

Following Bakalov-Kirillov

<http://www.math.sunysb.edu/~kirillov/tensor/tensor.html>

3.2. Example: Quantum double of a finite group

We will give the simplest example of a modular tensor category—the category of finite dimensional representations of the Hopf algebra $D(G)$, which is the quantum double of the group algebra $k[G]$ of a finite group G . It is interesting that this example appeared in two seemingly unrelated areas—the theory of characters of reductive groups over finite fields [L5, L6] and the orbifold constructions in Conformal Field Theory [DVVV, KT].

Let us first fix the notation. Let G be a finite group. Recall that its group algebra $k[G]$ over a field k is a Hopf algebra with a k -basis $\{x\}_{x \in G}$ and

multiplication	$x \otimes y \mapsto xy, \quad x, y \in G,$
unit	e (the unit element of G),
comultiplication	$\Delta(x) = x \otimes x, \quad x \in G,$
counit	$\varepsilon(x) = 1,$
antipode	$\gamma(x) = x^{-1}.$

This Hopf algebra is cocommutative. A representation of $k[G]$ is the same as a representation of G . By Maschke's theorem, the category $\text{Rep}_f k[G]$ of finite dimensional representations is semisimple. ?

The Hopf algebra dual to $k[G]$ is isomorphic to the function algebra $F(G)$ of the group G . It has a k -basis $\{\delta_g\}_{g \in G}$ consisting of delta functions:

$$\delta_g(x) = \delta_{g,x} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } g = x, \\ 0 & \text{for } g \neq x. \end{cases}$$

It has

multiplication	$\delta_g \delta_h = \delta_{g,h} \delta_g, \quad g, h \in G,$
unit	$1 = \sum_{g \in G} \delta_g,$
comultiplication	$\Delta(\delta_g) = \sum_{g_1 g_2 = g} \delta_{g_1} \otimes \delta_{g_2}, \quad g \in G,$
counit	$\varepsilon(\delta_g) = \delta_{g,e},$
antipode	$\gamma(\delta_g) = \delta_{g^{-1}}.$

Is this related to $S(g^*)$?

A representation of $F(G)$ is the same as a G -graded vector space (since $\{\delta_g\}_{g \in G}$ are projectors).

Applying Drinfeld's quantum double construction [Dr3] it is easy to describe explicitly the quantum double $D(G)$ of $k[G]$. As a vector space, $D(G) = F(G) \otimes_k$

$k[G]$. It is a Hopf algebra with

multiplication	$(\delta_g \otimes x)(\delta_h \otimes y) = \delta_{g,xh}(\delta_g \otimes xy), \quad x, y, g, h \in G,$
unit	$1 = \sum_{g \in G} \delta_g \otimes e,$
comultiplication	$\Delta(\delta_g \otimes x) = \sum_{g_1 g_2 = g} (\delta_{g_1} \otimes x) \otimes (\delta_{g_2} \otimes x), \quad g, x \in G,$
counit	$\varepsilon(\delta_g \otimes x) = \delta_{g,e},$
antipode	$\gamma(\delta_g \otimes x) = \delta_{x^{-1}g^{-1}x} \otimes x^{-1}.$

HW: How is this related to $\text{I}g = g^* \times g$?

Q. Is there a generalization of this, corresponding to other reps R replacing g^* ?

The Hopf algebra $D(G)$ is quasitriangular with

$$R\text{-matrix} \quad R = \sum_{g \in G} (\delta_g \otimes e) \otimes (1 \otimes g).$$

(Of course, once we know the above formulas, they can be easily checked directly.)

Note that $F(G)$ and $k[G]$ embed in $D(G)$ as k -algebras and $D(G)$ is their semidirect product:

$$(3.2.1) \quad D(G) = F(G) \rtimes k[G],$$

with

$$(3.2.2) \quad x \delta_g x^{-1} = \delta_{xgx^{-1}} \quad \text{for } g, x \in G.$$

Q. Is there an action of $D(G)$ on $F(G)$, "tangent" like the action of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\text{Fun}(Y)$?

