

Rephrasing KV-Naive

March-05-09
5:18 PM

KV-Naive: If $f, g \in \text{Fun}(G)^G$ and $(\bar{\Phi}f) \in \text{Fun}(G)^G$ is defined by $(\bar{\Phi}f)(x) = j'^{-1}(x)f(e^x)$ with j as usual, then

$$\bar{\Phi}(f * g) = \bar{\Phi}(f) * \bar{\Phi}(g)$$

↑ convolution on G ↑ convolution on G

$j(x) = \text{jacobian}$
 $\circ f$
 $x \mapsto e^x$

Rephrasing goals: 1. Replace "convolution" by multiplication of $\mathcal{U}(g)$ -valued measures.

(that is, $w \in \text{Fun}(g) \mapsto \int w(x) \ell^x dx \in \mathcal{U}(g)$)

2. The "inputs" f, g should be replaced by functions on g .

KV-Rephrased: If $u, v \in \text{Fun}(g)^G$ then

$$\int j(x)dx \int j(y)dy \underbrace{j'^{-1}(x)u(x)j'^{-1}(y)v(y)}_{j^{-1/2}} \cdot \ell^x \ell^y \underbrace{j'^{-1}(\log \ell^x \ell^y)}_{j^{-1/2}} = \int dx dy u(x)v(y) \ell^{x+y}$$

$u(x) = j'^{-1}(x)f(p)$
 $v(x) = j'^{-1}(x)g(p)$
 So
 $f(p) = j'^{-1}u(x) \dots$

$$g \xrightarrow{\exp} G \leftrightarrow U(g) \xleftarrow{\exp}$$

The "group ring" \downarrow
 $s(g) \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{U}(g)$

claim when restricted to invariants and "twisted", this is an algebra isomorphism.

$$\text{Diagram showing } s(g) \text{ is an isomorphism: } \text{Diagram } A = \text{Diagram } B$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram showing } x+y \text{ and } x+y \text{ with a double arrow between them.} \\ \xrightarrow{\hspace{1cm}} \quad \xleftarrow{\hspace{1cm}} \\ \text{Diagram showing } x+y \text{ and } x+y \text{ with a double arrow between them.} \end{array}$$

\equiv

mod link
relations