## Fuchs' Theorem

Following Taylor's Introduction to Differential Equations.
Theorem 1. Suppose the series $v(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{k} x^{k}$ solves the $n$-dimensional system $v^{\prime}(x)=A(x) v(x)+g(x)$, where $A(x)$ and $g(x)$ are given by power series $A(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} x^{k}$ and $g(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k} x^{k}$ that converge at radius $R$ for some $R>0$. Then the series $v(x)$ converges for any $x$ with $|x|<R$.

Proof. Below $\|M\|$ where $M$ is a matrix or a vector means "the largest absolute value of an entry of $M$ ".
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The convergence of the series for $A$ and for $g$ implies that there are constants $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ such that

$$
\left\|A_{k}\right\|<\alpha R^{-k} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|g_{k}\right\|<\gamma R^{-k}
$$

We wish to show that whenever $r<R$, there is a constant $\eta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{j}\right\|<\eta r^{-j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This we shall do by the method of "induction with an undetermined hypothesis". Namely, we assume that for some $k$ Equation (1) holds for all $j \leq k$, without specifying $\eta$. We then prove that (1) is true for $j=k+1$ and see what conditions this may put on $\eta$. We keep track of these conditions, and at the end of the proof we verify that we could have satisfied them at the start of the proof.

The equation $v^{\prime}=g+A v$ implies that $(k+1) v_{k+1}=g_{k}+\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{k-j} v_{j}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k+1)\left\|v_{k+1}\right\| \leq\left\|g_{k}\right\|+\sum_{j=0}^{k} & \left\|A_{k-j} v_{j}\right\| \leq\left\|g_{k}\right\|+n \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left\|A_{k-j}\right\| \cdot\left\|v_{j}\right\| \\
& <\gamma R^{-k}+n \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha R^{j-k} \cdot \eta r^{-j}=\gamma R^{-k}+n \alpha \eta r^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{k-j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last sum is a geometric sum with ratio smaller than 1 . Hence its value is bounded by some fixed constant $\beta$. Hence

$$
(k+1)\left\|v_{k+1}\right\|<\gamma R^{-k}+\alpha \eta n \beta r^{-k}<r^{-k}(\gamma+\alpha \eta n \beta),
$$

and thus, assuming $\eta \geq \gamma$,

$$
\left\|v_{k+1}\right\|<r^{-(k+1)} \frac{r(\gamma+\alpha \eta n \beta)}{k+1} \leq \eta r^{-(k+1)} \frac{r(1+\alpha n \beta)}{k+1} .
$$

Now for large enough $k$, say for $k>K$, the ugly fraction in the last formula will be smaller than 1, and we will have proven Equation (1) for $j=k+1$. We still need to make sure that Equation 1 holds for $j \leq K$. But this places only finitely many conditions on $\eta$, so we just need to pick $\eta$ so that

$$
\eta>\max \left(\gamma, r^{j}\left\|v_{j}\right\|\right)_{j \leq K}
$$
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