Let $\text{Rep}_f D(G)$ be the category of finite dimensional representations of $D(G)$ as a k -algebra. By the above remarks, a representation V of $D(G)$ is the same as a G -module with a G -grading $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_g$ satisfying $xV_g \subset V_{xgx^{-1}}, x, g \in G$. In other words, objects of $\text{Rep}_f D(G)$ are finite dimensional G -equivariant vector bundles over G . We will show that the category $\text{Rep}_f D(G)$ is semisimple and will

$k[G]$. It is a Hopf algebra with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{multiplication} & (\delta_g \otimes x)(\delta_h \otimes y) = \delta_{gx, xh}(\delta_g \otimes xy), & x, y, g, h \in G, \\ \text{unit} & 1 = \sum_{g \in G} \delta_g \otimes e, \\ \text{comultiplication} & \Delta(\delta_g \otimes x) = \sum_{g_1 g_2 = g} (\delta_{g_1} \otimes x) \otimes (\delta_{g_2} \otimes x), & g, x \in G, \\ \text{counit} & \varepsilon(\delta_g \otimes x) = \delta_{g, e}, \\ \text{antipode} & \gamma(\delta_g \otimes x) = \delta_{x^{-1}g^{-1}x} \otimes x^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hopf algebra $D(G)$ is quasitriangular with

$$R\text{-matrix} \quad R = \sum_{g \in G} (\delta_g \otimes e) \otimes (1 \otimes g).$$

(Of course, once we know the above formulas, they can be easily checked directly.)

Note that $F(G)$ and $k[G]$ embed in $D(G)$ as k -algebras and $D(G)$ is their semidirect product:

$$(3.2.1) \quad D(G) = F(G) \rtimes k[G],$$

with

$$(3.2.2) \quad x\delta_g x^{-1} = \delta_{xgx^{-1}} \quad \text{for } g, x \in G.$$

Let $\text{Rep}_f D(G)$ be the category of finite dimensional representations of $D(G)$ as a k -algebra. By the above remarks, a representation V of $D(G)$ is the same as a G -module with a G -grading $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_g$ satisfying $xV_g \subset V_{xgx^{-1}}$, $x, g \in G$. In other words, objects of $\text{Rep}_f D(G)$ are finite dimensional G -equivariant vector bundles over G . We will show that the category $\text{Rep}_f D(G)$ is semisimple and will describe its simple objects.

For $V \in \text{Ob } \text{Rep}_f D(G)$ and $v \in V$ the submodule generated by v is

$$D(G)v = \sum_{g \in G} k[G]\delta_g v = \sum_{g \in G} \bigoplus_{xgx^{-1} \in \bar{g}} xZ(g)\delta_g v,$$

where \bar{g} denotes the conjugacy class and $Z(g)$ the centralizer of g in G . Note that $k[Z(g)]\delta_g v$ is an irreducible representation π of $Z(g)$. Hence

$$(3.2.3) \quad V_{\bar{g}, \pi} := k[G]\delta_g v = \bigoplus_{xgx^{-1} \in \bar{g}} x\pi,$$

is an irreducible $D(G)$ -module which depends only on the conjugacy class \bar{g} and the isomorphism class of the irreducible representation π of $Z(g)$. The action of $D(G)$ on $V_{\bar{g}, \pi}$ is given explicitly by:

$$(3.2.4) \quad (\delta_f \otimes h)(xv) = \delta_{f, hxgh^{-1}x^{-1}} h x v \quad \text{for } f, h, x \in G, v \in \pi.$$

This shows that the category $\text{Rep}_f D(G)$ is semisimple with simple objects $V_{\bar{g}, \pi}$ labeled by pairs (\bar{g}, π) , where $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$ is a conjugacy class in G and $\pi \in \widehat{Z(g)}$ is an isomorphism class of irreducible representation of the centralizer $Z(g)$ of some element $g \in \bar{g}$ (π is independent of the choice of g).

HW: How is this related

to $\text{I}g = yg^* \rtimes g$?

Q. Is there a generalization of this, corresponding to other reps R replacing g^* ?

Q. Is there an action of $D(G)$ on $F(G)$, "tangent" like the action of $U(g)$ on $\text{Fun}(g)$?

In what follows we will use the orthogonality relations of irreducible characters of a finite group G :

$$(3.2.5) \quad \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} \text{tr}_{\pi^*}(h) \text{tr}_{\pi'}(hg) = \frac{\text{tr}_{\pi}(g)}{\text{tr}_{\pi}(e)} \delta_{\pi, \pi'}, \quad \pi, \pi' \in \widehat{G}, g \in G,$$

$$(3.2.6) \quad \frac{1}{|Z(g)|} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \text{tr}_{\pi^*}(g) \text{tr}_{\pi}(h) = \delta_{\overline{g}, \overline{h}}, \quad h, g \in G.$$

Also recall that $|\overline{g}||Z(g)| = |G|$.

