, l] = f, [e, f] = h - 2\epsilon l, [h, *] = 0)$

$$\rho h = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \rho e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\epsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \rho l = \begin{pmatrix} -(1+1/\epsilon)/2 & 0 \\ 0 & (1-1/\epsilon)/2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \rho f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

`MB[x_?MatrixQ, y_?MatrixQ] := x.y - y.x;`

`Simplify@{MB[ρe, ρl] == -ρe, MB[ρf, ρl] == ρf, MB[ρe, ρf] == ρh - 2 ϵ ρl}`

`{True, True, True}`

Some \mathfrak{g}^ϵ lemmas

$$1. \mathcal{O}(e^{Yl+\beta e} \mid l e) = \mathcal{O}(e^{Yl+\epsilon^Y \beta e} \mid e l).$$

$$2. \mathcal{O}(e^{Yl+\beta f} \mid f l) = \mathcal{O}(e^{Yl+\epsilon^Y \beta f} \mid l f).$$

Proofs.

`MatrixForm /@ {MatrixExp[γ ρl].MatrixExp[β ρe], MatrixExp[ε^Y β ρe].MatrixExp[γ ρl]}`

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} & 0 \\ -e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} \beta \epsilon & e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} & 0 \\ -e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} \beta \epsilon & e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

`MatrixForm /@ {MatrixExp[β ρf].MatrixExp[γ ρl], MatrixExp[γ ρl].MatrixExp[ε^Y β ρf]}`

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} & e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} \beta \\ 0 & e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} & e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} \beta \\ 0 & e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Deriving “lemma 3 at $\delta=0$ ”:

`MatrixExp[α ρf].MatrixExp[β ρe] // Simplify // MatrixForm`

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \alpha \beta \epsilon & \alpha \\ -\beta \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

`eqn = MatrixExp[α ρf].MatrixExp[β ρe] == MatrixExp[a ρe].MatrixExp[c (ρh - 2 ϵ ρl)].MatrixExp[b ρf]`

$$\{\{1 - \alpha \beta \epsilon, \alpha\}, \{-\beta \epsilon, 1\}\} == \{\{e^{c\epsilon}, b e^{c\epsilon}\}, \{-a e^{c\epsilon} \epsilon, e^{-c\epsilon} - a b e^{c\epsilon} \epsilon\}\}$$

`sol = Solve[Thread[Flatten/@eqn], {a, b, c}][[1]]`

$$\{a \rightarrow -\frac{\beta}{-1 + \alpha \beta \epsilon}, b \rightarrow -\frac{\alpha}{-1 + \alpha \beta \epsilon}, c \rightarrow \text{ConditionalExpression}\left[\frac{2 i \pi C[1] + \text{Log}[1 - \alpha \beta \epsilon]}{\epsilon}, C[1] \in \text{Integers}\right]\}$$

`sol = sol /. C[1] → 0`

$$\{a \rightarrow -\frac{\beta}{-1 + \alpha \beta \epsilon}, b \rightarrow -\frac{\alpha}{-1 + \alpha \beta \epsilon}, c \rightarrow \frac{\text{Log}[1 - \alpha \beta \epsilon]}{\epsilon}\}$$

And so,

$$3. \mathcal{O}(e^{af+\beta e} \mid f e) = \mathcal{O}(e^{ch+ae-2\epsilon cl+bf} \mid e/f), \text{ with } \left\{ a \rightarrow -\frac{\beta}{-1+\alpha\beta\epsilon}, b \rightarrow -\frac{\alpha}{-1+\alpha\beta\epsilon}, c \rightarrow \frac{\text{Log}[1-\alpha\beta\epsilon]}{\epsilon} \right\}.$$

