

Following Selick's notes, page 92-

Theorem 1 Over a PID, a submodule of a free module is free.

(Nice observation:  $R$  is a PID says more or less the same as "every submodule of  $R$  is free".)

Example:  $\langle 2, x \rangle$  as a module over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not free, yet it is a submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Theorem 2 Over a PID, a finitely generated torsion-free module is free.

Selick's proof seems a bit wrong:

**Theorem 2.10.2.** Over a PID, a finitely generated torsion-free module is free.

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a PID and let  $M$  be a finitely generated torsion-free  $R$ -module. Let  $R \hookrightarrow K$  be the inclusion of  $R$  into its field of fractions, and let

Need "field of fractions", "tensor products".

$$\tilde{M} := K \otimes_R M$$

be the extension of  $M$  to a  $K$ -vector space.

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in M$  be a generating set for  $M$ . The images of  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  generate  $\tilde{M}$ , so  $\exists$  a subset  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  whose images in  $\tilde{M}$  form a basis for  $\tilde{M}$ . Each  $x_j$  can be written in  $\tilde{M}$  as a  $K$ -linear combination of  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , so clearing denominators gives that  $b_j x_j$  is an  $R$ -linear combination of  $y_1, \dots, y_n \forall j$ .

Set  $b = b_1 \cdots b_m$ , so that  $b x_j$  is an  $R$ -linear combination of  $y_1, \dots, y_n \forall j$ .

$\therefore b z$  is an  $R$ -linear combination of  $y_1, \dots, y_n \forall z \in M$ , since  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  span  $M$ . Since  $M$  is torsion-free,

$$\begin{aligned} b : M &\rightarrow M \\ z &\mapsto bz \end{aligned}$$

is injective. Hence,

$$M \cong M / \ker \phi \cong \text{Im } b = bM$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{j=1}^n y_j &\xrightarrow{\phi} bM \\ y_j &\mapsto y_j \end{aligned}$$

we don't know that  $y_j \in bM$ !  
 we could artificially "inflate"  $b$  by 500 ( $R=\mathbb{Z}$ ), and then certainly  $y_j \notin bM$

is an isomorphism (onto since  $bz$  is a linear combination of  $y_1, \dots, y_n \forall z \in M$ , (1-1) since  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  are linearly independent in  $\tilde{M}$ ).

$\therefore M \cong bM \cong$  a free  $R$ -module. □

The correct completion of the proof is to map  $bM \rightarrow R^n$  by mapping  $bz \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $a_i = \dots$

$bM \hookrightarrow R^n$  by mapping  $bz \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z \\ \vdots \\ z \end{pmatrix}$ , where the  $a_i$ 's are the unique coefficients in  $R$  for which  $bz = \sum a_i y_i$ . This map is injective, hence  $bM$  is a submodule of a free module, hence  $bM$  is free, hence  $M$  is free.  $\square$

Corollary 3 If  $M$  is a finitely generated module over a PID then  $M \cong \text{Tor}(M) \oplus R^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .   
 } need to know that  $n$  is uniquely determined.

Proof  $M \rightarrow M/\text{Tor}(M)$  splits as  $M/\text{Tor}(M)$  is torsion free and hence free, so  $M \cong \text{Tor}(M) \oplus M/\text{Tor}(M)$ .  $\square$

Standing Assumption  $M$  is a torsion module over a PID  $R$ .

Lemma 5 Let  $\text{Ann}(M) = \langle a \rangle$  and assume  $b \in R$  has  $(a, b) = 1$ . Then multiplication by  $b$ ,  $M_b: M \rightarrow M$ , is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Lemma 6.  $M \cong M_{p_1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{p_k}$ , where  $u = p_1^{t_1} \dots p_k^{t_k}$  is the decomposition of  $u$ , the annihilator of  $M$  ( $\text{Ann}(M) = \langle u \rangle$ ) into primes and where  $M_{p_i} := \{x \in M : p_i^{s_i} x = 0 \text{ for some } s_i\}$ .

The Main Theorem If  $M$  is a finitely generated module over a PID  $R$ , then

$$M \cong R^k \oplus \bigoplus R/(p_i^{s_i}) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}, s_i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } p_i \text{ prime in } R.$$

Furthermore, up to a permutation this decomposition

Furthermore, up to a permutation this decomposition is unique.