THEOREM 3.2.1. *Rep $_F D(G)$ is a modular tensor category with simple objects $V_{\overline{g}, \pi}^*$ labeled by (\overline{g}, π) , $\overline{g} \in \overline{G}$, $\pi \in \widehat{Z(g)}$ ($g \in \overline{g}$). We have:*

$$(3.2.7) \quad V_{\overline{g}, \pi}^* \simeq V_{\overline{g^{-1}}, \pi^*},$$

$$(3.2.8) \quad t_{(\overline{g}, \pi), (\overline{g'}, \pi')} = \delta_{(\overline{g}, \pi), (\overline{g'}, \pi')} \frac{\text{tr}_{\pi}(g)}{\text{tr}_{\pi}(e)},$$

$$(3.2.9) \quad s_{(\overline{g}, \pi), (\overline{g'}, \pi')} = \frac{1}{|Z(g)||Z(g')|} \sum_{\substack{h \in G \\ hg'h^{-1} \in Z(g)}} \text{tr}_{\pi}(hg'^{-1}h^{-1}) \text{tr}_{\pi'}(h^{-1}g^{-1}h).$$

The numbers p^{\pm} from (3.1.7) are equal to the order of G .

The s -matrix (3.2.9) was first introduced by Lusztig [L5] (see also [L6, L7]) under the names “non-abelian Fourier transform” and “exotic Fourier transform”. Then it appeared in [DVVV] and [KT] in connection with “orbifolds”. Dijkgraaf, Pasquier and Roche [DPR] considered a generalization of the above construction which is also related to orbifolds. They introduced a quasi-Hopf algebra $D^c(G)$, depending on a cohomology class $c \in H^3(G, U(1))$, which reduces to $D(G)$ when $c = 1$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2.1. Eq. (3.2.7) follows easily from the definitions (note that $Z(g^{-1}) = Z(g)$ and $\text{tr}_{\pi^*}(h) = \text{tr}_{\pi}(h^{-1})$).

To prove (3.2.8), we compute the twists θ using the results of Proposition 2.2.4 and Lemma 2.2.5. Since $\gamma^2 = \text{id}$, it follows that $\delta_V = \text{id}$, cf. (2.2.11). Hence,

$$(3.2.10) \quad \theta = u^{-1} = \sum_{h \in G} \delta_h \otimes h.$$

As g is central in $Z(g)$, it acts as a constant $= \text{tr}_{\pi}(g) / \text{tr}_{\pi}(e)$ on the representation π ; hence by (3.2.4), $\theta_{\overline{g}, \pi} = \text{tr}_{\pi}(g) / \text{tr}_{\pi}(e)$.

To prove (3.2.9), we will use (3.1.2). We compute for $x, x' \in G$, $v \in \pi^*$, $v' \in \pi'$:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{V_{\overline{g}, \pi}^* \otimes V_{\overline{g'}, \pi'}^*}(xv \otimes x'v') &= \Delta(u^{-1})(xv \otimes x'v') \\ &= \sum_{\substack{h \in G \\ h_1 h_2 = h}} (\delta_{h_1} \otimes h)(xv) \otimes (\delta_{h_2} \otimes h)(x'v') \\ &= \sum_{\substack{h \in G \\ h_1 h_2 = h}} \delta_{h_1, hxg^{-1}x^{-1}h^{-1}} hxv \otimes \delta_{h_2, hx'g'^{-1}x'^{-1}h^{-1}} hx'v' \\ &= (fxv \otimes fx'v'), \quad \text{where } f = xg^{-1}x^{-1}x'g'^{-1}x'^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \theta_{V_{\overline{g}, \pi}^* \otimes V_{\overline{g'}, \pi'}^*} &= \sum_{\substack{xg^{-1}x^{-1} \in \overline{g^{-1}} \\ x'g'^{-1}x'^{-1} \in \overline{g'} \\ x^{-1}x'g'^{-1}x'^{-1}x \in Z(g^{-1})}} \text{tr}_{\pi^*}(g^{-1}x^{-1}x'g'^{-1}x) \text{tr}_{\pi'}(x'^{-1}xg^{-1}x^{-1}x'g') \\ &= \frac{\text{tr}_{\pi^*}(g^{-1}) \text{tr}_{\pi'}(g')}{\text{tr}_{\pi^*}(e) \text{tr}_{\pi'}(e)} \frac{1}{|Z(g)||Z(g')|} \sum_{\substack{h \in G \\ hg'h^{-1} \in Z(g)}} \text{tr}_{\pi^*}(hg'h^{-1}) \text{tr}_{\pi'}(h^{-1}g^{-1}h), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.2.9).

The computation of p^{\pm} is straightforward (using (3.2.5, 3.2.6)), and is left to the reader. \square

Preliminary moral. $D(G)$ is the ring of operators on $\text{Fun}(G)$ generated by multiplication operators and by conjugations.

\circ what is $D(G)$ a module? $D(G)$

Q. Why is $D(G)$ a co-algebra? Perhaps $D(G)$ should be interpreted as some sort of "scheme" that functorially takes groups into their doubles?