At $\epsilon=0$ get

`Limit[{a, b, c} /. sol, ε → 0]`

`{β, α, -αβ}`

The full \mathfrak{g}_1 lemma

At $\epsilon^2=0$ get

```
Series[{a, b, c} /. sol, {e, 0, 1}] // Normal
```

$$\{\beta + \alpha \beta^2 \epsilon, \alpha + \alpha^2 \beta \epsilon, -\alpha \beta - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \beta^2 \epsilon\}$$

```
Collect[Series[e^{ch+ae-2\epsilon c1+bf} /. sol, {e, 0, 1}] // Normal, e-, Expand]
```

$$e^{f\alpha + e\beta - h\alpha\beta} \left(1 + 2\epsilon \alpha \beta \epsilon + f \alpha^2 \beta \epsilon + e \alpha \beta^2 \epsilon - \frac{1}{2} h \alpha^2 \beta^2 \epsilon\right)$$

Which means $\mathcal{O}(e^{\alpha f + \beta e} | f e) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\alpha \beta h + \beta e + \alpha f} (1 + \epsilon(2\epsilon \alpha \beta + f \alpha^2 \beta + e \alpha \beta^2 - \frac{1}{2} h \alpha^2 \beta^2)) | e | f)$.

And so,

3. $\mathcal{O}(e^{\alpha f + \beta e + \delta e f} | f e) = \mathcal{O}(v(1 + \epsilon \Lambda) e^{v(-h \alpha \beta + \alpha f + \beta e + \delta e f)} | e | f)$, with $v = (1 + h \delta)^{-1}$ and Λ , the “logos”, as below.

```
 $\Lambda = \text{With}[\{q = e^{v(f\alpha + e\beta - h\alpha\beta + e f \delta)}\}, \text{Simplify}[q^{-1} \left(2\epsilon \partial_{f,e} q + f \partial_{f,f} q + e \partial_{f,e} q - \frac{1}{2} h \partial_{f,f,e} q\right)]]]$ 
```

$$-\frac{1}{2} v \left(-4\epsilon \left(\delta + \alpha \beta v + f \alpha \delta v + e \beta \delta v + e f \delta^2 v \right) + v \left(e^2 \beta^2 \delta v (-2 + h \delta v) + 2 e \beta (2 \delta + \alpha \beta v) (-1 + h \delta v) + h (2 \delta^2 + 4 \alpha \beta \delta v + \alpha^2 \beta^2 v^2) + f^2 \delta (\alpha + e \delta) v (e \delta (-4 + h \delta v) + \alpha (-2 + h \delta v)) + 2 f (\alpha^2 \beta v (-1 + h \delta v) + e \delta^2 (-4 + 2 h \delta v + e \beta v (-3 + h \delta v)) + 2 \alpha \delta (-1 + h \delta v + e \beta v (-2 + h \delta v))) \right) \right)$$

(The below is private; don't look)

```
oldLambda = Simplify[
```

$$\frac{1}{2 \mu^4} \left(-b \alpha^2 \beta^2 + u^2 \beta^2 \delta (2 + b \delta) + w^2 \delta (\alpha + u \delta) (\alpha (2 + b \delta) + u \delta (4 + 3 b \delta)) - 4 b \alpha \beta \delta \mu + 4 c \alpha \beta \mu^2 - 2 b \delta^2 \mu^2 + 4 c \delta \mu^3 + 2 u \beta (\alpha \beta + 2 \delta \mu (1 + c \mu)) + 2 w (\alpha^2 \beta + 2 \alpha \delta (u \beta (2 + b \delta) + \mu (1 + c \mu)) + u \delta^2 (u \beta (3 + 2 b \delta) + 2 \mu (2 + b \delta + c \mu))) \right) /. \{\mu \rightarrow v^{-1}, b \rightarrow h, c \rightarrow 1, u \rightarrow e, w \rightarrow f\}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} v \left(4\epsilon \left(\delta + \alpha \beta v + f \alpha \delta v + e \beta \delta v + e f \delta^2 v \right) + v \left(2 (e \beta + f (\alpha + 2 e \delta)) v (\alpha \beta v + e f \delta^2 v + \delta (2 + f \alpha v + e \beta v)) + h (-4 \alpha \beta \delta v - \alpha^2 \beta^2 v^2 + 3 e^2 f^2 \delta^4 v^2 + 4 e f \delta^3 v (1 + f \alpha v + e \beta v) + \delta^2 (-2 + f^2 \alpha^2 v^2 + 4 e f \alpha \beta v^2 + e^2 \beta^2 v^2)) \right) \right)$$

```
Simplify[Lambda == oldLambda /. v -> (1 + h delta)^{-1}]
```

```
True
```

