

Deriving Γ -calculus

July-12-15 12:12 AM

Deriving Gassner. \mathcal{L}^{2Dw} is $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle b_i \rangle\rangle\langle\langle a_{ij} \rangle\rangle$ modulo locality, $[a_{ij}, a_{ik}] = 0$, $[a_{ik}, a_{jk}] = -[a_{ij}, a_{jk}] = b_j a_{ik} - b_i a_{jk}$, and $(\text{mod } \langle\langle a_{ii} \rangle\rangle) [a_{ij}, a_{ji}] = b_i a_{ji} - b_j a_{ij}$. Acts on $\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle b_i \rangle\rangle\langle\langle x_i = a_{i\infty} \rangle\rangle$ by $[a_{ij}, x_i] = 0$, $[a_{ij}, x_j] = b_i x_j - b_j x_i$. Hence $e^{\text{ad } a_{ij}} x_i = x_i$, $e^{\text{ad } a_{ij}} x_j = e^{b_i} x_j + \frac{b_j}{b_i} (1 - e^{b_i}) x_i$. Renaming $\bar{x}_i = x_i / b_i$, $t_i = e^{b_i}$, get $[e^{\text{ad } a_{ij}}]_{\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - t_i \\ 0 & t_i \end{pmatrix}$.

The \mathcal{L}^{2Dw} Adjoint representation. $e^{\text{ad } a_{ij}}$ acts by

$$a_{kl} \mapsto a_{kl}, \quad a_{ik} \mapsto a_{ik}, \quad a_{kj} \mapsto e^{-b_i} a_{kj} + \frac{b_k}{b_i} (1 - e^{-b_i}) a_{ij},$$

$$a_{ki} \mapsto a_{ki} + (1 - e^{-b_i}) a_{kj} + b_k \frac{e^{-b_i} - 1}{b_i} a_{ij},$$

$$a_{jk} \mapsto e^{b_i} a_{jk} + \frac{b_j}{b_i} (1 - e^{b_i}) a_{ik}, \quad a_{ji} \mapsto e^{b_i} a_{ji} + \frac{b_j}{b_i} (1 - e^{b_i}) a_{ij}.$$

irrelevant
for
stitching!

The stitching operation seems independent of the specifics! Why not use it elsewhere? Does it have an abstract meaning?

Does stitching make sense on $\text{End}(V^{\otimes n})$?

Yes, it is a $V^* - V$ contraction. It is linear.

Does it make sense on arbitrary spaces associated with V_n ?