

15-344 Combinatorics on Sep 24 - hour 6: Planarity

Thursday, September 17, 2015 7:59 PM

HW1 is on web,
Read Along: sect 1.4.

Def Path in G : (x_0, \dots, x_n) s.t.
 $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n (x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E$.

Length: $= n =$ "number of hops".

Cycle: Path s.t. $x_0 = x_n$.

Thm The complete graph K_5 and the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$ are not

on board.

The utilities problem.

Proof that $K_{3,3}$ is not planar using "circle chord".

If G is placed in the plane, "Face"/"region"

Euler's Thm $V - E + F = 2$ for a connected plane graph.

By induction on $V + E$: *not needed?* but "no isolated" is needed.
If G has a bivalent or a univalent vertex, easy. Otherwise it has a cycle; remove one edge from it. *done line* \square

Corollary If G is a connected planar graph, $E \leq 3V - 6$

Proof $3F \leq 2E$ so $3F + P = 2E$ $P \geq 0$

$$V - E + F = 2 \Rightarrow V - E + \frac{2E - P}{3} = 2$$

$$\text{so } V - \frac{1}{3}E = 2 + \frac{P}{3}$$

$$\text{so } 3V - E = 6 + P \text{ or } 3V - E \geq 6 \quad \square$$

Now if K_5 was planar

$$3 \cdot 5 - 10 \geq 6 \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$$

better to introduce $\chi(G) = V - E + F$ so it can be used throughout the proof.

must say "after removal"

Kuratowski's \mathcal{K}_m

graph remains connected
also in the case of
a cycle.