

$L \subset S^3$ a link. Khovanov: reduced.

$$\widetilde{Kh}(L) = \bigoplus_{i,j} \widetilde{Kh}^{i,j}(L) \quad \text{over } \mathbb{Z}/2$$

Knot Floer homology:

$$\widehat{HFK}(L) = \bigoplus_{a,n} \widehat{HFK}_n(L, a)$$

Conjecture (Rasmussen) For any knot K

$$\text{rank } \widetilde{Kh}(K) \geq \text{rank } \widehat{HFK}(K)$$

\Rightarrow if $\text{rk } \widetilde{Kh}(K) = 1$ then $K = \text{unknot}$.

(Later proven by Kronheimer Mrowka)

$\stackrel{\text{also}}{\Rightarrow}$ if $\text{rk } \widetilde{Kh}(K) = 3$ then $K = \text{trefoil}$
(not proven)

For an l -component link, $2^{l-1} \text{rk } \widetilde{Kh}(L) \geq \text{rk } \widehat{HFK}(L)$
conjecture:

Relations between \widetilde{Kh} and other invariants:

Thm \exists a spectral seq w/ $E_2 = \widetilde{Kh}(L)$

$$\text{and } E_\infty = \widehat{HF}(-\Sigma(L))$$

↑
branched double cover.

$$\Rightarrow \text{rk } E_2 \geq \text{rk } E_\infty$$

Similar stories: 1. Monopole Floer homology of $\Sigma(L)$

2. Instanton knot homology [which by Kron-Mrowka detects the unknot]

How do these spectral sequences arise?

A: One of these theories.

$$A(L) = H_*(C_A(L))$$

↖ chain complex

Sit. 1. $A(\text{unknot}) \cong \mathbb{R}\langle \text{unknot} \rangle$, $\text{rk} = 2^{l-1}$.

2. Skein exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & & L_0 & & L_1 \\ \nearrow & &) & (& \searrow \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

exact:

$$\boxed{\rightarrow A(L) \rightarrow A(L_0) \rightarrow A(L_1) \rightarrow}$$

$$\text{or, } C_A(L) \xrightarrow{\cong} MC(C_A(L_0) \rightarrow C_A(L_1))$$

↑
chain homotopy

Idea: use this for all (n) crossings at the same time

$$X_A(L) = \bigoplus C_A(L_\nu) \quad D = \sum \dots$$

↘ resolution of L

$$V \in \mathbb{Z}[1/n]$$

the $H_r(X_A, D) \cong A(L)$

Filter $X_A(L)$ by $|V|$ & get the said spectral sequence...

What with \widehat{HFK} ? $rk \widehat{HFK}(O) = 4$

but resolving a crossing in any way gives the unknot, and
 $rk \widehat{HFK}(O) = 1$

So \widehat{HFK} does not fit in a skein exact sequence.

It is true that $rk \widehat{HFK}(O^0) = 2^{l-1}$.

Rationale: \widehat{HFK} is really an invariant of pointed links:

$$(L, \underbrace{\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}}_P) \quad P \subset L$$

such each component contains at least one point.

$$\widehat{HFK}^{\text{of before}} = \widehat{HFK}(\text{one point on each component})$$

Adding a pt to component that already has

one \otimes the HFK w/ V , where $\dim V = 2$.
If every component is pointed, then there
is an appropriate skein exact sequence.

Baldwin-Levine: with enough points sprinkled,
there is a "cube of resolution" computation
of HFK; unfortunately, E_2 is not
invariant.

Baldwin-Levine, Dec 2015: Kh can be
tweaked to have similar properties.

Conjecture With the extra grading on HFK
coming from the Alexander, it's gr is
that extended Kh .