

$FA_n$  is an  $A^{w/w}$  module.... there ought to be a direct way to get out of this that  $PA^{w/w}$  acts on  $FL_n$  by derivations.

---

In general, if a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts on a Lie algebra  $L$  by derivations, does this make  $U(L)$  a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module?

$$g_1 g_2 g_3 \dots g_n \cdot l_1 l_2 \dots l_k \rightarrow \text{the obvious sum.}$$

(need to know that  $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{b} \text{Der}(L)$  is a Lie algebra morphism)

Can we invert this? That is, when is it that a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module comes from this construction?

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L \otimes L & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{23} \Delta} & U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L \\
 \downarrow 1 \otimes [\cdot, \cdot] & \cong & \downarrow b \otimes b \\
 U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L & \xrightarrow{b} & L \otimes L \\
 & & \downarrow [\cdot, \cdot] \\
 & & L
 \end{array}$$

this is the  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -version of the statement " $\mathfrak{g}$  acts by derivations"  $\updownarrow$

$\Rightarrow$  Can be generalized to an arbitrary co-algebra acting on a Lie algebra.