Implementing g₁

```
PBWRule = {e -> 1, l -> 2, f -> 3};
B[U@e, U@1] = -U@e; B[U@f, U@1] = U@f; B[U@e, U@f] = h U[] - 2 \epsilon U[1];
\epsilon /: \epsilon^2 = 0;
```

```
$TD = 3; h /: h^d. /; d > $TD := 0;
```

```
x_ <= y_ := OrderedQ[{x, y} /. PBWRule]; x_ < y_ := ! OrderedQ[{y, x} /. PBWRule];
Simp[\mathcal{E}_] := Collect[\mathcal{E}, _U, Expand];
```

```
U_i[\mathcal{E}_] := \mathcal{E} /. {h -> h_i, t -> t_i, u_U -> Replace[u, x_ -> x_i, 1]};
B[U[(x_)_i], U[(y_)_i]] := B[U[x_i], U[y_i]] = U_i[B[U@x, U@y]];
B[U[(x_)_i], U[(y_)_j]] /; i != j := 0;
B[x_, x_] = 0;
B[U[y_], U[x_]] := B[U[y], U[x]] = Simp[-B[U[x], U[y]]];
B[x_, y_] := x**y - y**x;
```

```

Unprotect[NonCommutativeMultiply];
NonCommutativeMultiply[x_] := x;
0 ** _ = _ ** 0 = 0;
x_ ** U[] := x; U[] ** x_ := x;
(a_ * x_U) ** (b_ * y_U) := If[ab === 0, 0, Simp[ab (x ** y)]];
(a_ * x_U) ** y_ := Simp[a (x ** y)]; x_ ** (a_ * y_U) := Simp[a (x ** y)];
(x_Plus) ** y_ := (# ** y) & /@ x; x_ ** (y_Plus) := (x ** #) & /@ y;

```

```

U[xx___, x_] ** U[y_, yy___] := If[x ≤ y, U[xx, x, y, yy], U@xx ** (U@y ** U@x + B[U@x, U@y]) ** U@yy];

```

```

UU[L___, x^n_, r___] := UU[L, Sequence@@Table[x, {n}], r];
UU[L___, 1, r___] := UU[L, r];
UU[] = U[];
UU[L_, r___] := U[L] ** UU[r];

```

```

UProducts[{}, 0] = {UU[]};
UProducts[{}, n_Integer] /; n > 0 = {};
UProducts[{x_, xs___}, n_Integer] :=
  Sort@Flatten@Table[UU[x^k] ** u, {k, 0, n}, {u, UProducts[{xs}, n - k]}];
UProducts[xs_List, k_Integer, n_Integer] := UProducts[Flatten@Table[xj, {x, xs}, {j, k}], n];
UProducts[any___, {n_}] := Flatten@Table[UProducts[any, k], {k, 0, n}];

```

```

m[i_, j_, k_] [ε_] := Simp[ε /. {
  u_U => UU@@Join[DeleteCases[u, x_{-i|j}], U@@Cases[u, x_{-i} => x_k], U@@Cases[u, x_{-j} => x_k]],
  h_{i|j} → h_k}];

```

```

O[poly_, specs___] := Module[{vs, us, z},
  vs = Join@@(First /@ {specs});
  us = Join@@({specs} /. (l_ → s_) => (l /. x_{-i} => x_s));
  Simp@Total[CoefficientRules[Normal@Series[poly, {ħ, 0, $TD}], vs] /. (p_ → c_) => c UU@@(us^p)]
]

```

Debt. How does this work?

Verifying the full g_1 lemma

3. $O(e^{\alpha f + \beta e + \delta e f} | f e) = O(v(1 + \epsilon \wedge) e^{v(-h\alpha\beta + \alpha f + \beta e + \delta e f)} | e | f)$, with $v = (1 + h\delta)^{-1}$ and \wedge , the “logos”, as above.

$\$TD = 2$; $O[e^{\hbar(\alpha f_1 + \beta e_1 + \delta e_1 f_1)}, \{f_1, e_1\} \rightarrow 1]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \delta \hbar h_1 - \alpha \beta \hbar^2 h_1 - \delta^2 \epsilon \hbar^2 h_1 + \delta^2 \hbar^2 h_1^2) U[] + (\beta \hbar + 2 \beta \delta \epsilon \hbar^2 - 2 \beta \delta \hbar^2 h_1) U[e_1] + \\
& (\alpha \hbar + 2 \alpha \delta \epsilon \hbar^2 - 2 \alpha \delta \hbar^2 h_1) U[f_1] + (2 \delta \epsilon \hbar + 2 \alpha \beta \epsilon \hbar^2 - 4 \delta^2 \epsilon \hbar^2 h_1) U[l_1] + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \hbar^2 U[e_1, e_1] + \\
& (\delta \hbar + \alpha \beta \hbar^2 + 4 \delta^2 \epsilon \hbar^2 - 2 \delta^2 \hbar^2 h_1) U[e_1, f_1] + 4 \beta \delta \epsilon \hbar^2 U[e_1, l_1] + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \hbar^2 U[f_1, f_1] + 4 \alpha \delta \epsilon \hbar^2 U[l_1, f_1] + \\
& \beta \delta \hbar^2 U[e_1, e_1, f_1] + \alpha \delta \hbar^2 U[e_1, f_1, f_1] + 4 \delta^2 \epsilon \hbar^2 U[e_1, l_1, f_1] + \frac{1}{2} \delta^2 \hbar^2 U[e_1, e_1, f_1, f_1]
\end{aligned}$$

$\Lambda 1 = \Lambda /. \{\alpha \rightarrow \hbar \alpha, h \rightarrow \hbar h_1, e \rightarrow \hbar e_1, l \rightarrow l_1, f \rightarrow f_1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} v \left(v \left(\hbar \left(2 \delta^2 + 4 \alpha \beta \delta v \hbar + \alpha^2 \beta^2 v^2 \hbar^2 \right) h_1 + \beta^2 \delta v \hbar^2 e_1^2 \left(-2 + \delta v \hbar h_1 \right) + \right. \right. \\
& \quad 2 \beta \hbar \left(2 \delta + \alpha \beta v \hbar \right) e_1 \left(-1 + \delta v \hbar h_1 \right) + \delta v \left(\alpha \hbar + \delta \hbar e_1 \right) f_1^2 \left(\delta \hbar e_1 \left(-4 + \delta v \hbar h_1 \right) + \alpha \hbar \left(-2 + \delta v \hbar h_1 \right) \right) + \\
& \quad 2 f_1 \left(\alpha^2 \beta v \hbar^2 \left(-1 + \delta v \hbar h_1 \right) + \delta^2 \hbar e_1 \left(-4 + 2 \delta v \hbar h_1 + \beta v \hbar e_1 \left(-3 + \delta v \hbar h_1 \right) \right) + \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. 2 \alpha \delta \hbar \left(-1 + \delta v \hbar h_1 + \beta v \hbar e_1 \left(-2 + \delta v \hbar h_1 \right) \right) \right) \right) - 4 \left(\delta + \alpha \beta v \hbar + \beta \delta v \hbar e_1 + \alpha \delta v \hbar f_1 + \delta^2 v \hbar e_1 f_1 \right) l_1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

```

$TD = 7; Simp[
  0 [e^{\hbar (\alpha f_1 + \beta e_1 + \delta e_1 f_1)}, \{f_1, e_1\} \to 1] - 0 [\nu (1 + \epsilon \hbar \Lambda 1) e^{\hbar \nu (-\alpha \beta \hbar h_1 + \alpha f_1 + \beta e_1 + \delta e_1 f_1)} /. \nu \to (1 + \hbar h_1 \delta)^{-1}, \{e_1, l_1, f_1\} \to 1]
]
0

```